

# Table of Contents

Report of Management	2
Report of Audit Committee	3
Five-Year Summary of Selected Consolidated Financial Data	4
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition	
and Results of Operations	6
Report of Independent Auditors	12
Consolidated Financial Statements	13
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	18
Disclosure Information and Index	46

#### REPORT OF MANAGEMENT

The consolidated financial statements of Lone Star, ACA (association) are prepared by management, who is responsible for the statements' integrity and objectivity, including amounts that must necessarily be based on judgments and estimates. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America appropriate in the circumstances. Other financial information included in the annual report is consistent with that in the consolidated financial statements.

To meet its responsibility for reliable financial information, management depends on the Farm Credit Bank of Texas' and the association's accounting and internal control systems, which have been designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that assets are safeguarded and transactions are properly authorized and recorded. The systems have been designed to recognize that the cost of controls must be related to the benefits derived. The consolidated financial statements are audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent accountants, who conduct a review of internal controls solely for the purpose of establishing a basis for reliance thereon in determining the nature, extent and timing of audit tests applied in the audit of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. The association is also examined by the Farm Credit Administration.

The board of directors has overall responsibility for the association's systems of internal control and financial reporting. The board consults regularly with management and reviews the results of the audits and examinations referred to previously.

The undersigned certify that we have reviewed this annual report, that it has been prepared in accordance with all applicable statutory or regulatory requirements, and that the information contained herein is true, accurate and complete to the best of his or her knowledge or belief.

Troy Bussmeir, Chief Executive Officer

March 10, 2014

March 10, 2014

Tom Johnson, Chairman, Board of Directors

M'Lissa Kiel, Chief Financial Officer

M'Lina Kul

March 10, 2014

Don Crawford, Chairman, Audit Committee

On Canpul

March 10, 2014

#### REPORT OF AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Audit Committee (committee) is composed of four members from the board of directors of Lone Star, ACA. Don Crawford, CPA, serves as the chairman of the committee, and Bert Pruett and David Harris are also members of the audit committee. Lonnie Hammonds served on the audit committee in 2013. Mr. Hammonds' term expired on December, 31, 2013. In 2013, 12 committee meetings were held. The committee oversees the scope of Lone Star, ACA's system of internal controls and procedures, and the adequacy of management's action with respect to recommendations arising from those auditing activities. The committee's approved responsibilities are described more fully in the Audit Committee Charter, which is available on request or on Lone Star, ACA's website. The committee approved the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent auditors, to perform the outside audit for 2013.

Management is responsible for Lone Star, ACA's internal controls and the preparation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The consolidated financial statements are prepared under the oversight of the committee. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, is responsible for performing an independent audit of Lone Star, ACA's consolidated financial statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and for issuing a report thereon. The committee's responsibilities include monitoring and overseeing the processes.

In this context, the committee reviewed and discussed Lone Star, ACA's audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 (audited consolidated financial statements) with management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. The committee also reviews with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP the matters required to be discussed by authoritative guidance "The Auditor's Communication With Those Charged With Governance," and both PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's and Lone Star, ACA's internal auditors directly provide reports on significant matters to the committee.

The committee discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP its independence from Lone Star, ACA. The committee also reviewed the nonaudit services provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and concluded that these services were not incompatible with maintaining the independent accountant's independence. The committee has discussed with management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP such other matters and received such assurances from them as the committee deemed appropriate.

Based on the foregoing review and discussions and relying thereon, the committee recommended that the board of directors include the audited consolidated financial statements in Lone Star, ACA's Annual Report to Stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2013.

**Audit Committee Members** 

Don Crawford, CPA David Harris Bert Pruett

March 10, 2014

# FIVE-YEAR SUMMARY OF SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA (unaudited)

(dollars in thousands)

		2013		2012		2011		2010		2009
<b>Balance Sheet Data</b>										
<u>Assets</u>										
Cash	\$	28	\$	77	\$	64	\$	66	\$	47
Investments		-		-		35,689		45,232		-
Loans		841,975		831,335		872,046		958,023		1,123,923
Less: allowance for loan losses		(6,880)		(10,234)		(12,463)		(19,068)		(7,387)
Net loans		835,095		821,101		859,583		938,955		1,116,536
Investment in and receivable from										
the Farm Credit Bank of Texas		14,835		15,391		17,628		20,427		23,255
Other property owned, net		858		1,061		5,487		9,472		11,567
Other assets		6,684		7,064		9,185		10,079		12,546
Total assets	\$	857,500	\$	844,694	\$	927,636	\$	1,024,231	\$ (	1,163,951
<u>Liabilities</u>										
Obligations with maturities										
of one year or less	\$	12,686	\$	11,460	\$	6,034	\$	3,294	\$	5,844
Obligations with maturities	Ψ	12,000	Ψ	11,.00	Ψ	0,00.	Ψ	5,2> .	Ψ	2,0
greater than one year		643,308		644,820		745,310		852,113		987,424
Total liabilities		655,994		656,280		751,344		855,407		993,268
Members' Equity										
Capital stock and participation										
certificates		3,716		3,772		4,043		4,417		4,725
Unallocated retained earnings		198,155		185,513		172,748		164,672		165,951
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		(365)		(871)		(499)		(265)		7
Total members' equity		201,506		188,414		176,292		168,824		170,683
Total liabilities and members' equity	\$	857,500	\$	844,694	\$	927,636	\$	1,024,231	\$ :	1,163,951
a										
Statement of Income Data	Ф	26,000	Ф	26 420	Ф	20.022	ф	20.205	Ф	22 220
Net interest income	\$	26,998	\$	26,439	\$	28,032	\$	28,295	\$	32,320
(Provision for loan losses) or		2.502		(666)		(5.660)		(21.071)		(14056)
loan loss reversal		2,593		(666)		(5,669)		(21,871)		(14,856)
Income from the Farm Credit Bank of Texas		3,860		4,059		3,863		5,214		4,789
Other noninterest income		835		3,975		909		2,024		2,176
Noninterest expense		(14,223)		(13,995)		(17,046)		(14,804)		(13,715)
Benefit from income taxes		(21)		(48)		(13)		(15)		<del>-</del>
Net income (loss)	\$	20,042	\$	19,764	\$	10,076	\$	(1,157)	\$	10,714
<b>Key Financial Ratios for the Year</b>										
Return on average assets		2.3%		2.3%		1.0%		-0.1%		0.9%
Return on average members' equity		10.1%		10.5%		5.8%		-0.7%		6.3%
Net interest income as a percentage of										
average earning assets		3.2%		3.1%		2.9%		2.7%		2.8%
Net charge-offs (recoveries) as a		· •				, 0				
percentage of average loans		0.1%		0.3%		1.3%		1.0%		0.7%

# FIVE-YEAR SUMMARY OF SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA (unaudited) (dollars in thousands)

	2	2013	2	012	20	011	2	2010	2009
<b>Key Financial Ratios at Year End</b>									
Members' equity as a percentage									
of total assets		23.5%		22.3%		19.0%		16.5%	14.7%
Debt as a percentage of									
members' equity		325.5%		348.3%		426.2%		506.7%	581.9%
Allowance for loan losses as									
a percentage of loans		0.8%		1.2%		1.4%		2.0%	0.7%
Permanent capital ratio		21.5%		20.7%		17.3%		15.2%	13.4%
Core surplus ratio		21.1%		20.2%		16.9%		14.7%	13.0%
Total surplus ratio		21.1%		20.2%		16.9%		14.7%	13.0%
Net Income Distribution									
Cash dividends paid	\$	7,000	\$	2,000	\$	-	\$	122	\$ 5,430

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following commentary explains management's assessment of the principal aspects of the consolidated financial condition and results of operations of Lone Star, ACA, including its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Lone Star, PCA and Lone Star, FLCA (association) for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The accompanying financial statements were prepared under the oversight of the association's audit committee.

# **Forward-Looking Information:**

This annual information statement contains forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Words such as "anticipates," "believes," "could," "estimates," "may," "should," "will" or other variations of these terms are intended to identify the forward-looking statements. These statements are based on assumptions and analyses made in light of experience and other historical trends, current conditions and expected future developments. However, actual results and developments may differ materially from our expectations and predictions due to a number of risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:

- political, legal, regulatory and economic conditions and developments in the United States and abroad;
- economic fluctuations in the agricultural, rural utility, international and farm-related business sectors;
- weather-related, disease-related and other adverse climatic or biological conditions that periodically occur that impact agricultural productivity and income;
- changes in United States government support of the agricultural industry; and
- actions taken by the Federal Reserve System in implementing monetary policy.

# **Significant Events:**

Effective January 1, 2014, the association merged with Texas Land Bank, ACA. The merger was unanimously approved by stockholders of both associations and granted by the associations' regulator, the Farm Credit Administration. The merged entity is headquartered in Fort Worth, Texas. Management believes the merger of the two associations is a benefit to shareholders that will ultimately help the association better serve the agricultural market within its territory.

In December 2013, the Lone Star, ACA board declared a 100 basis point (1 percent) cash patronage to be paid in April of 2014, based on 2013 earnings. The patronage will be paid to eligible borrowers based on their average outstanding loan balance for the year ending December 31, 2013. This patronage amount is estimated to be \$7,400,000. The association's capital position continued to improve and is above 21 percent for the year ending December 2013.

In December 2013, the association received a direct note patronage of \$2,856,019 from the bank, representing 44 basis points on the average daily balance of the association's direct loan with the bank. During 2013, the association received \$709,278 from the bank representing income that would have been earned based on the AMBS investment discussed in Note 3 – Investment Securities. The association also received \$230,093 in patronage payments from the bank, based on the association's stock investment in the bank. Also, the association received a capital markets patronage of \$64,859 from the bank, representing 75 basis points on the association's average balance of participations sold into the bank's patronage pool program.

For over 96 years, the association has continued to provide its members with quality financial services. The board of directors and management remain committed to maintaining the financial integrity of the association while offering competitive loan products that meet the financial needs of agricultural producers.

## **Loan Portfolio:**

The association makes and services loans to farmers, ranchers, rural homeowners and certain farm-related businesses. The association's loan volume consists of long-term farm mortgage loans, production and intermediate-term loans, and farm-related business loans. These loan products are available to eligible borrowers with competitive variable, fixed, adjustable and prime-based interest rates. Loan maturities range from one to 40 years, with annual operating loans comprising the majority of the commercial loans and 15- to 20-year maturities comprising the majority of the mortgage loans. Loans serviced by the association offer several installment payment cycles, the timing of which usually coincides with the seasonal cash-flow capabilities of the borrower.

The composition of the association's loan portfolio, including principal less funds held of \$841,974,808, \$831,334,481 and \$872,045,989 as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, is described more fully in detailed tables in Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements, "Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses" included in this annual report.

The \$10,640,327 increase of the loan portfolio between December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013 represents increased demand for real estate transactions, growth in Capital Markets participation portfolio and overall increased efforts by credit staff on growth of accrual loan volume. The association anticipates continued growth in loan volume during 2014. The loan portfolio decrease between December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012 of \$40,711,508 or 4.7 percent is attributed to normal amortization of loan assets and collection of adverse loans combined with decreased demand for real estate transactions during 2012 and tightened underwriting standards and practices.

#### **Purchase and Sales of Loans:**

During 2013, 2012 and 2011, the association was participating in loans with other lenders. As of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, these participations totaled \$141,408,489, \$125,599,389 and \$92,377,919, or 16.8 percent, 15.1 percent and 10.6 percent of loans, respectively. The association had no participation with entities outside the district for the years ended 2013, 2012 and 2011. The association also manages sold participations of \$12,878,903, \$13,474,394 and \$16,450,296 as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Effective January 26, 2012 the Farm Credit Bank of Texas (the bank) purchased Lone Star's securitized Farmer Mac Agricultural Mortgage Backed Securities (AMBS) Investments. The purchase of \$35,459,508 included outstanding principal and accrued interest as of that date. There was no gain or loss recognized by the association on this transaction. The association will continue to service the underlying loans that were included in this security. Also, there should be no effect to Lone Star's income based on this transaction as it is expected that the bank will be able to pay the association a patronage equivalent to the net interest that would have been earned on the AMBS investment. However, the bank's payment of patronage is at the discretion of the bank's board of directors. The remaining balance of the AMBS investment at December 31, 2013 was \$25,805,867.

## Risk Exposure:

High-risk assets include nonaccrual loans, loans that are past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest, formally restructured loans and other property owned, net. The following table illustrates the association's components and trends of high-risk assets, including accrued interest, serviced for the prior three years as of December 31:

	 2013		2012			2011		
	Amount	%	Amount		%	Amount	%	
Nonaccrual	\$ 13,794,752	93.8%	\$	24,276,925	95.5%	\$ 59,597,315	91.6%	
90 days past due and still								
accruing interest	-	0.0%		-	0.0%	11,113	0.0%	
Formally restructured	50,748	0.4%		67,657	0.3%	-	0.0%	
Other property owned, net	 858,367	5.8%		1,060,973	4.2%	 5,487,529	8.4%	
Total	\$ 14,703,867	100.0%	\$	25,405,555	100.0%	\$ 65,095,957	100.0%	

At December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, loans that were considered impaired were \$13,845,500, \$24,344,582 and \$59,608,428, representing 1.6 percent, 2.9 percent and 6.8 percent of loan volume, respectively. Impaired loans consist of all high-risk assets except other property owned, net.

The association experienced a \$10,482,173 decrease in nonaccrual loan volume during 2013 for total outstanding volume of \$13,794,752 as of December 31, 2013. Of the \$13,794,752, dairy loans and general livestock comprised \$10,862,496 and general farms comprised \$1,303,357, with the remaining balance of nonaccrual volume being comprised by other various commodities.

Except for the relationship between installment due date and seasonal cash-flow capabilities of the borrower, the association is not materially affected by any seasonal characteristics. The factors affecting the operations of the association are the same factors that would affect any agricultural real estate lender.

#### Allowance for Loan Losses:

The following table provides relevant information regarding the allowance for loan losses as of, or for the year ended, December 31:

	2013			2012	2011
Allowance for loan losses	\$	6,879,823	\$	10,233,598	\$ 12,463,151
Allowance for loan losses to total loans		0.8%		1.2%	1.4%
Allowance for loan losses to nonaccrual loans		49.9%		42.2%	20.9%
Allowance for loan losses to impaired loans		49.7%		42.0%	20.9%
Net charge-offs to average loans		0.1%		0.3%	1.3%

The allowance is based on a periodic evaluation of the loan portfolio by management in which numerous factors are considered, including economic conditions, loan portfolio composition, collateral value, portfolio quality, current production conditions and economic conditions, and prior loan loss experience. Management considers the following factors in determining and supporting the level of allowance for loan losses: the concentration of lending in agriculture, combined with uncertainties associated with farmland values, commodity prices, exports, government assistance programs, regional economic effects and weather-related influences.

Based upon ongoing risk assessment and the allowance for loan losses procedures outlined above, the allowance for loan losses of \$6,879,823, \$10,233,598 and \$12,463,151 at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, is considered adequate by management to compensate for inherent losses in the loan portfolio at such dates. Management's process for the evaluation of allowance for loan losses includes a portfolio analysis, peer comparison with similar Farm Credit Institutions and similar commercial banks, and an analysis of historical loss experience. In addition, during 2012 management implemented an allowance pool to address the need for additional allowance for under-secured loans, should they deteriorate, and ultimately require a specific allowance. In view of portfolio analysis, historical trends, projected needs and the implementation of the additional allowance pool, management believes that the allowance is adequate.

# **Results of Operations:**

The association's net income for the year ended December 31, 2013, was \$20,042,115 as compared to \$19,764,483 for the year ended December 31, 2012, reflecting an increase of \$277,632, or 1.4 percent. The association's net income for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$10,076,234. Net income increased \$9,688,249, or 96.2 percent, in 2012 versus 2011.

Net interest income for 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$26,997,935, \$26,439,176 and \$28,031,961, respectively, reflecting an increase of \$558,759, or 2.1 percent, for 2013 versus 2012 and a decrease of \$1,592,785, or 5.7 percent, for 2012 versus 2011. Net interest income is the principal source of earnings for the association and is impacted by volume, yields on assets and cost of debt. The effects of changes in average volume and interest rates on net interest income over the past three years are presented in the following tables:

	201	13	20	12	2011		
	Average		Average		Average		
	Balance	Interest	Balance	Interest	Balance	Interest	
Loans	\$ 839,864,869	\$ 38,323,086	\$843,602,912	\$ 40,144,277	\$913,882,657	\$46,549,195	
Investments	-	-	2,421,791	211,055	41,091,250	2,168,367	
Total interest-earning assets	839,864,869	38,323,086	846,024,703	40,355,332	954,973,907	48,717,562	
Interest-bearing liabilities	649,430,016	11,325,151	671,237,817	13,916,156	797,698,646	20,685,601	
Impact of capital	\$ 190,434,853		\$174,786,886		\$157,275,261		
Net interest income		\$ 26,997,935		\$ 26,439,176		\$28,031,961	
	2013		20		20		
	Average		Average		Average		
Yield on loans	4.56		4.7		5.09%		
Yield on investments	0.00	1%	8.7	1%	5.28%		
Total yield on interest-							
earning assets	4.56	5%	4.7	7%	5.10	0%	
Cost of interest-bearing							
liabilities	1.74	%	2.0	7%	2.59	9%	
Interest rate spread	2.82%		2.70	0%	2.5	1%	
Net interest income as a percentage							
of average earning assets	3.21	.%	3.13	3%	2.94%		

		20	15 15. 2012			2012 vs. 2011							
	Increase (decrease) due to					Increase (decrease) due to							
	Volume		Rate Total		Rate		Volume		Rate		Total		
Interest income - loans	\$ (177,882)	\$	(1,643,309)	\$	(1,821,191)	\$ (3,579,769)	\$	(2,825,149)	\$	(6,404,918)			
Interest income - investments	(211,054)		(1)		(211,055)	(2,040,587)		83,275		(1,957,312)			
Total interest income	(388,936)		(1,643,310)		(2,032,246)	(5,620,356)		(2,741,874)		(8,362,230)			
Interest expense	 (452,119)		(2,138,886)		(2,591,005)	(3,279,382)		(3,490,063)		(6,769,445)			
Net interest income	\$ 63,183	\$	495,576	\$	558,759	\$ (2,340,974)	\$	748,189	\$	(1,592,785)			
				_									

2012 vs 2011

2013 vs. 2012

Interest income for 2013 decreased by \$2,032,246, or 5.0 percent, compared to 2012, primarily due to a decreased yield on interest earning assets. The yield on interest earning assets decreased 20 basis points to 4.56 percent in 2013 from 4.76 percent in 2012. Interest expense for 2013 decreased by \$2,591,005, or 18.6 percent, compared to 2012 due to a decrease in both average interest-bearing liabilities and the average rate. The interest rate spread increased by 12 basis points to 2.82 percent in 2013 from 2.70 percent in 2012, primarily because the association's rate of borrowing from its lender was very favorable in 2013 relative to prior years, giving it the ability to maintain good rates to borrowers and increase spreads on loans. Also contributing to this increase is the decline in non-earning assets, which act as a drag on the association's interest rate spread.

The association offers a number of different interest rate programs including fixed rate products lasting for a set period up to the term of a loan, products indexed to Prime or LIBOR, and a variety of adjustable interest rate products. The association's control over interest rate margins resides in its ability to add a spread over cost of funds, the goal of which is to achieve an acceptable level of revenue to fund operations and generate a return for shareholders. Net interest margin for year end 2013 was 3.21, versus 3.13 for the same period in 2012. This can be attributed to the aforementioned increase in net interest spread, coupled with increasing association capital levels that drive down the need to borrow monies to fund loans and therefore increase interest income. In 2010, the association implemented authoritative accounting guidance that requires loan origination fees and costs to be capitalized and amortized over the life of the loans as an adjustment to yield. The resulting adjustment to loan yield for 2010 was a decrease of \$96,962. The interest rate spread increased by 19 basis points to 2.70 percent in 2012 from 2.51 percent in 2011, primarily for the same reasons experienced in 2013.

Noninterest income for 2013 decreased by \$3,339,887, or 41.6 percent, compared to 2012, due primarily to a decrease in gains on other property owned and decrease in other noninterest income. Noninterest income for 2012 increased by \$3,262,744, or 68.4 percent, compared to 2011, due primarily to an increase in gains on other property owned and increase in other noninterest income which included a \$1,001,628 refund from the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation (FCSIC or Insurance Fund). The distributions from the FCSIC included reserves it held in excess of its secure base amount in 2003 which had been previously allocated to its Allocated Insurance Reserves Accounts, and also included reserves in excess of its secure base amount in 2009 which were likewise allocated. The 2008 Farm Bill amended the Farm Credit Act and simplified the formula for payments from the

Allocated Insurance Reserves Accounts to allow more immediate distribution of excess Insurance Fund balances to System banks. Authoritative accounting guidance requiring the capitalization and amortization of loan origination fees and costs was implemented during 2010 for loans closed in 2010 and forward, resulting in the capitalization of \$682,218, \$551,008 and \$763,575 for 2013, 2012 and 2011 respectively, in origination fees, which will be amortized over the life of the loans as an adjustment to yield in net interest income.

Provisions for loan losses decreased by \$3,259,296 or 489.39 percent, compared to 2012, due primarily to the decrease in nonaccrual assets and the collection of some nonaccruals in full resulting in reversal of provisions for loan losses.

Operating expenses consist primarily of salaries, employee benefits, purchased services and provision for acquired property losses. Expenses for purchased services include administrative services, marketing, accounting and loan processing, audit and credit review fees, and legal fees, among others. Net operating expense for 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$14,223,128, \$13,995,492 and \$17,045,487, respectively, reflecting an increase of \$227,636 or 1.6 percent for 2013 and a decrease of \$3,049,995 or 17.9 percent for 2012. The increase in operating expenses for 2013 was driven primarily by an increase in salaries and employee benefits of \$612,076 due to incentive payments made by the association based on 2013 performance; increased purchased services of \$224,647 resulting from increased legal expenses and the occurrence of costs related to the merger with Texas Land Bank, ACA; and increased marketing expenses of \$180,563 for marketing efforts and events promoting the merger with Texas Land Bank, ACA. The \$227,636 net increase in operating expenses also included an increase of \$239,981 in premiums to the Insurance Fund, resulting from an increase in the premium rates from 5 basis points in 2012 to 10 basis points in 2013. The increase in operating expenses for 2013 was offset primarily by a \$1,088,494 reduction in acquired property provisions compared to 2012. Authoritative accounting guidance requiring the capitalization and amortization of loan origination fees and costs resulted in the capitalization of \$864,178, \$932,752 and \$876,905 for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, in origination costs, which will be amortized over the life of the loans as an adjustment to yield in net interest income. The capitalized costs consisted of salaries and benefits totaling \$864,178 related to the origination of loans.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the association's return on average assets was 2.3 percent, as compared to 2.3 percent and 1.0 percent for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the association's return on average members' equity was 10.1 percent, as compared to 10.5 percent and 5.8 percent for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

## **Liquidity and Funding Sources:**

The interest rate risk inherent in the association's loan portfolio is substantially mitigated through the funding relationship with the bank. The bank manages interest rate risk through its direct loan pricing and asset/liability management process.

Because the association depends on the bank for funding, any significant positive or negative factors affecting the operations of the bank would have a similar effect on the operations of the association. See Note 1 – Organizations and Operations, Section B.

The association operates under a general financing agreement (GFA) with the bank. The current GFA is effective through September 30, 2015. The primary source of liquidity and funding for the association is a direct loan from the bank. The outstanding balance of \$642,361,465, \$643,933,881 and \$743,775,358 as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, is recorded as a liability on the association's balance sheet. The note carried a weighted average interest rate of 1.68 percent, 1.86 percent and 2.38 percent at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The indebtedness is collateralized by a pledge of substantially all of the association's assets to the bank and is governed by a general financing agreement. The decrease in note payable to the bank and related accrued interest payable since December 31, 2012, is due to the increase in association capital and ability to fund more loan volume. The association's own funds, which represent the amount of the association's loan portfolio funded by the association's equity, were \$199,401,315, \$187,566,837 and \$165,747,475 at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The maximum amount the association may borrow from the bank as of December 31, 2013, was \$830,191,490 as defined by the general financing agreement. The indebtedness continues in effect until the expiration date of the general financing agreement, which is September 30, 2015, unless sooner terminated by the bank upon the occurrence of an event of default, or by the association, in the event of a breach of this agreement by the bank, upon giving the bank 30 calendar days' prior written notice, or in all other circumstances, upon giving the bank 120 days' prior written notice.

The liquidity policy of the association is to manage cash balances, to maximize debt reduction and to increase accrual loan volume. This policy will continue to be pursued during 2014. As borrower payments are received, they are applied to the association's note payable to the bank.

The association will continue to fund its operations through direct borrowings from the bank, capital surplus from prior years and borrower stock. It is management's opinion that funds available to the association are sufficient to fund its operations for the coming year.

## **Capital Resources:**

The association's capital position remains strong, with total members' equity of \$201,506,742, \$188,414,171 and \$176,292,864 at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Under regulations governing minimum permanent capital adequacy and other capitalization issues, the association is required to maintain a minimum adjusted permanent capital of 7.0 percent of risk-adjusted assets as defined by the FCA. The permanent capital ratio measures available at-risk capital relative to risk-adjusted assets and off-balance-sheet contingencies. The ratio is an indicator of the institution's financial capacity to absorb potential losses beyond that provided by its earnings and in the allowance for loss accounts. The association's permanent capital ratio at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was 21.5 percent, 20.7 percent and 17.3 percent, respectively.

The core surplus ratio measures available core surplus capital relative to risk-adjusted assets and off-balance-sheet contingencies. The ratio is an indicator of the quality of capital that exists to maintain stable earnings and financial strength. The association's core surplus ratio at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was 21.1 percent, 20.2 percent and 16.9 percent, respectively, which is in compliance with the FCA's minimum ratio requirement of 3.5 percent. The total surplus ratio measures available surplus capital relative to risk-adjusted assets and off-balance-sheet contingencies. The ratio is an indicator of the reserves existing to protect borrowers' investments in the association. The association's total surplus ratio at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was 21.1 percent, 20.2 percent and 16.9 percent, respectively, which is in compliance with the FCA's minimum ratio requirement of 7.0 percent.

In 2013, 2012 and 2011, the association paid patronage of \$7,000,000, \$2,000,000 and \$0, respectively. In December 2013, the board of directors approved a 100-basis-point cash patronage. The patronage will be paid to eligible borrowers based on their average outstanding loan balance for the year ending December 31, 2013. The patronage amount is estimated to be \$7,400,000 and will be paid in April 2014. As a result of deterioration in the loan portfolio and the impact on 2010 financial results, the association did not pay patronage in 2011 based on 2010 earnings. See Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements, "Members' Equity," included in this annual report, for further information.

## **Relationship With the Bank:**

The association operates under a general financing agreement (GFA) with the bank. The current GFA is effective through September 30, 2015.

The association's statutory obligation to borrow only from the bank is discussed in Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements, "Note Payable to the Bank," included in this annual report.

The bank's ability to access capital of the association is discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," included in this annual report, within the section "Capital Stock Investment in the Farm Credit Bank of Texas."

The bank's role in mitigating the association's exposure to interest rate risk is described in the section "Liquidity and Funding Sources" of Management's Discussion and Analysis and in Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements, "Note Payable to the Bank," included in this annual report.

The bank provides computer systems to support the critical operations of all district associations. In addition, each association has operating systems and facility-based systems that are not supported by the bank. As disclosed in Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements, "Related Party Transactions," included in this annual report, the bank provides many services that the association can utilize, such as administrative, marketing, information systems and accounting services. Additionally, the bank bills district expenses to the associations, such as the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation insurance premiums. As of April 2011, the bank only bills associations for direct pass-through expenses and no longer bills for allocated expenses.

## **Summary:**

Over the past 96 years, regardless of the state of the agricultural economy, your association's board of directors and management, as well as the board of directors and management of the bank, have been committed to offering their borrowers a ready source of financing at a competitive price. Your continued support will be critical to the success of this association.



# **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Board of Directors and Members of Lone Star, ACA:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Lone Star, ACA and its subsidiaries (the Association), which comprise the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of comprehensive income, of changes in members' equity and of cash flows for the years then ended.

# Management's Responsibility for the Consolidated Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

# Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on our judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, we consider internal control relevant to the Association's preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Association's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

# **Opinion**

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Lone Star, ACA and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

March 10, 2014

icewaterhouse Coopers LLP

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

	December 31,									
		2013		2012		2011				
<u>Assets</u>		_		_		_				
Cash	\$	27,797	\$	77,431	\$	63,794				
Investments		-		-		35,688,875				
Loans		841,974,808		831,334,481		872,045,989				
Less: allowance for loan losses		(6,879,823)		(10,233,598)		(12,463,151)				
Net loans		835,094,985		821,100,883		859,582,838				
Accrued interest receivable		4,568,095		4,873,843		7,088,527				
Investment in and receivable from the Farm										
Credit Bank of Texas:										
Capital stock		13,436,625		13,922,385		16,129,205				
Other		1,398,828		1,468,168		1,498,683				
Other property owned, net		858,367		1,060,973		5,487,529				
Premises and equipment		1,475,029		1,615,834		1,666,071				
Other assets		640,534		574,206		430,069				
Total assets	\$	857,500,260	\$	844,693,723	\$	927,635,591				
Liabilities										
Note payable to the Farm Credit Bank of Texas	\$	642,361,465	\$	643,933,881	\$	743,775,358				
Accrued interest payable	·	946,559		1,036,026		1,534,543				
Drafts outstanding		638,403		142,345		44,532				
Dividends payable		7,400,000		7,000,000		2,000,000				
Other liabilities		4,647,091		4,167,300		3,988,294				
Total liabilities		655,993,518		656,279,552		751,342,727				
Members' Equity										
Capital stock and participation certificates		3,716,310		3,772,180		4,043,055				
Unallocated retained earnings		198,155,096		185,512,981		172,748,498				
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		(364,664)		(870,990)		(498,689)				
Total members' equity		201,506,742		188,414,171		176,292,864				
Total liabilities and members' equity	\$	857,500,260	\$	844,693,723	\$	927,635,591				

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

		Year Ended December 31,								
	2013		,	2012		2011				
<u>Interest Income</u>										
Loans	\$ 38,32	3,086	\$	40,144,277	\$	46,549,195				
Investments		_		211,055		2,168,367				
Total interest income	38,32	3,086		40,355,332		48,717,562				
Interest Expense										
Note payable to the Farm Credit Bank of Texas	11,32	5,054		13,916,071		20,685,577				
Advance conditional payments	,	97		85		24				
Total interest expense	11,32	5,151		13,916,156	-	20,685,601				
Net interest income	26,99			26,439,176		28,031,961				
(Reversal of) provision for loan losses	(2,59	3,304)		665,992		5,669,112				
Net interest income after										
provision for losses	29,59	1,239		25,773,184		22,362,849				
Noninterest Income										
Income from the Farm Credit Bank of Texas:										
Patronage income	3,86	0,249		4,058,689		3,862,745				
Loan fees		0,735		808,788		330,369				
Financially related services income		7,767		(1,274)		51,796				
Gain on other property owned, net		8,521		2,060,825		340,326				
Gain on sale of premises and equipment, net		0,079		- · ·		122,400				
Other noninterest income		7,806		1,108,016		64,664				
Total noninterest income		5,157		8,035,044		4,772,300				
Noninterest Expenses										
Salaries and employee benefits	8,61	0,442		7,998,366		6,790,833				
Directors' expense	40	9,999		345,297		363,785				
Purchased services	1,13	3,093		908,446		936,861				
Travel	74	9,367		682,396		543,749				
Occupancy and equipment	73	7,939		680,849		743,671				
Communications	16	9,879		172,067		182,526				
Advertising	49	3,943		437,996		217,660				
Public and member relations	59	5,799		471,183		495,299				
Supervisory and exam expense	28	4,648		349,796		417,305				
Insurance Fund premiums	74	9,097		509,116		688,624				
Provisions for acquired property losses	4	5,408		1,133,902		5,183,440				
Other noninterest expense	24	3,514		306,078		481,734				
Total noninterest expenses	14,22	3,128		13,995,492		17,045,487				
Income before income taxes	20,06	3,268		19,812,736		10,089,662				
Provision for income taxes	2	1,153		48,253		13,428				
NET INCOME	20,04	2,115		19,764,483		10,076,234				
Other comprehensive income:										
Change in postretirement benefit plans	50	6,326		(372,301)		(233,292)				
Other comprehensive income, net of tax		6,326		(372,301)		(233,292)				
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$ 20,54		\$	19,392,182	\$	9,842,942				
COMI REHENDLY E INCOME	Ψ 40,34	U,TT1	Ψ	17,374,104	Ψ	7,042,742				

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN MEMBERS' EQUITY

	Pa			ained Earnings Unallocated	Accumulated Other  Comprehensive Income (Loss)			Total Members' Equity
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$	4,417,400	\$	164,672,264	\$	(265,397)	\$	168,824,267
Comprehensive income		_		10,076,234		(233,292)		9,842,942
Capital stock/participation certificates								
issued		319,725		-		-		319,725
Capital stock/participation certificates								
and allocated retained earnings retired		(694,070)		-		-		(694,070)
Patronage dividends: Declared				(2,000,000)				(2,000,000)
Declared				(2,000,000)		<del>-</del>		(2,000,000)
Balance at December 31, 2011		4,043,055		172,748,498		(498,689)		176,292,864
Comprehensive income		-		19,764,483		(372,301)		19,392,182
Capital stock/participation certificates								
issued		384,170		-		-		384,170
Capital stock/participation certificates								
and allocated retained earnings retired		(655,045)		-		-		(655,045)
Patronage dividends:				(7,000,000)				(7,000,000)
Declared		-		(7,000,000)		-		(7,000,000)
Balance at December 31, 2012		3,772,180		185,512,981		(870,990)		188,414,171
Comprehensive income		-		20,042,115		506,326		20,548,441
Capital stock/participation certificates								
issued		498,485		-		-		498,485
Capital stock/participation certificates								
and allocated retained earnings retired		(554,355)		-		-		(554,355)
Patronage dividends:				(7, 400, 000)				(7.400.000)
Declared  Release at December 31, 2013	Φ.	2 71 ( 210	Φ	(7,400,000)	Φ	(264.664)	Φ	(7,400,000)
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$	3,716,310	\$	198,155,096	\$	(364,664)	\$	201,506,742

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended December 31,								
		2013		2012		2011			
Cash flows from operating activities:									
Net income	\$	20,042,115	\$	19,764,483	\$	10,076,234			
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net									
cash provided by operating activities:									
Provision for loan losses or (loan loss reversal)		(2,593,304)		665,992		5,669,112			
(Gain) loss on sale of other property owned, net		(393,113)		(926,923)		4,843,114			
Depreciation		458,594		555,368		470,353			
Loss (gain) on sale of premises and equipment, net		10,079		-		(122,400)			
Decrease in accrued interest receivable		305,748		2,214,684		900,248			
Decrease in other receivables from the Farm Credit Bank of									
Texas		69,340		30,515		357,778			
(Increase) decrease in other assets		(132,583)		(144,137)		127,776			
Decrease in accrued interest payable		(89,467)		(498,517)		(482,055)			
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities		986,117		(193,295)		484,642			
Net cash provided by operating activities		18,663,526		21,468,170		22,324,802			
Cash flows from investing activities:									
(Increase) decrease in loans, net		(13,632,170)		30,696,173		66,068,007			
Cash recoveries of loans previously charged off		367,893		1,019,576		106,639			
Proceeds from redemption of investment in									
the Farm Credit Bank of Texas		485,760		2,206,820		2,441,345			
Investment securities held-to-maturity									
Proceeds from maturities, calls and prepayments		-		35,688,875		9,542,693			
Purchases of premises and equipment		(408,925)		(346,979)		(552,851)			
Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment		262,605		(68,511)		106,394			
Proceeds from sales of other property owned		2,343,905		11,364,052		6,634,724			
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities		(10,580,932)		80,560,006		84,346,951			

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

	Ye	ar E	nded December 3	Year Ended December 31,							
	 2013		2012		2011						
Cash flows from financing activities:											
Net repayment of note payable to the Farm Credit Bank of Texas	(1,572,416)		(99,841,477)		(106,320,603)						
Increase in drafts outstanding	496,058		97,813		20,746						
Issuance of capital stock and participation certificates	498,485		384,170		319,725						
Retirement of capital stock and participation											
certificates	(554,355)		(655,045)		(694,070)						
Cash dividends paid	(7,000,000)		(2,000,000)								
Net cash used in financing activities	(8,132,228)		(102,014,539)		(106,674,202)						
	(40.50.0)				(2.110)						
Net (decrease) increase in cash	(49,634)		13,637		(2,449)						
Cash at the beginning of the year	77,431		63,794		66,243						
Cash at the end of the year	\$ 27,797	\$	77,431	\$	63,794						
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities:  Loans transferred to other property owned  Loans charged off  Patronage distributions declared	\$ 1,748,186 1,128,364 7,400,000	\$	6,010,573 3,915,121 7,000,000	\$	7,493,399 12,380,211 2,000,000						
Supplemental cash information:											
Cash paid during the year for:											
Interest	\$ 11,414,618	\$	14,414,673	\$	21,167,656						

# LONE STAR, ACA NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### NOTE 1 — ORGANIZATION AND OPERATIONS:

A. Organization: Lone Star, ACA, including its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Lone Star, PCA and Lone Star, FLCA (collectively called "the association"), is a member-owned cooperative which provides credit and credit-related services to, or for the benefit of, eligible borrowers/stockholders for qualified agricultural purposes in the counties of Borden, Bowie, Camp, Cass, Cooke, Dallas, Delta, Denton, Eastland, Erath, Fannin, Fisher, Grayson, Hood, Johnson, Kent, Lamar, Mitchell, Morris, Nolan, Palo Pinto, Parker, Red River, Scurry, Shackelford, Somerville, Stephens, Tarrant, Taylor, Throckmorton, Titus, Wise and Young in the state of Texas.

The association is a lending institution of the Farm Credit System (System), a nationwide system of cooperatively owned banks and associations that was established by Acts of Congress to meet the credit needs of American agriculture and is subject to the provisions of the Farm Credit Act of 1971, as amended (Act). At December 31, 2013, the System consisted of three Farm Credit Banks (FCBs) and their affiliated associations, one Agricultural Credit Bank (ACB) and its affiliated associations, the Federal Farm Credit Banks Funding Corporation (Funding Corporation), and various service and other organizations.

The Farm Credit Bank of Texas (bank) and its related associations are collectively referred to as the "district." The bank provides funding to all associations within the district and is responsible for supervising certain activities of the district associations. At December 31, 2013, the district consisted of the bank, one FLCA and 16 ACA parent companies, which have two wholly-owned subsidiaries, an FLCA and a PCA, operating in or servicing the states of Alabama, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico and Texas. ACA parent companies provide financing and related services through their FLCA and PCA subsidiaries. The FLCA makes secured long-term agricultural real estate and rural home mortgage loans. The PCA makes short- and intermediate-term loans for agricultural production or operating purposes.

The Farm Credit Administration (FCA) is delegated authority by Congress to regulate the System banks and associations. The FCA examines the activities of System associations to ensure their compliance with the Farm Credit Act, FCA regulations, and safe and sound banking practices.

The Act established the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation (FCSIC) to administer the Farm Credit Insurance Fund (Insurance Fund). The Insurance Fund is required to be used (1) to ensure the timely payment of principal and interest on Systemwide debt obligations, (2) to ensure the retirement of protected borrower capital at par or stated value and (3) for other specified purposes. The Insurance Fund is also available for the discretionary uses by the FCSIC of providing assistance to certain troubled System institutions and to cover the operating expenses of the FCSIC. Each System bank has been required to pay premiums, which may be passed on to the association, into the Insurance Fund, based on its annual average adjusted outstanding insured debt until the monies in the Insurance Fund reach the "secure base amount," which is defined in the Farm Credit Act as 2.0 percent of the aggregate insured obligations (adjusted to reflect the reduced risk on loans or investments guaranteed by federal or state governments) or other such percentage of the aggregate obligations as the Insurance Corporation in its sole discretion determines to be actuarially sound. When the amount in the Insurance Fund exceeds the secure base amount, the FCSIC is required to reduce premiums as necessary to maintain the Insurance Fund at the 2 percent level. As required by the Farm Credit Act, as amended, the Insurance Corporation may return excess funds above the secure base amount to System institutions.

FCA regulations require borrower information to be held in strict confidence by Farm Credit institutions, their directors, officers and employees. Directors and employees of the Farm Credit institutions are prohibited, except under specified circumstances, from disclosing nonpublic personal information about members.

B. Operations: The Act sets forth the types of authorized lending activity, persons eligible to borrow and financial services that can be offered by the association. The association is authorized to provide, either directly or in participation with other lenders, credit, credit commitments and related services to eligible borrowers. Eligible borrowers include farmers, ranchers, producers or harvesters of aquatic products, rural residents and farm-related businesses. The association makes and services short- and intermediate-term loans for agricultural production or operating purposes, and secured long-term real estate mortgage loans, with funding from the bank.

The association provides a service facilitating the origination of residential loans that are funded by other lenders as well as acting as an intermediary in offering credit life insurance.

The association's financial condition may be materially affected by factors that affect the bank. The financial condition and results of operations of the bank may materially affect stockholders' investments in the association. Upon request, stockholders of the association will be provided with the Farm Credit Bank of Texas and District Associations' Annual Report to Stockholders, which includes the combined financial statements of the bank and all of the district associations. The district's annual report discusses the material aspects of the financial condition, changes in financial condition, and results of operations for the bank and the district. In addition, the district's annual report identifies favorable and unfavorable trends, significant events, uncertainties and the impact of activities of the Insurance Fund.

The lending and financial services offered by the bank are described in Note 1, "Organization and Operations," of the district's annual report to stockholders.

## NOTE 2 — SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

The accounting and reporting policies of the association conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and prevailing practices within the banking industry. The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Significant estimates are discussed in these footnotes, as applicable. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Certain amounts in prior years' consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to current financial statement presentation. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Lone Star, PCA and Lone Star, FLCA. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

#### A. Recently Issued or Adopted Accounting Pronouncements:

In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance entitled "Balance Sheet – Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities." The guidance requires an entity to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. This includes the effect or potential effect of rights of setoff associated with an entity's recognized assets and recognized liabilities. The requirements apply to recognized financial instruments and derivative instruments that are offset in accordance with the rights of offset set forth in accounting guidance and for those recognized financial instruments and derivative instruments that are subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement, irrespective of whether they are offset or not. This guidance is to be applied retrospectively for all comparative periods and is effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. The adoption of this guidance did not impact the financial condition or results of operations, but resulted in additional disclosures.

In February 2013, the FASB issued guidance, "Reporting of Amounts Reclassified out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income." The guidance requires entities to present either parenthetically on the face of the financial statements or in the notes to the financial statements, significant amounts reclassified from each component of accumulated other comprehensive income and the income statement line items affected by the reclassification. The guidance is effective for public entities for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2012 and for non-public entities for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2013. The adoption of this guidance did not impact the financial condition or results of operations, but resulted in additional disclosures.

In June and December 2011, the FASB issued guidance entitled "Comprehensive Income – Presentation of Comprehensive Income." This guidance is intended to increase the prominence of other comprehensive income in financial statements. The main provisions of the guidance provide that an entity that reports items of other comprehensive income has the option to present comprehensive income in either one or two consecutive financial statements. This guidance did not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income. With either approach, an entity is required to present reclassification adjustments for items reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income in the statement(s). The December 2011 guidance deferred the effective date for the presentation of reclassification adjustments.

This guidance is applied retrospectively and is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance did not impact financial condition or results of operations, but resulted in changes to the presentation of comprehensive income.

B. Cash and Cash Equivalents: Cash and cash equivalents, as included in the statement of cash flows, represent cash on hand and on deposit at local banks.

C. Investment Securities: The association's investments include mortgage-backed securities issued by Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation (Farmer Mac) for which the association has the intent and ability to hold to maturity and which are consequently classified as held-to-maturity. Held-to-maturity investments are carried at cost, adjusted for the amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts. Changes in the fair value of these investments are not recorded unless the investment is deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired. Impairment is considered to be other-than-temporary if the present value of cash flows expected to be collected from the debt security is less than the amortized cost basis of the security (any such shortfall is referred to as a "credit loss"). If an entity intends to sell an impaired debt security or is more likely than not to be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis less any current-period credit loss, the impairment is other-than-temporary and should be recognized currently in earnings in an amount equal to the entire difference between fair value and amortized cost. If a credit loss exists, but an entity does not intend to sell the impaired debt security and is not more likely than not to be required to sell before recovery, the impairment is other-than-temporary and should be separated into (i) the estimated amount relating to credit loss and (ii) the amount relating to all other factors. Only the estimated credit loss amount is recognized currently in earnings, with the remainder of the loss amount recognized in other comprehensive income.

Gains and losses on the sales of investments available-for-sale are determined using the specific identification method. Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted into interest income over the term of the respective issues. The association does not hold investments for trading purposes.

D. Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses: Long-term real estate mortgage loans generally have original maturities ranging from five to 40 years. Substantially all short- and intermediate-term loans for agricultural production or operating purposes have maturities of 10 years or less. Loans are carried at their principal amount outstanding adjusted for charge-offs and deferred loan fees and costs. Interest on loans is accrued and credited to interest income based upon the daily principal amount outstanding. Authoritative accounting guidance requires loan origination fees and direct loan origination costs, if material, to be capitalized and the net fee or cost to be amortized over the life of the related loan as an adjustment to yield. The guidance was implemented in 2010 for loans closed in 2010 and forward, resulting in the capitalization of \$682,218, \$551,008 and \$763,575 for 2013, 2012 and 2011 respectively, in origination fees and \$864,178, \$932,752 and \$876,905 for 2013, 2012 and 2011 respectively, in origination standards and benefits related to the origination of loans.

Loans acquired in a business combination are initially recognized at fair value, and therefore, no "carryover" of the allowance for loan losses is permitted. Those loans with evidence of credit quality deterioration at purchase are required to follow the authoritative accounting guidance on "Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer." This guidance addresses accounting for differences between contractual cash flows and cash flows expected to be collected from the initial investment in loans if those differences are attributable, at least in part, to credit quality. The initial fair values for these types of loans are determined by discounting both principal and interest cash flows expected to be collected using an observable discount rate for similar instruments with adjustments that management believes a market participant would consider in determining fair value. Subsequent decreases to expected principal cash flows will result in a charge to the provision for loan losses and a corresponding increase to allowance for loan losses. Subsequent increases in expected principal cash flows will result in recovery of any previously recorded allowance for loan losses, to the extent applicable, and a reclassification from nonaccretable difference to accretable yield for any remaining increase. For variable rate loans, expected future cash flows were initially based on the rate in effect at acquisition; expected future cash flows are recalculated as rates change over the lives of the loans.

Impaired loans are loans for which it is probable that not all principal and interest will be collected according to the contractual terms of the loan and are generally considered substandard or doubtful, which is in accordance with the loan rating model, as described below. Impaired loans include nonaccrual loans, restructured loans, and loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest. A loan is considered contractually past due when any principal repayment or interest payment required by the loan instrument is not received on or before the due date. A loan shall remain contractually past due until it is formally restructured or until the entire amount past due, including principal, accrued interest and penalty interest incurred as a result of past-due status, is collected or otherwise discharged in full.

Impaired loans are generally placed in nonaccrual status when principal or interest is delinquent for 90 days (unless adequately secured and in the process of collection) or circumstances indicate that collection of principal and/or interest is in doubt. Additionally, all loans over 180 days past due are placed in nonaccrual status. When a loan is placed in nonaccrual status, accrued interest deemed uncollectible is either reversed (if accrued in the current year) or charged against the allowance for loan losses (if accrued in prior years). Loans are charged off at the time they are determined to be uncollectible.

A restructured loan constitutes a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) if for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties the association grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. A concession is

generally granted in order to minimize the association's economic loss and avoid foreclosure. Concessions vary by program and are borrower-specific and may include interest rate reductions, term extensions, payment deferrals or the acceptance of additional collateral in lieu of payments. In limited circumstances, principal may be forgiven. A loan restricted in a troubled debt restructuring is an impaired loan.

Payments received on nonaccrual loans are generally applied to the recorded investment in the loan asset. If collection of the recorded investment in the loan is fully expected and the loan does not have a remaining unrecovered prior charge-off associated with it, the interest portion of payments is recognized as current interest income. Nonaccrual loans may be returned to accrual status when principal and interest are current, the borrower has demonstrated payment performance, there are no unrecovered prior charge-offs, and collection of future payments is no longer in doubt. If previously unrecognized interest income exists at the time the loan is transferred to accrual status, cash received at the time of or subsequent to the transfer is first recorded as interest income until such time as the recorded balance equals the contractual indebtedness of the borrower.

The bank and related associations use a two-dimensional loan rating model based on an internally generated combined system risk-rating guidance that incorporates a 14-point risk-rating scale to identify and track the probability of borrower default and a separate scale addressing loss given default over a period of time. Probability of default is the probability that a borrower will experience a default within 12 months from the date of the determination of the risk rating. A default is considered to have occurred if the lender believes the borrower will not be able to pay its obligation in full or the borrower is past due more than 90 days. The loss given default is management's estimate as to the anticipated economic loss on a specific loan, assuming default has occurred or is expected to occur within the next 12 months.

Each of the probability of default categories carries a distinct percentage of default probability. The 14-point risk-rating scale provides for granularity of the probability of default, especially in the acceptable ratings. There are nine acceptable categories that range from a borrower of the highest quality to a borrower of minimally acceptable quality. The probability of default between 1 and 9 is very narrow and would reflect almost no default to a minimal default percentage. The probability of default grows more rapidly as a loan moves from a "9" to other assets especially mentioned (OAEM) and grows significantly as a loan moves to a substandard (viable) level. A substandard (nonviable) rating indicates that the probability of default is almost certain.

The credit risk-rating methodology is a key component of the association's allowance for loan losses evaluation, and is generally incorporated into its loan underwriting standards and internal lending limit. The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level considered adequate by management to provide for probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio.

The allowance is based on a periodic evaluation of the loan portfolio by management in which numerous factors are considered, including agricultural economy, loan portfolio composition, collateral value, management's process for classification of risk of the loans within the portfolio and the portfolio's prior loss experience. The allowance for loan losses encompasses various judgments, evaluations and appraisals with respect to the loans and their underlying security that, by their nature, contain elements of uncertainty and imprecision. Changes in the agricultural economy and their impact on borrower repayment capacity will cause these various judgments, evaluations and appraisals to change over time. Accordingly, actual circumstances could vary significantly from the institutions' expectations and predictions of those circumstances. The allowance for loan losses process is supported by loan portfolio stress testing, which simulates stress in the current portfolio and the correlating allowance that would be needed.

The allowance for loan losses includes components for loans individually evaluated for impairment and loans collectively evaluated for impairment. Generally, for loans individually evaluated the allowance for loan losses represents the difference between the recorded investment in the loan and the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, or at the fair value of the collateral, less estimated costs to sell, if the loan is collateral-dependent. For those loans collectively evaluated for impairment, the allowance for loan losses is determined using the risk-rating model.

E. Capital Stock Investment in the Farm Credit Bank of Texas: The association's investment in the bank is in the form of Class A voting capital stock and allocated retained earnings. This investment is adjusted periodically based on the association's proportional utilization of the bank compared to other district associations. The bank requires a minimum stock investment of 2 percent of the association's average borrowing from the bank. This investment is carried at cost plus allocated equities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

If needed to meet regulatory capital adequacy requirements, the board of directors of the bank may increase the percentage of stock held by an association from 2 percent of the average outstanding balance of borrowings from the bank to a maximum of 5 percent of the average outstanding balance of borrowings from the bank.

- F. Other Property Owned, Net: Other property owned, net, which consists of real and personal property acquired through foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure, is recorded at fair value less estimated selling costs upon acquisition. Any initial reduction in the carrying amount of a loan to the fair value of the collateral received is charged to the allowance for loan losses. On at least an annual basis, revised estimates to the fair value less cost to sell are reported as adjustments to the carrying amount of the asset, provided that such adjusted value is not in excess of the carrying amount at acquisition. Income and expenses from operations and carrying value adjustments are included in net gains (losses) on other property owned in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income.
- G. Premises and Equipment: Premises and equipment are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. Land is carried at cost. Depreciation is provided on the straight-line method using estimated useful lives of the assets. Gains and losses on dispositions are reflected in current operations. Maintenance and repairs are charged to operating expense, and improvements are capitalized.
- H. Advance Conditional Payments: The association is authorized under the Act to accept advance payments from borrowers. To the extent that the borrower's access to such funds is restricted, the advance conditional payments are netted against the borrower's related loan balance. Amounts in excess of the related loan balance and amounts to which the borrower has unrestricted access are included in other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. Advance conditional payments are not insured. Interest is generally paid by the association on such accounts at rates established by the board of directors.
- I. Employee Benefit Plans: Employees of the association participate in either the district defined benefit retirement plan (DB Plan) or the defined contribution plan (DC Plan). All eligible employees may participate in the Farm Credit Benefits Alliance 401(k) Plan. The DB Plan is closed to new participants. Participants generally include employees hired prior to January 1, 1996. The DB Plan is noncontributory and provides benefits based on salary and years of service. The "projected unit credit" actuarial method is used for financial reporting and funding purposes for the DB Plan.

Participants in the DC Plan generally include employees who elected to transfer from the DB Plan prior to January 1, 1996, and employees hired on or after January 1, 1996. Participants in the DC Plan direct the placement of their employers' contributions, 5.0 percent of eligible pay for the year ended December 31, 2013, made on their behalf into various investment alternatives.

The structure of the district's DB Plan is characterized as multi-employer, since neither the assets, liabilities nor costs of the plan are segregated or separately accounted for by the associations. No portion of any surplus assets is available to the associations, nor are the associations required to pay for plan liabilities upon withdrawal from the plans. As a result, the associations recognize as pension cost the required contribution to the plans for the year. Contributions due and unpaid are recognized as a liability. The association recognized pension costs for the DC Plan of \$252,776, \$241,608 and \$182,774 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. For the DB Plan, the association recognized pension costs of \$482,687, \$460,568 and \$890,942 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The association also participates in the Farm Credit Benefits Alliance 401(k) Plan, which requires the associations to match 100 percent of employee contributions up to 3.0 percent of eligible earnings and to match 50 percent of employee contributions for the next 2.0 percent of employee contributions, up to a maximum employer contribution of 4.0 percent of eligible earnings. Association 401(k) plan costs are expensed as incurred. The association's contributions to the 401(k) plan were \$241,489, \$228,546 and \$181,239 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

In addition to pension benefits, the association provides certain health care and life insurance benefits to qualifying retired employees (other postretirement benefits). These benefits are not characterized as multi-employer and, consequently, the liability for these benefits is included in other liabilities. No association employees hired on or after January 1, 2004 will be eligible for these health care and life insurance benefits upon retirement.

J. Income Taxes: The ACA holding company conducts its business activities through two wholly-owned subsidiaries. Long-term mortgage lending activities are operated through the wholly-owned FLCA subsidiary, which is exempt from federal and state income tax. Short- and intermediate-term lending activities are operated through the wholly-owned PCA subsidiary. Operating expenses are allocated to each subsidiary based on estimated relative service. All significant transactions between the subsidiaries and the parent company have been eliminated in consolidation. The ACA, along with the PCA subsidiary, is subject to income tax. The association is eligible to operate as a cooperative that qualifies for tax treatment under Subchapter T of the Internal Revenue Code. Accordingly, under specified conditions, the association can exclude from taxable income amounts distributed as qualified patronage refunds in the form of cash, stock or allocated retained earnings. Provisions for

income taxes are made only on those earnings that will not be distributed as qualified patronage refunds. Deferred taxes are provided on the association's taxable income on the basis of a proportionate share of the tax effect of temporary differences not allocated in patronage form. A valuation allowance is provided against deferred tax assets to the extent that it is more likely than not (over 50 percent probability), based on management's estimate, that they will not be realized. The consideration of valuation allowances involves various estimates and assumptions as to future taxable earnings, including the effects of the association's expected patronage program, which reduces taxable earnings.

- K. Patronage Refunds From the Farm Credit Bank of Texas: The association records patronage refunds from the bank on an accrual basis.
- L. Fair Value Measurement: The FASB guidance defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. It describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 — Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 1 assets and liabilities include debt and equity securities and derivative contracts that are traded in an active exchange market, as well as certain U.S. Treasury, other U.S. government and agency mortgage-backed debt securities that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets. Also included in Level 1 are assets held in trust funds, which relate to deferred compensation and our supplemental retirement plan. The trust funds include investments that are actively traded and have quoted net asset values that are observable in the marketplace. Pension plan assets that are invested in equity securities, including mutual funds and fixed-income securities that are actively traded, are also included in Level 1.

Level 2 — Observable inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include the following: (a) quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; (b) quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active so that they are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments, the prices are not current or principal market information is not released publicly; (c) inputs other than quoted prices that are observable such as interest rates and yield curves, prepayment speeds, credit risks and default rates; and (d) inputs derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means. This category generally includes certain U.S. government and agency mortgage-backed debt securities, corporate debt securities, and derivative contracts. Pension plan assets that are derived from observable inputs, including corporate bonds and mortgage-backed securities, are reported in Level 2.

Level 3 — Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities are considered Level 3. These unobservable inputs reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation. This category generally includes certain private equity investments, retained residual interests in securitizations, asset-backed securities, highly structured or long-term derivative contracts, certain loans and other property owned. Pension plan assets such as certain mortgage-backed securities that are supported by little or no market data in determining the fair value are included in Level 3.

The fair value disclosures are presented in Note 14, "Fair Value Measurements."

M. Off-balance-sheet credit exposures: Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to customers, generally having fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses that may require payment of a fee. Commercial letters of credit are conditional commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These letters of credit are issued to facilitate commerce and typically result in the commitment being funded when the underlying transaction is consummated between the customer and third party. The credit risk associated with commitments to extend credit and commercial letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved with extending loans to customers and is subject to normal credit policies. Collateral may be obtained based on management's assessment of the customer's creditworthiness. The association's exposure in off balance-balance-sheet credit exposures is further disclosed in Note 15 "Commitments and Contingencies."

## **NOTE 3 — INVESTMENT SECURITIES:**

The association may hold mission-related and other investments. The Farm Credit Administration approves mission-related programs and other mission-related investments. The following is a summary of mission-related and other investments that are held to maturity:

During 2010, the association exchanged loans totaling \$59,626,146 for Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation (Farmer Mac) guaranteed mortgage-backed securities (AMBS). The loans were previously covered under the Long-Term Standby Commitment to Purchase Agreements with Farmer Mac. No gain or loss was recognized in the financial statements upon completion of the exchange transactions.

Effective January 26, 2012, The Farm Credit Bank of Texas (the bank) purchased Lone Star's securitized Farmer Mac Agricultural Mortgage Backed Securities (AMBS) Investments. The purchase of \$35,459,508 included outstanding principal and accrued interest as of that date. There was no gain or loss recognized by the association on this transaction. The association will continue to service the underlying loans that were included in this security. Also, for 2012 there was no effect to Lone Star's income based on this transaction as the bank was able to pay the association a patronage equivalent to the net interest that it would have earned on the AMBS investment. The amount of patronage received in 2013 was \$709,277. However, for future years, the bank's payment of patronage is at the discretion of the bank's board of directors. The remaining balance of the AMBS investment at December 31, 2013 was \$25,805,867.

The following is a summary of Farmer Mac agricultural mortgage-backed securities:

	<b>December 31, 2013</b>							
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Fair Value	Weighted Average Yield				
Agricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	- %				
			December 31, 20	12				
	A 1	Gross						
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Fair Value	Weighted Average Yield				
	Cost	Gams	Tan Varue	Weighted Average Tield				
Agricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	- %				
		Ι	December 31, 20	11				
		Gross						
	Amortized	Unrealized						
	Cost	Gains	Fair Value	Weighted Average Yield				
Agricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$35,688,875	\$ 597,892	2 \$36,286,767	5.39 %				

# NOTE 4 — LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES:

A summary of loans as of December 31 follows:

	2013			2012		2011		
Loan Type	Amount %		%	Amount	%	Amount	%	
Real estate mortgage	\$	655,294,730	77.8%	\$ 641,681,563	77.1%	\$ 681,576,375	78.1%	
Production and								
intermediate term		66,322,143	7.9%	77,797,798	9.4%	96,692,376	11.1%	
Agribusiness:								
Loans to cooperatives		-	0.0%	156,067	0.0%	515,414	0.1%	
Processing and marketing		56,675,360	6.7%	57,413,674	6.9%	56,797,700	6.5%	
Farm-related business		3,854,580	0.5%	4,848,876	0.6%	5,645,373	0.6%	
Communication		2,152,156	0.3%	1,676,270	0.2%	6,089,587	0.7%	
Energy		43,829,876	5.2%	33,441,681	4.0%	8,336,568	1.0%	
Water and waste water		1,152,930	0.1%	548,300	0.1%	1,316,020	0.2%	
Rural residential real estate		12,693,033	1.5%	13,770,252	1.7%	15,076,576	1.7%	
Total	\$	841,974,808	100.0%	\$ 831,334,481	100.0%	\$ 872,045,989	100.0%	

The association may purchase or sell participation interests with other parties in order to diversify risk, manage loan volume and comply with Farm Credit Administration regulations. The following table presents information regarding participations purchased and sold as of December 31, 2013:

	Other Farm Cro	edit Institutions	Non-Farm Cre	dit Institutions	Total	
	Participations	Participations	Participations	Participations	Participations	Participations
	Purchased	Sold	Purchased	Sold	Purchased	Sold
Real estate mortgage	\$ 13,586,049	\$ 12,681,282	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 13,586,049	\$ 12,681,282
Production and intermediate term	25,539,791	-	-	-	25,539,791	-
Agribusiness	55,147,687	197,621	-	-	55,147,687	197,621
Communication	2,152,156	-	-	-	2,152,156	-
Energy	43,829,876	-	-	-	43,829,876	-
Water and waste water	1,152,930			<u> </u>	1,152,930	
Total	\$ 141,408,489	\$ 12,878,903	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 141,408,489	\$ 12,878,903

Loan volume by office or geographic location:

Branch	2013	2012	2011
Sherman	21.2%	20.6%	20.3%
Stephenville	19.7%	20.2%	23.5%
Capital Markets	15.8%	15.1%	10.6%
Denton	11.2%	11.4%	10.9%
Cleburne	8.9%	9.5%	10.0%
New Boston	6.9%	7.4%	7.8%
Paris	6.5%	6.9%	7.1%
Abilene	3.5%	4.5%	5.8%
Sweetwater	3.1%	3.0%	2.5%
Weatherford	1.5%	1.4%	1.5%
Participations Purchased	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fort Worth	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

The association's concentration of credit risk in various agricultural commodities is shown in the following table. While the amounts represent the association's maximum potential credit risk as it relates to recorded loan principal, a substantial portion of the association's lending activities is collateralized, and the association's exposure to credit loss associated with lending activities is reduced accordingly. An estimate of the association's credit risk exposure is considered in the determination of the allowance for loan losses.

	2013		2012		2011		
Operation/Commodity	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	
Livestock, except dairy and poultry	\$ 533,899,098	63.3%	\$ 535,071,420	64.1%	\$ 569,828,799	65.4%	
General farms, primarily crops	49,530,919	5.9%	47,216,455	5.7%	47,280,827	5.4%	
Electric services	39,670,207	4.7%	33,989,981	4.1%	9,652,588	1.1%	
Timber	37,726,308	4.5%	28,949,828	3.5%	26,266,089	3.0%	
Field crops except cash grains	32,837,632	3.9%	32,040,210	3.9%	32,174,882	3.7%	
Paper and allied products	25,617,953	3.0%	16,428,635	2.0%	13,452,403	1.5%	
Wholesale trade - nondurable goods	21,673,835	2.6%	27,900,805	3.4%	25,318,579	2.9%	
Dairy farms	19,003,971	2.3%	25,360,712	3.1%	54,292,919	6.2%	
Hunting, trapping and game propagation	15,585,269	1.9%	13,949,237	1.7%	6,837,998	0.8%	
Cash grains	14,745,358	1.8%	15,959,167	1.9%	16,140,193	1.9%	
Animal specialties	14,706,098	1.7%	12,926,629	1.6%	13,112,190	1.5%	
Rural home loans	13,360,072	1.6%	14,327,522	1.7%	15,779,242	1.8%	
Food and kindred products	8,856,516	1.1%	10,619,160	1.3%	13,283,184	1.5%	
Other	6,621,063	0.8%	6,819,166	0.8%	6,846,664	0.8%	
Communication	2,152,156	0.3%	1,676,270	0.2%	6,089,587	0.7%	
Poultry and eggs	2,064,320	0.2%	3,065,567	0.4%	5,423,457	0.6%	
Farm and garden machinery equipment	1,162,438	0.1%	1,520,405	0.2%	3,198,890	0.4%	
Chemical and allied products	877,911	0.1%	1,414,532	0.2%	1,466,353	0.2%	
Lumber and wood products, except furniture	849,848	0.1%	1,121,751	0.1%	1,221,491	0.1%	
Agricultural services	753,125	0.1%	855,934	0.1%	1,649,831	0.2%	
General farms, primarily livestock	207,516	0.0%	35,209	0.0%	64,049	0.0%	
Fruit and tree nuts	47,117	0.0%	48,552	0.0%	-	0.0%	
Building materials, hardware and garden supplies	26,078	0.0%	37,334	0.0%	54,621	0.0%	
Food stores		0.0%		0.0%	2,611,153	0.3%	
Total	\$ 841,974,808	100.0%	\$ 831,334,481	100.0%	\$ 872,045,989	100.0%	

The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the borrower. Collateral held varies but typically includes farmland and income-producing property, such as crops and livestock, as well as receivables. Long-term real estate loans are secured by the first liens on the underlying real property. Federal regulations state that long-term real estate loans are not to exceed 85 percent (or 97 percent if guaranteed by a government agency) of the property's appraised value. However, a decline in a property's market value subsequent to loan origination or advances, or other actions necessary to protect the financial interest of the association in the collateral, may result in loan-to-value ratios in excess of the regulatory maximum.

To mitigate the risk of loan losses, the association has obtained loan guarantees in the form of standby commitments to purchase qualifying loans from Farmer Mac through an arrangement with the bank. The agreements, which will remain in place until the loans are paid in full, give the association the right to sell the loans identified in the agreements to Farmer Mac in the event of defaults (typically four months past due), subject to certain conditions. At December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, loans totaling \$1,212,714, \$1,273,028 and \$37,113,178, respectively, were guaranteed by these commitments. Fees paid for these guarantees totaled \$4,213, \$25,587 and \$167,036 in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and are included in "other noninterest expense."

Nonperforming assets (including related accrued interest) and related credit quality statistics are as follows:

	December 31, 2013		De	December 31, 2012		ecember 31, 2011
Nonaccrual loans:						
Real estate mortgage	\$	12,347,950	\$	17,821,115	\$	34,312,846
Production and intermediate term		705,454		3,838,693		17,903,808
Agribusiness		504,913		588,546		6,965,867
Communication		-		1,676,270		_
Rural residential real estate		236,435		352,301		414,794
Total nonaccrual loans		13,794,752		24,276,925		59,597,315
Accruing restructured loans:						
Real estate mortgage		35,233		35,233		_
Production and intermediate term		15,515		32,424		-
Total accruing restructured loans		50,748		67,657		-
Accruing loans 90 days or more past due:						
Real estate mortgage		_		-		11,113
Total accruing loans 90 days or more						
past due				-		11,113
Total nonperforming loans		13,845,500		24,344,582		59,608,428
Other property owned		858,367		1,060,973		5,487,529
Total nonperforming assets	\$	14,703,867	\$	25,405,555	\$	65,095,957

One credit quality indicator utilized by the bank and the association is the Farm Credit Administration's Uniform Loan Classification System that categorizes loans into five categories. The categories are defined as follows:

- Acceptable assets are expected to be fully collectible and represent the highest quality,
- Other assets especially mentioned (OAEM) assets are currently collectible but exhibit some potential weakness,
- Substandard assets exhibit some serious weakness in repayment capacity, equity and/or collateral pledged on the loan,
- Doubtful assets exhibit similar weaknesses to substandard assets; however, doubtful assets have additional weaknesses in existing factors, conditions and values that make collection in full highly questionable, and
- Loss assets are considered uncollectible.

The following table shows loans and related accrued interest classified under the Farm Credit Administration's Uniform Loan Classification System as a percentage of total loans and related accrued interest receivable by loan type as of December 31, 2013:

	2013	2012	2011
Real estate mortgage			
Acceptable	92%	90%	88%
OAEM	4%	5%	3%
Substandard/doubtful	4%	5%	9%
	100%	100%	100%
Production and intermediate term	2021	0.204	
Acceptable	98%	92%	63%
OAEM	1%	1%	8%
Substandard/doubtful	1%	7%	29%
	100%	100%	100%
Loans to cooperatives	1000/	1000/	1000/
Acceptable	100%	100%	100%
OAEM	0%	0%	0%
Substandard/doubtful	0%	0%	0%
	100%	100%	100%
Processing and marketing	a=a.		
Acceptable	97%	99%	90%
OAEM	2%	0%	0%
Substandard/doubtful	1%	1%	10%
Farm-related business	100%	100%	100%
Acceptable	95%	95%	75%
OAEM	0%	0%	2%
Substandard/doubtful	5%	5%	23%
Substandard, doubtrar	100%	100%	100%
Communication			
Acceptable	40%	0%	100%
OAEM	0%	0%	0%
Substandard/doubtful	60%	100%	0%
	100%	100%	100%
Energy			
Acceptable	100%	100%	100%
OAEM	0%	0%	0%
Substandard/doubtful	0%	0%	0%
	100%	100%	100%
Water and waste water			
Acceptable	100%	100%	100%
OAEM	0%	0%	0%
Substandard/doubtful	0%	0%_	0%_
	100%	100%	100%
Rural residential real estate			
Acceptable	88%	86%	87%
OAEM	3%	4%	2%
Substandard/doubtful	9%	10%	11%
	100%	100%	100%
Total Loans			
Acceptable	94%	91%	85%
OAEM	3%	4%	4%
Substandard/doubtful	3%	5%	11%
	100%	100%	100%

The following tables provide an age analysis of past due loans (including accrued interest) as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

December 31, 2013:		30-89 Days Past Due		90 Days or More Past Due		Total Past Due	]	ot Past Due or less than 30 ays Past Due		Total Loans		rded Investment ays and Accruing
Real estate mortgage	\$	916,069	\$	1,468,088	\$	2,384,157	\$	657,015,427	\$	659,399,584	\$	-
Production and intermediate-term		•		514,591		514,591		66,062,819		66,577,410		-
Loans to cooperatives		•		-		-		1,646		1,646		-
Processing and marketing		•		427,288		427,288		56,268,897		56,696,185		-
Farm-related business		-		77,625		77,625		3,785,265		3,862,890		-
Communication		-		-		-		2,152,190		2,152,190		-
Energy		-		-		•		43,968,613		43,968,613		-
Water and waste water		-		-		-		1,153,831		1,153,831		-
Rural residential real estate		868,313		•		868,313		11,862,241		12,730,554		-
Total	\$	1,784,382	\$	2,487,592	\$	4,271,974	\$	842,270,929	\$	846,542,903	\$	<u> </u>
December 31, 2012:		30-89		90 Days		Total	N	ot Past Due or				
		Days		or More		Past		less than 30		Total	Rec	orded Investment
		Past Due		Past Due		Due	D	Days Past Due		Loans	>90 I	Days and Accruing
Real estate mortgage	\$	1,280,010	\$	3,630,666	\$	4,910,676	\$	641,145,513	\$	646,056,189	\$	-
Production and intermediate-term		99,221		2,163,830		2,263,051		75,877,324		78,140,375		-
Loans to cooperatives		-		-		-		157,146		157,146		-
Processing and marketing		-		588,546		588,546		56,870,041		57,458,587		-
Farm-related business		-		-		-		4,851,038		4,851,038		-
Communication		-		-		-		1,676,270		1,676,270		-
Energy		-		-		-		33,510,829		33,510,829		-
Water and waste water		-		-		-		549,010		549,010		-
Rural residential real estate		47,315		-		47,315		13,761,565		13,808,880		-
Total	\$	1,426,546	\$	6,383,042	\$	7,809,588	\$	828,398,736	\$	836,208,324	\$	-
December 31, 2011:		30-89		90 Days		Total	No	ot Past Due or				
		Days		or More		Past		less than 30		Total	Reco	orded Investment
		Past Due		Past Due		Due		ays Past Due		Loans		Days and Accruing
Real estate mortgage	\$	2,672,169	\$	10,387,717	\$	13,059,886	\$	674,593,495	\$	687,653,381	\$	11,113
Production and intermediate-term		718,740		8,774,590		9,493,330		87,787,538		97,280,868		-
Loans to cooperatives		-		-		-		516,158		516,158		-
Processing and marketing		-		5,219,353		5,219,353		51,632,051		56,851,404		-
Farm-related business		-		-		-		5,650,293		5,650,293		-
Communication		-		-		-		6,096,406		6,096,406		-
Energy		-		-		-		8,402,110		8,402,110		-
Water and waste water		-		-		-		1,317,185		1,317,185		-
Rural residential real estate	_	332,262	_	35,271	_	367,533	<u></u>	14,759,029	_	15,126,562	Φ.	-
Total	\$	3,723,171	\$	24,416,931	\$	28,140,102	\$	850,754,265	\$	878,894,367	\$	11,113

**Troubled Debt Restructuring:** A restructuring of a debt constitutes a troubled debt restructuring if the creditor for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. Troubled debt restructurings are undertaken in order to improve the likelihood of recovery on the loan and may include, but are not limited to, forgiveness of principal or interest, interest rate reductions that are lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk, or significant term or payment extensions.

As of December 31, 2013, the total recorded investment of troubled debt restructured loans was \$8,108,070, including \$8,057,322 classified as nonaccrual and \$50,748 classified as accrual, with specific allowance for loan losses of \$1,978,780. The specific allowance is determined quarterly through a net realizable value analysis for each individual loan asset. As of December 31, 2013, commitments to lend funds to borrowers whose loan terms have been modified in a troubled debt restructuring were \$0.

The following tables present additional information regarding troubled debt restructurings, which includes both accrual and nonaccrual loans with troubled debt restructuring designation, that occurred during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. The pre-modification outstanding recorded investment represents the recorded investment of the loans as of the quarter end prior to the restructuring. The post modification outstanding recorded investment represents the recorded investment of the loans as of the quarter end the restructuring occurred.

December 31, 2013:		fication Outstanding rded Investment	Post-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment		
Troubled debt restructurings:  Real estate mortgage  Production and intermediate term	\$	<u>-</u> -	\$	<u>.</u>	
Total	\$	-	\$	-	
December 31, 2012:		ification Outstanding orded Investment	Post-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment		
Troubled debt restructurings:					
Real estate mortgage	\$	326,765	\$	497,027	
Production and intermediate term		122,524		147,358	
Total	\$	449,289	\$	644,385	
December 31, 2011:	Pre-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment			fication Outstanding rded Investment	
Troubled debt restructurings:					
Real estate mortgage	\$	12,578,548	\$	11,719,726	
Production and intermediate term		2,267,063		1,517,200	
Total	\$	14,845,611	\$	13,236,926	

In restructurings where principal is forgiven, the amount of the forgiveness is immediately charged off. In restructurings where accrued interest is forgiven, the interest is reversed (if current year interest) or charged off (if prior year interest). There were no restructurings where principal was forgiven that required a charge off, at the modification date, for the year ended December 31, 2013.

The predominant form of concession granted for troubled debt restructuring includes a delay in the repayment of principal. At times these terms might be offset with incremental payments, collateral or new borrower guarantees, in which case the association assesses all of the modified terms to determine if the overall modification qualifies as a troubled debt restructuring.

At December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, the association had no loans that met the accounting criteria as a troubled debt restructuring and that occurred within the previous 12 months of that year and for which there was a payment default during the period. A payment default is defined as a payment that is 30 days past due after the date the loan was restructured.

There were no additional commitments to lend to borrowers whose loans have been modified in TDRs at December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 or December 31, 2011.

The following table provides information on outstanding loans restructured in troubled debt restructurings at period end. These loans are included as impaired loans in the impaired loan table:

Loans Modified as TDRs								
I	December 31,	De	ecember 31,	Ι	December 31,			
	2013		2012		2011			
\$	35,233	\$	35,233	\$	-			
	15,515		32,424		-			
\$	50,748	\$	67,657	\$	-			
I	December 31, 2013			I	December 31, 2011			
\$	7,934,371 122,951	\$	9,941,242 1,109,993	\$	11,249,437 1,440,984			
\$	8,057,322	\$	11,051,235	\$	12,690,421			
	\$ \$	\$ 35,233 15,515 \$ 50,748 December 31, 2013 \$ 7,934,371 122,951	December 31, 2013  \$ 35,233 \$ 15,515 \$  \$ 50,748 \$   December 31, 2013  \$ 7,934,371 \$ 122,951	December 31,       December 31,         2013       December 31,         \$ 35,233       \$ 35,233         \$ 50,748       \$ 67,657         * December 31,       TDRs on Nonaccrual Status*         December 31,       December 31,         2013       * 9,941,242         \$ 122,951       \$ 1,109,993	December 31, 2012         \$ 35,233   \$ 35,233   \$ 32,424         \$ 50,748   \$ 67,657   \$         December 31, 2013       TDRs on Nonaccrual Status* December 31, 2012         \$ 7,934,371   \$ 9,941,242   \$ 1,109,993			

<sup>\*</sup> represents the portion of loans modified as TDRs that are in nonaccrual status

Additional impaired loan information is as follows:

	Recorded Investment at 12/31/2013	Investment at Principal Related		Average Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized	
Impaired loans with a related						
allowance for credit losses:						
Real estate mortgage	\$ 8,424,743	\$ 8,469,894	\$ 2,058,679	\$ 9,067,395	\$ 22,633	
Production and intermediate term	149,029	149,029	62,910	296,639	-	
Processing and marketing	208,470	460,570	139,257	79,988	-	
Farm-related business	77,625	77,625	18,086	21,734	4,272	
Rural residential real estate		-	-	-	-	
Total	\$ 8,859,867	\$ 9,157,118	\$ 2,278,932	\$ 9,465,756	\$ 26,905	
Impaired loans with no related						
allowance for credit losses:						
Real estate mortgage	\$ 3,958,441	\$ 4,026,499	\$ -	\$ 3,835,143	\$ 17,011	
Production and intermediate term	571,940	1,029,052	-	1,175,746	715	
Processing and marketing	218,818	218,818	-	328,630	-	
Farm-related business	-	· -	-	-	10,154	
Rural residential real estate	236,434	236,435	-	251,263	1,731	
Total	\$ 4,985,633	\$ 5,510,804	\$ -	\$ 5,590,782	\$ 29,611	
Total impaired loans:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,		, ,	,	
Real estate mortgage	\$ 12,383,184	\$ 12,496,393	\$ 2,058,679	\$ 12,902,538	\$ 39,644	
Production and intermediate term	720,969	1,178,081	62,910	1,472,385	715	
Processing and marketing	427,288	679,388	139,257	408,618	-	
Farm-related business	77,625	77,625	18,086	21,734	14,426	
Rural residential real estate	236,434	236,435	´ <b>-</b>	251,263	1,731	
Total	\$ 13,845,500	\$ 14,667,922	\$ 2,278,932	\$ 15,056,538	\$ 56,516	

	Recorded Investment at 12/31/2012	Unpaid Principal Balance <sup>a</sup>	Related Allowance	Average Impaired Loans	]	Interest Income ecognized
Impaired loans with a related	12/31/2012	Balance	Allowalice	Loans	Ke	coginzeu
allowance for credit losses:						
Real estate mortgage	\$ 12,723,644	\$ 12,859,757	\$ 2,233,786	\$ 13,366,960	\$	6,023
Production and intermediate term	3,020,104	3,245,869	1,177,535	2,847,661	Ψ	4,529
Processing and marketing	-	-	-	-,,		-
Farm-related business	-	-	-	_		-
Communication	1,676,270	1,676,270	741,182	1,340,920		(3,163)
Rural residential real estate	208,721	208,721	21,341	164,528		-
Total	\$ 17,628,739	\$ 17,990,617	\$ 4,173,844	\$ 17,720,069	\$	7,389
Impaired loans with no related						
allowance for credit losses:						
Real estate mortgage	\$ 5,132,705	\$ 5,200,475	\$ -	\$ 4,099,340	\$	41,359
Production and intermediate term	851,013	4,628,619	-	432,900		31,423
Processing and marketing	588,546	588,546	-	1,449,838		-
Farm-related business	-	-	-	-		9,160
Communication	-	-	-	-		-
Rural residential real estate	143,579	143,581	-	154,335		-
Total	\$ 6,715,843	\$ 10,561,221	\$ -	\$ 6,136,413	\$	81,942
Total impaired loans:						
Real estate mortgage	\$ 17,856,349	\$ 18,060,232	\$ 2,233,786	\$ 17,466,300	\$	47,382
Production and intermediate term	3,871,117	7,874,488	1,177,535	3,280,561		35,952
Processing and marketing	588,546	588,546	-	1,449,838		-
Farm-related business	-	-	-	-		9,160
Communication	1,676,270	1,676,270	741,182	1,340,920		(3,163)
Rural residential real estate	352,300	352,302	21,341	318,863		
Total	\$ 24,344,582	\$ 28,551,838	\$ 4,173,844	\$ 23,856,482	\$	89,331
						_
	Recorded	Unpaid		Average		Interest
	Investment at	Principal	Related	Impaired		Income
	12/31/2011	Balance <sup>a</sup>	Allowance	Loans	Re	ecognized
Impaired loans with a related						
allowance for credit losses:						
Real estate mortgage	\$ 4,403,062	\$ 4,537,167	\$ 1,217,854	\$ 3,771,975	\$	4,199
Production and intermediate term	10,216,506	15,540,075	8,223,813	12,089,835		33,743
Processing and marketing	-	-	282,643	426,600		19,302
Farm-related business	1,143,936	1,423,785	197,720	1,248,961		88,168
Rural residential real estate	54,736	54,736	4,895	58,291		<del>-</del>
Total	\$ 15,818,240	\$ 21,555,763	\$ 9,926,925	\$ 17,595,662	\$	145,412
Impaired loans with no related						
allowance for credit losses:	ф. <b>3</b> 0, 0 <b>3</b> 0, 00 <b>7</b>	ф. 01.040.400	ф	Ф 22 020 612	Φ.	205 000
Real estate mortgage	\$ 29,920,897	\$ 31,342,429	\$ -	\$ 32,938,613	\$	305,898
Production and intermediate term	7,687,302	14,178,285	-	6,738,660		114,945
Processing and marketing	5,821,931	5,821,931	-	5,649,332		(303)
Farm-related business	260.050	260.050	-	260,602		8,144
Rural residential real estate	360,058	360,058	<u>-</u>	260,602	d.	4,602
Total	\$ 43,790,188	\$ 51,702,703	\$ -	\$ 45,587,207	\$	433,286
Total impaired loans:	¢ 24 222 050	¢ 25 070 506	¢ 1017054	¢ 26.710.500	ф	210.007
Real estate mortgage	\$ 34,323,959	\$ 35,879,596	\$ 1,217,854	\$ 36,710,588	\$	310,097
Production and intermediate term		20 710 270	0 112 012			
	17,903,808	29,718,360	8,223,813	18,828,495		148,688
Processing and marketing	17,903,808 5,821,931	5,821,931	282,643	6,075,932		18,999
Farm-related business	17,903,808 5,821,931 1,143,936	5,821,931 1,423,785	282,643 197,720	6,075,932 1,248,961		18,999 96,312
	17,903,808 5,821,931	5,821,931	282,643	6,075,932	\$	18,999

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Unpaid principal balance represents the recorded principal balance of the loan.

There were no material commitments to lend additional funds to debtors whose loans were classified as impaired at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Interest income on nonaccrual and accruing restructured loans that would have been recognized under the original terms of the loans at December 31:

Interest income which would have been recognized under the original terms

Less: interest income recognized

Foregone interest income

2013		2012	2011			
\$	1,947,807 (56,516)	\$ 2,792,575 (89,331)	\$	4,831,493 (578,698)		
\$	1,891,291	\$ 2,703,244	\$	4,252,795		

A summary of the changes in the allowance for credit losses and the ending balance of loans outstanding are as follows:

	Real Estate Mortgage	Production and Intermediate Term	Agribusiness	Communication	Energy	Water and Waste Water	Rural Residential Real Estate	Total
Allowance for Credit Losses: Balance at								
December 31, 2012 Charge-offs Recoveries Provision for loan losses	\$ (1,221,114) (350,836) 5,122 7,801,478	\$ 10,548,903 (525,428) 233,091 (10,121,785)	\$ 914,969 (252,100) 129,680 (493,168)	\$ 4,403 - - (41,192)	\$ 5,019 - - 180,425	\$ - - - 679	\$ (18,582) - - 80,259	\$ 10,233,598 (1,128,364) 367,893 (2,593,304)
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 6,234,650	\$ 134,781	\$ 299,381	\$ (36,789)	\$ 185,444	\$ 679	\$ 61,677	\$ 6,879,823
Ending Balance: individually evaluated for impairment Ending Balance:	\$ 2,058,679	\$ 62,910	\$ 157,343	\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ 2,278,932
collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 4,175,971	\$ 71,871	\$ 142,038	\$ (36,789)	\$ 185,444	\$ 679	\$ 61,677	\$ 4,600,891
Recorded Investment in Loans Outstanding: Ending Balance at December 31, 2013 Ending balance for loans	\$ 659,399,584	\$ 66,577,410	\$ 60,560,721	\$ 2,152,190	\$ 43,968,613	\$ 1,153,831	\$ 12,730,554	\$ 846,542,903
individually evaluated for impairment Ending balance for loans collectively evaluated for	\$ 12,383,183	\$ 720,969	\$ 504,913	\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$ 236,435	\$ 13,845,500
impairment	\$ 647,016,401	\$ 65,856,441	\$ 60,055,808	\$ 2,152,190	\$ 43,968,613	\$ 1,153,831	\$ 12,494,119	\$ 832,697,403

A summary of the allowance for loan losses as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 follows:

	2012			2011
Beginning balance	\$	12,463,151	\$	19,067,611
Provision for loan losses		665,992		5,669,112
Loans charged off		(3,915,121)		(12,380,211)
Recoveries		1,019,576		106,639
Allowance for loan losses	\$	10,233,598	\$	12,463,151

## NOTE 5 — INVESTMEN IN THE FARM CREDIT BANK OF TEXAS:

The association operates under a general financing agreement (GFA) with the bank. The current GFA is effective through September 30, 2015. The investment in the Farm Credit Bank of Texas is a requirement of borrowing from the bank and is carried at cost plus allocated equities, not fair value, in the accompanying balance sheet. Estimating the fair value of the association's investment in the Farm Credit Bank of Texas is not practicable because the stock is not traded. The association owns 6.0 percent of the issued stock of the bank as of December 31, 2013. As of that date, the bank's assets totaled \$16.2 billion and members' equity totaled \$1.4 billion. The bank's earnings were \$179.8 million during 2013.

# NOTE 6 — PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT:

Premises and equipment consisted of the following at December 31:

	2013		2012		2011
Land and improvements	\$	208,268	\$	208,268	\$ 208,268
Building and improvements		1,771,365		1,715,873	1,645,734
Furniture and equipment		425,175		424,806	433,691
Computer equipment and software		385,893		378,248	419,526
Automobiles		860,171		953,468	996,473
Construction in progress		30,423		-	_
		3,681,295		3,680,663	3,703,692
Accumulated depreciation		(2,206,266)		(2,064,829)	(2,037,621)
Total	\$	1,475,029	\$	1,615,834	\$ 1,666,071

The association leases office space in Fort Worth, Sherman, Abilene and Weatherford. Lease expense was \$367,604, \$341,863 and \$352,323 for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Minimum annual lease payments for the next five years are as follows:

	 Operating
2014	\$ 407,080
2015	406,613
2016	354,506
2017	306,754
2018	301,050
Thereafter	 494,351
Total	\$ 2,270,354

## NOTE 7 — OTHER PROPERTY OWNED, NET:

Net gain (loss) on other property owned, net consists of the following for the years ended December 31:

	2013		2012		2011	
Gain (loss) on sale, net	\$	504,302	\$	2,261,068	\$	1,005,698
Operating income (expense), net		(65,781)		(200,243)		(665,372)
Net gain (loss) on other property owned	\$	438,521	\$	2,060,825	\$	340,326

#### NOTE 8 — OTHER ASSETS AND OTHER LIABILITIES:

Other assets comprised the following at December 31:

	2013		2012		2011	
Accounts receivable (other than members) - Other	\$	538,845	\$	424,401	\$	384,296
Other Assets - Other		101,689		149,805		45,773
Total	\$	640,534	\$	574,206	\$	430,069
" liabilities commissed the following at December 21.						
r liabilities comprised the following at December 31:						
Accounts payable - Total	\$	1,244,083	\$	801,603	\$	1,146,260

2013

2012

2011

Accumulated postretirement obligation	2,429,835	2,836,361	2,391,932
Funds held/advanced conditional payments	271,124	40,188	36,701
Other liabilities	702,049	489,148	413,401
Total	\$ 4,647,091	\$ 4,167,300	\$ 3,988,294

#### NOTE 9 — NOTE PAYABLE TO THE BANK:

Other

The interest rate risk inherent in the association's loan portfolio is substantially mitigated through the funding relationship with the bank. The bank manages interest rate risk through its direct loan pricing and asset/liability management process. The association's indebtedness to the bank represents borrowings by the association to fund the majority of its loan portfolio. The indebtedness is collateralized by a pledge of substantially all of the association's assets, and is governed by a general financing agreement. The interest rate on the direct loan is based upon the bank's cost of funding the loans the association has outstanding to its borrowers. The indebtedness continues in effect until the expiration date of the general financing agreement, which is September 30, 2015, unless sooner terminated by the bank upon the occurrence of an event of default, or by the association, in the event of a breach of this agreement by the bank, upon giving the bank 30 calendar days' prior written notice, or in all other circumstances, upon giving the bank 120 days' prior written notice.

The total amount and the weighted average interest rate of the association's direct loan from the bank at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, was \$642,361,465 at 1.68 percent, \$643,933,881 at 1.86 percent and \$743,775,358 at 2.38 percent, respectively.

Under the Act, the association is obligated to borrow only from the bank unless the bank approves borrowing from other funding sources. The bank and FCA regulations have established limitations on the association's ability to borrow funds based on specified factors or formulas relating primarily to credit quality and financial condition. At December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the association's note payable was within the specified limitations. The maximum amount the association may borrow from the bank as of December 31, 2013, was \$830,191,490, as defined by the general financing agreement.

In addition to borrowing limits, the financing agreement establishes certain covenants including limits on leases, investments, other debt, and dividend and patronage distributions; minimum standards for return on assets and for liquidity; and provisions for conducting business, maintaining records, reporting financial information, and establishing policies and procedures. Remedies specified in the general financing agreement associated with the covenants include additional reporting requirements, development of action plans, increases in interest rates on indebtedness, reduction of lending limits or repayment of indebtedness. As of and for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the association was not subject to remedies associated with the covenants in the general financing agreement. As of and for the year ended December 31, 2011, the association was subject to remedies associated with the covenants in the general financing agreement and was in compliance with those remedies.

## NOTE 10 — MEMBERS' EQUITY:

A description of the association's capitalization requirements, protection mechanisms, regulatory capitalization requirements and restrictions, and equities are provided below.

Protection of certain borrower equity is provided under the Act that requires the association, when retiring protected borrower equity, to retire such equity at par or stated value regardless of its book value. Protected borrower equity includes capital stock, participation certificates and allocated equities that were outstanding as of January 6, 1988, or were issued or allocated prior to October 6, 1988. If an association is unable to retire protected borrower equity at par value or stated value, amounts required to retire this equity would be obtained from the Insurance Fund.

In accordance with the Act and the association's capitalization bylaws, each borrower is required to invest in the association as a condition of borrowing. The investment in Class B capital stock (for farm loans), or participation certificates (for rural home loans) is equal to 2 percent of the loan amount, up to a maximum amount of \$1,000. The borrower acquires ownership of the capital stock or participation certificates at the time the loan is made, usually by adding the aggregate par value of the capital stock or participation certificates to the principal amount of the related loan obligation. The capital stock or participation certificates are subject to a first lien by the association. Retirement of such equities will generally be at the lower of par or book value, and repayment of a loan does not automatically result in retirement of the corresponding capital stock or participation certificates.

If needed to meet regulatory capital adequacy requirements, the board of directors of the association may increase the percentage of stock requirement for each borrower up to a maximum of 10 percent of the loan amount.

Each owner of Class B capital stock is entitled to a single vote, while participation certificates provide no voting rights to their owners.

Within two years of repayment of a loan, the association capital bylaws require the conversion of any borrower's outstanding Class B to Class A stock. Class A stock has no voting rights except in a case where a new issuance of preferred stock has been submitted to stockholders affected by the preference. Redemption of Class A shares is made solely at the discretion of the association's board of directors. At December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the association had no Class A stock.

All borrower stock is at-risk. As such, losses that result in impairment of capital stock or participation certificates shall be borne on a pro rata basis by all holders of Class A capital stock, Class B capital stock and participation certificates. In the event of liquidation of the association, capital stock and participation certificates would be utilized as necessary to satisfy any remaining obligations in excess of the amounts realized on the sale or liquidation of assets. Any excess of the amounts realized on the sale or liquidation of assets over the association's obligations to external parties and to the bank would be distributed to the association's stockholders.

Dividends and patronage distributions may be paid on the capital stock and participation certificates of the association, as the board of directors may determine by resolution, subject to capitalization requirements as defined by the FCA. Amounts not distributed are retained as unallocated retained earnings. The following dividends and patronage distributions were declared and paid in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively:

Date Declared December 2013	Date Paid (to be Paid) April 2014	Patronage \$ 7,400,000
December 2012	April 2013	\$ 7,000,000
December 2011	April 2012	\$ 2,000,000

The FCA's capital adequacy regulations require the association to achieve permanent capital and total surplus of at least 7.0 percent and core surplus of at least 3.5 percent of risk-adjusted assets and off-balance-sheet commitments. Failure to meet the ratio requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by the FCA that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the association's financial statements. The association is prohibited from reducing permanent capital by retiring stock or making certain other distributions to stockholders unless prescribed capital standards are met. As of December 31, 2013, the association is not prohibited from retiring stock or distributing earnings; furthermore, neither the board nor senior management knows of any such prohibitions that may apply during the subsequent fiscal year. The association's permanent capital ratio, core surplus ratio and total surplus ratio at December 31, 2013, were 21.5 percent, 21.1 percent and 21.1 percent, respectively.

The association Capital Adequacy Plan directs association management to manage the capital position in a manner which:

- Permits the association to operate profitably over the long term;
- Provides reasonable protection against risks inherent in association operations;
- Meets all regulatory requirements;
- Recognizes the cost to stockholders related to investing capital in the association; and
- Is an integral part of the association's business plan.

An FCA regulation empowers the FCA to direct a transfer of funds or equities by one or more System institutions to another System institution under specified circumstances. The association has not been called upon to initiate any transfers and is not aware of any proposed action under this regulation.

At December 31, the association had the following shares of Class B stock and participation certificates outstanding at a par value of \$5 per share:

	2013	2012	2011
Class B stock	702,132	710,731	762,956
Participation certificates	41,130	43,705	45,655
Total	743,262	754,436	808,611

An additional component of equity is accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI), which is reported net of taxes. As of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the balance of AOCI represented net actuarial gains and prior service credits related to other postretirement benefits of (\$364,664), (\$870,990) and (\$498,689), respectively.

#### NOTE 11 — INCOME TAXES:

The provision for (benefit from) income taxes follows for the years ended December 31:

	 2013	<b>2013</b> 2012		 2011
Current:	 _		_	_
Federal	\$ 21,153	\$	48,253	\$ 13,428
Total current	 21,153		48,253	13,428

The association had no deferred provision for (benefit from) income taxes for the years ending December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

The provision for (benefit from) income tax differs from the amount of income tax determined by applying the applicable U.S. statutory federal income tax rate to pretax income as follows for the years ended December 31:

	 2013	2012	 2011
Federal tax at statutory rate	\$ 6,821,511	\$ 6,736,330	\$ 3,425,919
Effect of nontaxable FLCA subsidiary	(7,064,848)	(4,699,630)	(5,784,476)
Change in valuation allowance	1,430,394	(1,821,246)	2,375,052
Other	 (1,165,904)	(167,201)	(3,067)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	\$ 21,153	\$ 48,253	\$ 13,428

Deferred tax assets and liabilities in accordance with accounting guidance, "Accounting for Income Taxes," are comprised of the following at December 31:

		2013	2012		2011
Deferred Tax Assets	<u> </u>				
Allowance for loan losses	\$	63,690	\$	480,526	\$ 3,121,815
Allowance for acquired properties		-		-	560,665
Loss carryforwards		13,508,920		11,494,489	10,280,980
Other		4,079		4,079	4,079
Gross deferred tax assets		13,576,689		11,979,094	13,967,539
Deferred tax asset valuation allowance		(13,576,689)		(11,979,094)	(13,967,539)
Deferred Tax Liabilities					
Other		(97,851)		(76,698)	(28,445)
Gross deferred tax liabilities		(97,851)		(76,698)	(28,445)
Net deferred tax asset (liability)	\$	(97,851)	\$	(76,698)	\$ (28,445)

The association recorded valuation allowances of \$13,576,689, \$11,979,094 and \$13,967,539 during 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The association will continue to evaluate the realizability of the deferred tax assets and adjust the valuation allowance accordingly.

#### NOTE 12 — EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS:

Employee Retirement Plans: Employees of the association participate in either the defined benefit retirement plan (DB Plan) or the defined contributions plan (DC Plan) and are eligible to participate in the Farm Credit Benefits Alliance 401(k) Plan. These plans are described more fully in section I of Note 2, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies." The structure of the district's DB plan is characterized as multi-employer, since neither the assets, liabilities nor cost of any plan is segregated or separately accounted for by participating employers (bank and associations). No portion of any surplus assets is available to any participating employer. As a result, participating employers of the plan only recognize as cost the required contributions for the period and a liability for any unpaid contributions required for the period of their financial statements. Plan obligations, assets and the components of annual benefit expenses are recorded and reported upon district combination only. The association records current contributions to the DB plan as an expense in the current year.

The CEO and certain executive or highly-compensated employees in the bank are eligible to participate in a separate nonqualified supplemental 401(k) plan, named the Farm Credit Benefits Alliance Nonqualified Supplemental 401(k) Plan (Supplemental 401(k) Plan). This plan allows district employers to elect to participate in any or all of the following benefits:

- Restored Employer Contributions to allow "make-up" contributions for eligible employees whose benefits to the qualified 401(k) plan were limited by the Internal Revenue Code during the year
- Discretionary Contributions to allow participating employers to make a discretionary contribution to an eligible employee's account in the plan, and to designate a vesting schedule

The association elected to participate in Restored Employer Contributions. Contributions of \$15,903, \$0 and \$0 were made to this plan for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. There were no payments made from the Supplemental 401(k) plan to active employees during 2013, 2012 or 2011.

The DB plan is noncontributory and benefits are based on salary and years of service. The legal name of the plan is Farm Credit Bank of Texas Pension Plan; its employer identification number is 74-1110170. The DB plan is not subject to any contractual expiration dates. The DB plan's funding policy is to fund current year benefits expected to be earned by covered employees plus an amount to improve the accumulated benefit obligation funded status by a percentage approved by the plan sponsor. The plan sponsor is the board of the Farm Credit Bank of Texas. The "projected unit credit" actuarial method is used for both financial reporting and funding purposes. District employers have the option of providing enhanced retirement benefits, under certain conditions, within the DB plan, to facilitate reorganization and/or restructuring. Actuarial information regarding the DB pension

plan accumulated benefit obligation and plan asset is calculated for the district as a whole and is presented in the district's Annual Report to Stockholders. The actuarial present value of vested and nonvested accumulated benefit obligation exceeded the net assets of the DB plan as of December 31, 2013.

The risks of participating in these multi-employer plans are different from single-employer plans in the following aspects:

- a. Assets contributed to the multi-employer plan by one employer may be used to provide benefits to employees of other participating employers.
- b. If a participating employer stops contributing to the plan, the unfunded obligations of the plan may be borne by the remaining participating employers.
- c. If the association chooses to stop participating in some of its multi-employer plans, it may be required to pay the plan an amount based on the underfunded status of the plan, referred to as a withdrawal liability.

The following table includes additional information regarding the funded status of the plan, the association's contributions, and the percentage of association contribution to total plan contributions for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	2013	2012	2011
Funded status of plan	77.3 %	65.0 %	64.9 %
Association's contribution	\$ 482,687	\$ 460,568	\$ 890,942
Percentage of association's			
contribution to total contributions	2.9 %	2.9 %	3.9 %

The funded status presented above is based on the percentage of plan assets to projected benefit obligations. DB plan funding is based on the percentage of plan assets to the accumulated benefit obligation, which was 86.1 percent, 72.7 percent and 72.6 percent at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Other Postretirement Benefits: In addition to pension benefits, the association provides certain health care benefits to qualifying retired employees (other postretirement benefits). These benefits are not characterized as multi-employer and, consequently, the liability for these benefits is included in other liabilities.

The following table reflects the benefit obligation, cost and actuarial assumptions for the association's other postretirement benefits:

# **Retiree Welfare Benefit Plans**

<b>Disclosure Information Related to Retirement Benefits</b>		2013		2012	2011
Change in Accumulated Postretirement Benefit Obligation					
Accumulated postretirement benefit obligation, beginning of year	\$	2,836,361	\$	2,391,932	\$ 2,116,908
Service cost		64,711		61,789	63,428
Interest cost		123,277		120,440	119,127
Plan participants' contributions		4,813		13,690	19,987
Plan amendments		-		-	-
Special termination benefits		-		-	-
Actuarial loss (gain)		(523,997)		319,115	156,164
Benefits paid		(75,330)		(70,605)	(83,682)
Accumulated postretirement benefit obligation, end of year	\$	2,429,835	\$	2,836,361	\$ 2,391,932
Change in Plan Assets					
Plan assets at fair value, beginning of year	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
Actual return on plan assets		-		-	-
Company contributions		70,517		56,915	63,695
Plan participants' contributions		4,813		13,690	19,987
Benefits paid		(75,330)		(70,605)	 (83,682)
Plan assets at fair value, end of year	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
Funded status of the plan	\$	(2,429,835)	\$	(2,836,361)	\$ (2,391,932)
Amounts Recognized in Statement of Financial Position					
Other liabilities	\$	(2,429,835)	\$	(2,836,361)	\$ (2,391,932)
Amounts Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	<b>.</b>	< 4 < <b>-</b> 0.4		4.054.50	1 000 100
Net actuarial loss (gain)	\$	646,701	\$	1,274,763	\$ 1,032,192
Prior service cost (credit)		(282,037)		(403,773)	(533,503)
Net transition obligation (asset)	_		_	<u>-</u>	 
Total	\$	364,664	\$	870,990	\$ 498,689
Weighted-Average Assumptions Used to Determine Obligations at Year E	nd				
Measurement date		12/31/2013		12/31/2012	12/31/2011
Discount rate	_	5.20%		4.40%	5.10%
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year (pre-/post-65) - medical	7	7.50%/6.50%		7.25%/6.50%	8.50%/6.75%
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year - Rx		6.50%		7.75%	8.00%
Ultimate health care cost trend rate		5.00%		5.00%	5.00%
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend rate		2024		2023	2018

Total Cost		2013		2012		2011
Service cost	\$	64,711	\$	61,789	\$	63,428
Interest cost		123,277		120,440		119,127
Expected return on plan assets		· -		-		-
Amortization of:						
Unrecognized net transition obligation (asset)		-		_		-
Unrecognized prior service cost		(121,736)		(129,730)		(139,684)
Unrecognized net loss (gain)		104,065		76,544		62,556
Net postretirement benefit cost	\$	170,317	\$	129,043	\$	105,427
Accounting for settlements/curtailments/special termination benefits	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Other Changes in Plan Assets and Projected Benefit Obligation Recognized						
in Other Comprehensive Income						
Net actuarial loss (gain)	\$	(523,997)	\$	319,115	\$	156,164
Amortization of net actuarial loss (gain)		(104,065)		(76,544)		(62,556)
Prior service cost (credit)		-		-		-
Amortization of prior service cost		121,736		129,730		139,684
Recognition of prior service cost		-		-		-
Amortization of transition liability (asset)		-		-		-
Total recognized in other comprehensive income	\$	(506,326)	\$	372,301	\$	233,292
AOCI Amounts Expected to be Amortized Into Expense in 2014						
Unrecognized net transition obligation (asset)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Unrecognized prior service cost		(121,736)		(121,736)		(129,730)
Unrecognized net loss (gain)		42,190		104,065		76,544
Total	\$	(79,546)	\$	(17,671)	\$	(53,186)
Weighted-Average Assumptions Used to Determine Benefit Cost						
Measurement date		12/31/2013		12/31/2012		12/31/2011
Discount rate		4.40%		5.10%		5.70%
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year (pre-/post-65) - medical	7.	.25%/6.50%		8.50%/6.75%		7.50%/6.50%
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year - Rx		7.75%		8.00%		10.00%
Ultimate health care cost trend rate		5.00%		5.00%		5.00%
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend rate		2023		2018		2017
Expected Future Cash Flows						
Expected Benefit Payments (net of employee contributions)						
Fiscal 2014	\$	86,856	\$	100,439	\$	94,193
Fiscal 2015		96,061		111,518		104,309
Fiscal 2016		115,357		127,951		119,272
Fiscal 2017		119,249		131,443		712,198
Fiscal 2018		126,455		785,921		-
Fiscal 2019–2023		766,092		-		-
Expected Contributions	ф	04.054	Φ.	100 420	¢	04.103
Fiscal 2014	\$	86,856	S	100,439	S	94,193

#### NOTE 13 — RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS:

Directors of the association, except for any director-elected directors, are required to be borrowers/stockholders of the association. Also, in the ordinary course of business, the association may enter into loan origination or servicing transactions with its officers, relatives of officers and directors, or with organizations with which such persons are associated. Such loans are subject to special approval requirements contained in FCA regulations and are made on the same terms, including interest rates, amortization schedule and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with unrelated borrowers.

Total loans to such persons for the association amounted to \$15,685,953, \$18,288,302 and \$18,833,176 at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. During 2013, \$24,583,328 of new loans were made, and repayments totaled \$26,814,002. In the opinion of management, no such loans outstanding at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 involved more than a normal risk of collectability.

Expenses included in purchased services may include purchased services such as administrative services, marketing, information systems and accounting services and allocations of expenses incurred by the bank and passed through to the associations, such as FCSIC expenses. The bank charges the individual associations directly for these services based on each association's proportionate usage. These expenses totaled \$91,484, \$94,724 and \$202,940 in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of April 2011, the bank only bills associations for direct pass-through expenses and no longer bills for allocated expenses.

The association received patronage payments from the bank totaling \$3,860,249, \$4,058,689 and \$3,862,745 during 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Of the \$3,860,249 patronage received for 2013, \$709,277, or 18.4 percent, represented income that would have been earned based on the AMBS investment discussed in Note 3 – Investment Securities.

# NOTE 14 — FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS:

Accounting guidance defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability. See Note 2, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," for additional information.

With regard to impaired loans and other property owned, it is not practicable to provide specific information on inputs as each collateral property is unique. System institutions utilize appraisals to value these loans and other property owned and take into account unobservable inputs such as income and expense, comparable sales, replacement cost and comparability adjustments.

Information about Other Financial Instrument Fair Value Measurements:

	Valuation Technique(s)	<u>Input</u>
Cash	Carrying value	Par/principal and appropriate interest yield
Loans	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment forecasts Probability of default Loss severity
Other interest bearing liabilities	Carrying value	Par/principal and appropriate interest yield

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 only include nonqualified benefit trusts. This Level 1 asset was \$62,244, \$38,984 and \$26,171 at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis for each of the fair value hierarchy values are summarized below:

December 31, 2013	I	Fair Value Measurement Using					
	Lev	Level 1		el 2	Level 3	Value	
Assets: Loans Other property owned	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 6,580,306 858,367	\$	6,580,306 858,367
December 31, 2012		Fair Value	e Measu	irement	Using		Total Fair
	Lev	el 1	Lev	rel 2	Level 3		Value
Assets:							
Loans	\$	-	\$	-	\$13,454,295	\$	13,454,295
Other property owned		-		-	1,060,973		1,060,973
December 31, 2011		Fair Value Measurement Using					
	Lev	el 1	Lev	el 2	Level 3		Value
Assets:							
Loans	\$	-	\$	-	\$51,039,216	\$	51,039,216
Other property owned		-		-	5,487,529		5,487,529

Financial assets and financial liabilities measured at carrying amounts and not measured at fair value on the balance sheet for each of the fair value hierarchy values are summarized as follows:

	December 31, 2013 Fair Value Measurement Using								
	Total Carrying				Total Fair				
	Amount	L	evel 1	Level 3	Value				
Assets:									
Cash	\$ 27,797	\$	27,797	\$ -	\$ 27,797				
Net loans	828,514,679		-	814,414,265	814,414,265				
Total Assets	\$ 828,542,476	\$	27,797	\$ 814,414,265	\$ 814,442,062				
Liabilities: Note payable to the bank	\$ 642,361,465	\$	-	\$ 631,429,176	\$ 631,429,176				
			December	r 31, 2012					
		Fa		surement Using					
	Total Carrying				Total Fair				
	Amount	L	evel 1	Level 3	Value				
Assets:									
Cash	\$ 77,431	\$	77,431	\$ -	\$ 77,431				
Net loans	807,645,987		_	804,883,092	804,883,092				
Total Assets	\$ 807,723,418	\$	77,431	\$ 804,883,092	\$ 804,960,523				
Liabilities: Note payable to the bank	\$ 643,933,880	\$	<del>_</del>	\$ 641,793,801	\$ 641,793,801				

#### December 31, 2011

		l Carrying mount		otal Fair Value	
Assets:					
Cash	\$	63,794	\$	63,794	
Net loans	86	0,944,495	855,449,848		
Investments	3	5,688,875	36,286,76		
Total Assets	\$ 89	6,697,164	\$ 891,800,409		
Liabilities: Note payable to the					
bank	\$ 74	3,775,358	\$ 73	9,028,498	

# **Valuation Techniques**

As more fully discussed in Note 2, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," accounting guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The following represent a brief summary of the valuation techniques used by the bank and associations for assets and liabilities:

#### **Investment Securities**

Where quoted prices are available in an active market, available-for-sale securities would be classified as Level 1. If quoted prices are not available in an active market, the fair value of securities is estimated using pricing models that utilize observable inputs, quoted prices for similar securities received from pricing services or discounted cash flows. Generally, these securities would be classified as Level 2. This would include certain mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities. Where there is limited activity or less transparency around inputs to the valuation, the securities are classified as Level 3. Securities classified within Level 3 include asset-based securities and certain mortgage-backed securities, including private label-FHA/VA securities and those issued by Farmer Mac.

# Assets Held in Nonqualified Benefits Trusts

Assets held in trust funds related to deferred compensation and supplemental retirement plans are classified within Level 1. The trust funds include investments that are actively traded and have quoted net asset values that are observable in the marketplace.

### Standby Letters of Credit

The fair value of letters of credit approximate the fees currently charged for similar agreements or the estimated cost to terminate or otherwise settle similar obligations.

#### Loans

For certain loans evaluated for impairment under impairment guidance, the fair value is based upon the underlying collateral since the loans are collateral-dependent loans for which real estate is the collateral. The fair value measurement process uses independent appraisals and other market-based information, but in many cases it also requires significant input based on management's knowledge of and judgment about current market conditions, specific issues relating to the collateral and other matters. As a result, these fair value measurements fall within Level 3 of the hierarchy. When the value of the real estate, less estimated costs to sell, is less than the principal balance of the loan, a specific reserve is established.

#### Other Property Owned

Other property owned is generally classified as Level 3. The process for measuring the fair value of other property owned involves the use of appraisals or other market-based information. Costs to sell represent transaction costs and are not included as a component of the asset's fair value. As a result, these fair value measurements fall within Level 3 of the hierarchy.

#### NOTE 15 — COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In addition to those commitments and contingencies discussed in Note 2, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," the association is involved in various legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of legal counsel and management, there are no legal proceedings at this time that are likely to materially affect the association.

The association may participate in financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk to satisfy the financing needs of its borrowers in the form of commitments to extend credit and commercial letters of credit. These financial instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the financial statements. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a borrower as long as there is not a violation of any condition established in the contract. Commercial letters of credit are agreements to pay a beneficiary under conditions specified in the letter of credit. Commitments and letters of credit generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. At December 31, 2013, the association had outstanding commitments of \$100,942,295 to extend credit.

Since many of these commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitments do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. However, these credit-related financial instruments have off-balance-sheet credit risk because their amounts are not reflected on the balance sheet until funded or drawn upon. The credit risk associated with issuing commitments and letters of credit is substantially the same as that involved in extending loans to borrowers, and management applies the same credit policies to these commitments. Upon fully funding a commitment, the credit risk amounts are equal to the contract amounts, assuming that borrowers fail completely to meet their obligations and the collateral or other security is of no value. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the borrower.

# NOTE 16 — QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED):

Quarterly results of operations for the years ended December 31 (in thousands) follow:

	2013								
		First	S	Second	Third		Fourth		Total
Net interest income	\$	6,986	\$	6,243	\$	7,119	\$	6,650	\$ 26,998
(Provision for) reversal of loan losses		943		(345)		886		1,109	2,593
Noninterest income (expense), net		(2,237)		(2,343)		(2,587)		(2,382)	(9,549)
Net income	\$	5,692	\$	3,555	\$	5,418	\$	5,377	\$ 20,042
						2012			
		First	S	Second		Third		Fourth	Total
Net interest income	\$	6,872	\$	6,233	\$	6,498	\$	6,836	\$ 26,439
(Provision for) reversal of loan losses		(645)		1,957		(1,695)		(283)	(666)
Noninterest income (expense), net		(401)		(1,229)		(2,540)		(1,839)	(6,009)
Net income	\$	5,826	\$	6,961	\$	2,263	\$	4,714	\$ 19,764
						2011			
		First	S	Second		Third		Fourth	Total
Net interest income	\$	6,809	\$	6,786	\$	6,807	\$	7,630	\$ 28,032
(Provision for) reversal of loan losses		(2,787)		(112)		(1,812)		(958)	(5,669)
Noninterest income (expense), net		(2,750)		(4,030)		(3,294)		(2,213)	(12,287)
Net income	\$	1,272	\$	2,644	\$	1,701	\$	4,459	\$ 10,076

#### **NOTE 17 — SUBSEQUENT EVENTS:**

The association has evaluated subsequent events through March 10, 2014 which is the date the financial statements were issued or available to be issued.

Effective January 1, 2014, the association merged with Texas Land Bank, ACA. On September 12, 2013 FCA granted preliminary approval of the merger, allowing Lone Star and Texas Land Bank stockholders to vote on the proposed merger. The stockholders voted to approve the merger on October 18, 2013. FCA gave final approval on December 13, 2013, subject to customary conditions of merger. The merger was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting.

There are no other significant events requiring disclosure as of March 10, 2014.

#### DISCLOSURE INFORMATION AND INDEX

Disclosures Required by Farm Credit Administration Regulations

#### **DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS**

The description of the territory served, the persons eligible to borrow, the types of lending activities engaged in and the financial services offered, and related Farm Credit organizations required to be disclosed in this section is incorporated herein by reference from Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, "Organization and Operations," included in this annual report.

The descriptions of significant developments that had or could have a material impact on earnings, interest rates to borrowers, patronage, or dividends and acquisitions or dispositions of material assets, changes in the reporting entity, changes in patronage policies or practices and financial assistance provided by or to the association through loss sharing or capital preservation agreements or from any other source, if any, required to be disclosed in this section are incorporated herein by reference from "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," included in this annual report.

#### DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

Lone Star, ACA (association) serves its 33-county territory through its main administrative and lending office at 1612 Summit Avenue, Suite 300, Fort Worth, Texas 76102. Additionally, there are nine branch lending offices and two contact points located throughout the territory. The association owns the office buildings in Paris, New Boston, Denton, Cleburne, Stephenville and Sweetwater, free of debt. The association leases office space in Sherman, Decatur, Gainesville, Abilene, Weatherford and Fort Worth.

#### **LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

In the ordinary course of business, the association is involved in various legal proceedings. In the opinion of legal counsel and management, there are no legal proceedings at this time that are likely to materially affect the consolidated financial statements of the association.

### DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE

The information required to be disclosed in this section is incorporated herein by reference from Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements, "Members' Equity," included in this annual report.

### **DESCRIPTION OF LIABILITIES**

The description of liabilities required to be disclosed in this section is incorporated herein by reference from Note 9, "Note Payable to the Bank," Note 12, "Employee Benefit Plans," and in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," included in this annual report.

The description of contingent liabilities required to be disclosed in this section is incorporated herein by reference from Notes 2 and 15 to the consolidated financial statements, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" and "Commitments and Contingencies," respectively, included in this annual report.

# RELATIONSHIP WITH THE FARM CREDIT BANK OF TEXAS

The association's financial condition may be impacted by factors that affect the Farm Credit Bank of Texas (bank), as discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, "Organization and Operations," included in this annual report. The financial condition and results of operations of the bank may materially affect the stockholders' investment in the association.

The Farm Credit Bank of Texas and District Associations' (district) annual and quarterly stockholder reports are available free of charge, upon request. These reports can be obtained by writing to Farm Credit Bank of Texas, The Ag Agency, P.O. Box 202590, Austin, Texas 78720-2590 or calling (512) 483-9204. Copies of the district's annual and quarterly stockholder reports can also be requested by e-mailing fcb@farmcreditbank.com. The district's annual and quarterly stockholder reports are also available on its website at www.farmcreditbank.com.

The association's quarterly stockholder reports are also available free of charge, upon request. These reports will be available approximately 40 days after quarter end and can be obtained by writing to Lone Star, ACA, 1612 Summit Avenue, Suite 300, Fort

Worth, Texas 76102 or calling (817) 332-6565. Copies of the association's quarterly stockholder reports can also be requested by emailing *Selita.Sprunger@lonestaragcredit.com*. The association's annual stockholder report is available on its website at *www.lonestaragcredit.com* 75 days after the fiscal year end. Copies of the association's annual stockholder report can also be requested 90 days after the fiscal year end.

#### SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected financial data for the five years ended December 31, 2013, required to be disclosed, is incorporated herein by reference to the "Five-Year Summary of Selected Consolidated Financial Data" included in this annual report to stockholders.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

"Management's Discussion and Analysis," which precedes the consolidated financial statements in this annual report, is incorporated herein by reference.

#### **BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

#### CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The board of directors at Lone Star, ACA is comprised of 13 director positions. Ten directors are elected by the voting membership. Each represents one of five geographic regions that comprise Lone Star, ACA's lending territory. One director is appointed by the other members of the board and is a current stockholder of the association. Two directors are appointed by the other members of the board and cannot be customers, stockholders, employees or agents of any Farm Credit institution. One of these appointed outside directors brings specific financial, accounting and audit expertise to the board and chairs the board's Audit Committee. The other appointed outside director position is used to bring outside perspective and other areas of expertise to enhance board oversight capabilities.

#### **AUDIT COMMITTEE**

This Committee is made up of four board members including at least one appointed outside director. All members of the Committee are expected to have practical knowledge of finance and accounting, be able to read and have a working understanding of the financial statements, or develop that understanding within a reasonable period of time after being appointed to the Committee. The outside director appointed to this Committee has significant financial and audit expertise and chairs this Committee. Don Crawford serves in this position. The board of directors has determined that Mr. Crawford has the qualifications and experience necessary to serve as an Audit Committee "financial expert" as defined by FCA regulation, and has been designated as such. Other Audit Committee members as of December 31, 2013 were David Harris, Lonnie Hammonds and Bert Pruett.

The Audit Committee members are appointed by the board. All members of the Audit Committee are independent of management for Lone Star, ACA or any system entity. The Audit Committee has unrestricted access to representatives of the internal audit firm, independent public accountants, internal credit review firms and financial management.

The Committee assists the board in fulfilling its oversight responsibility related to accounting policies, internal controls, financial reporting practices and regulatory requirements. This Committee has a charter detailing its purpose and key objectives, authority, composition, meeting requirements and responsibilities. The charter among other things gives the Committee the authority to hire and compensate the internal and external auditors and credit reviewers, approve all audit and permitted non-audit services, review the audited financial statements and all public financial disclosures, meet privately with internal and external auditors and credit reviewers and review any complaints regarding accounting irregularities and fraud. The charter is posted on Lone Star, ACA's website at www.lonestaragcredit.com.

### **COMPENSATION COMMITTEE**

The Compensation Committee consists of three board members selected by the board of directors. Neither the CEO nor management can have any involvement in the selection of Committee members nor can they participate in any deliberations of the Committee on matters relating to their own compensation. The Committee is presently chaired by David Stubblefield. Tom Johnson served as chairman of the Committee from January through April 2013 when he was elected chairman of the board of directors. Richard Gaona was selected to assume Tom Johnson's vacated seat and served from May through December 2013. The other current Compensation Committee member is Larry Stewart.

The Committee is responsible for reviewing and recommending for full board approval, the performance standards of the CEO and the evaluation of the CEO's performance against those standards. It also recommends to the board all actions necessary to administer the CEO's compensation plan. The board has delegated to the CEO the responsibility to administer the base salaries of senior officers and all other staff of Lone Star, ACA, within board approved guidelines. However, the CEO must review the base salary administration with the Compensation Committee and the Committee recommends for board approval the overall compensation program for senior officers. The Committee is also responsible for director compensation and for oversight of Lone Star, ACA's employee's salary and benefits plans and all board policies applicable to those plans.

#### DIRECTORS AND SENIOR OFFICERS

The association's member-elected and director-elected board of directors and senior officers are as follows:

		DATE	
		ELECTED/	TERM
<b>NAME</b>	POSITION	<b>EMPLOYED</b>	<b>EXPIRES</b>
Bruce Duncan (a)	Chairman	1986	2013
Tom Johnson (b)	Vice Chairman/Chairman	2000	2015
Richard Gaona	Director	2000	2015
Bobby Mathiews	Director	2006	2015
Don Crawford	Director-Elected Outside Director	2002	2016
Wallace E. Kraft II	Director	1993	2015
Lonnie Hammonds (c)	Director-Elected Stockholder Director	2002	2013
Bert Pruett	Director	1984	2014
David Harris	Director	2001	2016
Larry Stewart	Director	1984	2014
David Stubblefield	Director	1994	2015
Tony Ballinger	Director	2011	2014
Chad Lee	Director-Elected Outside Director	2011	2016
Harlin Brown (a)	Director	2013	2016
Steve Fowlkes (d)	Chief Executive Officer	2010	-
Troy Bussmeir (e)	Chief Executive Officer (Interim)	2010	-
M'Lissa Kiel	Chief Financial Officer	1997	-
Jim Bob Reynolds (f)	Chief Credit Officer	2010	-
Ronald J. Carlock	Sr Regional President	1979	-
Thomas Keith	Regional President	1982	-
James Welch	Regional President	1997	-
Matthew James	Regional President and Branch Manager	1998	-
James Bearden (g)	SVP Policy and Compliance	1997	-
Jeff Royal	Chief Appraisal Officer	1999	-

- (a) Bruce Duncan was replaced by Harlin Brown in April 2013
- (b) Tom Johnson took over as chairman in May 2013
- (c) Lonnie Hammonds' term ended effective January 1, 2014
- (d) Steve Fowlkes retired effective January 1, 2014
- (e) Troy Bussmeir named chief executive officer effective January 1, 2014
- (f) Jim Bob Reynolds named chief lending officer effective January 1, 2014
- (g) James Bearden named chief compliance officer effective January 1, 2014

A brief statement of the business and employment background of each director and senior officer is provided for informational purposes.

Tom Johnson, 62, was elected to serve on the Lone Star board in 2001 and he currently serves as chairman of the board. Additionally, he serves on the Tenth District Benefits Advisory Committee and the Ag-First/Farm Credit Bank of Texas Joint Benefits Committee. Mr. Johnson has been active in the communications industry for more than 25 years and is currently the president and director for the following telecommunications and media businesses: First American Communications Enterprise, Inc., First American Holdings, Inc., TLJ Limited Partnership, and United Media, Inc., and as CEO and director for BEMA Media LLC and Christian. TV, Inc., and is an officer and director for North Texas Telephone Company, Inc. and Oklahoma Telephone and Telegraph, Inc. He also serves as president or officer and director for Covenant Development LLC, TLJ Management, Inc., a management consulting business, and Johnson River LLC, River Creek LTD, North Star LLC, TPJ Properties LTD, GYI Hanger LLC and Covenant Denison Holdings LLC, which are ranching and real estate investment businesses. Mr. Johnson is a director for First United Bank and Trust Company of Durant, Oklahoma, and serves on various committees. Mr. Johnson serves as a director for Texoma Medical Center Hospital and Varitronics LLC, an education hardware and software business. Mr. Johnson also serves as a director or vice president for various other nonprofit ministries. Mr. Johnson maintains a Black Angus cow/calf operation specializing in producing Waygu-Black Angus calves.

**Richard Gaona**, 55, is a full-time farmer growing cotton, wheat and hay, and maintains a beef cattle operation in Fisher County. Mr. Gaona serves as board chairman of the Fisher County Appraisal District Board. He is currently board secretary for Rolling Plains Cotton Growers and also serves as a board member for Cotton, Inc. and Universal Cotton Standards. Mr. Gaona was previously part-owner of T. Terry's Cotton Gin in Roby, Texas. Mr. Gaona was elected to serve on the association's board in 2000 and serves on the association Compensation Committee.

**Bobby Mathiews**, 66, was elected to the board in 2006. He is a small independent oil company operator and stock farmer in Throckmorton County. Mr. Mathiews has served as mayor of Woodson for the past 21 years. Mr. Mathiews serves as a director of the Brazos Telephone Co-op, and served as president during 2013. He also serves as chairman on the Throckmorton Central Appraisal District Board. Mr. Mathiews is a wheat producer and maintains a cow-calf operation.

**Don Crawford**, 66, serves as a director-elected director and resides in Palo Pinto County. Mr. Crawford was elected to serve on the board in 2002 and currently serves as chairman of the Audit Committee. He is a director and chairman of the Audit Committee of Titan Bank, N.A. of Mineral Wells, Texas. Mr. Crawford is a certified public accountant (CPA) and a partner with Crawford, Carter and Thompson CPAs of Mineral Wells. He is also a partner in Crawford Brothers Ranch of Strawn, Texas, a commercial beef cattle operation, and he is a general partner in Crawford Cross L, LP, a land holding company. Mr. Crawford serves as a board member for the Palo Pinto Municipal Water District and he serves as a board member and Treasurer on the board of Mineral Wells Industrial Foundation, a non-profit foundation. Mr. Crawford serves as chairman of the Finance Committee of Our Lady of Lourdes Catholic Church.

Wallace E. Kraft II, 69, lives in Lamar County. Dr. Kraft was elected to the board in 1993 and he currently serves as the association representative on the District Farm Credit Council. Dr. Kraft is a general veterinary practitioner in Paris, Texas and owns Animal Health Center, a mixed animal practice. Dr. Kraft serves as a director on the Lamar County Farm Bureau Board. Dr. Kraft serves as treasurer of the Veterinary PAC of the American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA). He also serves on the Legislative Committee of the Texas Veterinary Medical Association (TVMA). Dr. Kraft serves on the liaison committee for the TVMA/AVMA. He is also on the board of directors for Sulphur River Basin Water Authority. Dr. Kraft is chairman of the Board of Trustees for First Methodist Church, Paris, Texas. Dr. Kraft maintains a cow-calf operation and hay production operation.

**Bert Pruett**, 61, was elected to the board in 1984 and currently serves on the Audit Committee. Mr. Pruett lives in Slidell in northwest Denton County. Mr. Pruett serves as treasurer of the Greenwood-Slidell Volunteer Fire Department. Mr. Pruett is president of the Denton-Wise County Farmers Union. Mr. Pruett is chairman of the deacons of the First Baptist Church. He is a self-employed grain farmer who maintains an operation of cattle, wheat, milo, corn and hay.

**David Harris**, 54, lives in Johnson County and owns property in Somervell County. He was elected to the board in 2001 and currently serves on the Audit Committee. Mr. Harris is the president of Bob Harris Oil Company, a wholesale and retail fuel distributor. Mr. Harris is managing partner in Alvarado Joint Venture and a partner in Mansfield Joint Venture, real estate and warehouse development partnerships, and he is also managing partner in Harco Cattle. Mr. Harris is a member of the Santa Gertrudis Association Breed Improvement Committee and a Performance Committee member. He formerly served as president of the Premier Santa Gertrudis Association and former vice president of marketing for Santa Gertrudis Breeders International. Mr. Harris is a director of the Cleburne Economic Development Board and is manager of Harris Farms and he maintains a purebred and commercial cow-calf operation.

**Larry Stewart**, 64, lives between Granbury and Lipan in Hood County. Mr. Stewart was elected to the board in 1984 and currently serves on the Compensation Committee. Mr. Stewart's primary operation is beef cattle and he also participates in hay production. Mr. Stewart also serves as a director on the F.N.B. Granbury Bank Board. He also serves as a director on the Hood County Acton Cemetary Board and Cancer Care Board.

**David Stubblefield**, 62, is a farmer-stockman in the Colorado City area of Mitchell County. He was elected to the board in 1994 and currently serves on the Compensation Committee. Mr. Stubblefield is president of the board for the Producers Co-op Gin and is a state director serving as vice president of the Texas Farm Bureau. Mr. Stubblefield is board chairman of the Lone Wolf Groundwater Conservation District. He also serves as director for Rolling Plains Cotton Growers and a director for Southern Farm Bureau Life. Mr. Stubblefield operates the Gas 'n' Grub convenience store featuring a deli, catering and Western Union services. Mr. Stubblefield grows cotton, milo, wheat and maintains a beef cow operation.

**Tony Ballinger**, 40, was elected to the board in 2011. Mr. Ballinger is a graduate of Tarleton State University with a master's degree in animal science. Mr. Ballinger is a beef cattle specialist for ADM Alliance Nutrition, a producer of livestock feed ingredients. Mr. Ballinger currently serves as president of the Texas Red Angus Association. Mr. Ballinger is on the Board of Trustees of the Morgan Mill ISD. Mr. Ballinger maintains a commercial and purebred cow-calf operation, hay production, small grains, as well as a horse breeding program.

**Chad Lee**, 42, a director-elected director, was elected to serve on the board in 2011. Mr. Lee is a private practice attorney, with an emphasis on criminal defense, employment and various agricultural issues, including contracts, oil and gas leasing and real property disputes. Mr. Lee also maintains an operation of commercial cattle, wheat and hay. Mr. Lee is a committee member for the Fort Worth Stock Show and Texas Southwestern Cattle Raisers.

**Harlin Brown**, 66, was elected to the board in 2013. Mr. Brown is a full-time farmer and rancher residing in Woodland, Texas. Mr. Brown has been in the logging and timber business most of his life. He runs a commercial cow/calf operation and manages timber on owned and leased property in Red River County. He also runs a row crop operation in Choctaw County, Oklahoma. Mr. Brown has served as a prior board member of the Red River Appraisal District, the Red River FSA County Committee and the 410 Water Supply Corporation. He is a 25-year member of the Pine Creek volunteer fire department, and has served in various capacities at the Woodland United Methodist Church

**Troy Bussmeir**, 41, chief executive officer effective January 1, 2014. Joined Lone Star May of 2010 as the chief credit officer, and was later appointed as chief operating officer in March 2012. Prior to Lone Star, he served five years as a relationship manager at the Farm Credit Bank of Texas in Austin, and as a Capital Markets analyst for one year prior to that. Mr. Bussmeir began his banking career in 1999 with Farm Credit of South Florida, serving as a credit analyst and later as a senior commercial loan officer. He left South Florida to work at AgFirst Farm Credit Bank in Columbia, SC, as a commercial credit analyst in their Capital Markets group. Mr. Bussmeir is a native of Seattle, Washington, and earned his bachelor's degree in finance and accounting from Palm Beach Atlantic University in West Palm Beach, Florida.

**M'Lissa Kiel**, 51, joined the Farm Credit Bank of Texas in 1986 and served in various accounting and financial capacities before assuming the role of chief financial officer of Lone Star in December 1997.

**Jim Bob Reynolds**, 50, chief lending officer effective January 1, 2014. Mr. Reynolds began his Farm Credit career with Lone Star, ACA on September 1, 2010 serving as senior vice president of commercial credit. Prior to joining Lone Star, ACA Mr. Reynolds worked for First State Bank in Dalhart, Texas, for the preceding 11 years, serving as senior vice president of commercial lending. Mr. Reynolds is a native of Dalhart, Texas, where he grew up on his family's farming/cattle operation. He earned his BS in ag economics from Texas Tech University and his MBA from UTSA.

**Ronald J. Carlock**, 56, is a graduate of Texas A&M University. He began his career with the Federal Land Bank Association of Denton in 1979. Mr. Carlock served as president of the association prior to the merger forming Lone Star on December 31, 1997. Mr. Carlock currently serves as a senior regional president for the association.

**Thomas Keith**, 54, was named regional president in January 2007. Prior to his promotion, Mr. Keith served as credit office president of the Stephenville office. Mr. Keith, a graduate of Tarleton State University, began his Farm Credit career as a loan officer trainee at Farm Credit Bank of Texas in June 1982.

**James Welch**, 40, began his Farm Credit career in 1997 with North Texas PCA. From May 2002 through January 2006, Mr. Welch was a credit office president for Lone Star in Paris. Mr. Welch transferred to AgriLand Farm Credit in February 2006 as a joint

branch manager of the Paris and Clarksville offices. Mr. Welch returned to Lone Star in December 2006 in his current capacity as a regional president.

**Matthew James**, 38, was named regional president of the Stephenville region in July of 2010. Prior to his promotion, Mr. James served as branch president of the Stephenville office. Mr. James graduated from Tarleton State University with a bachelor of science degree in agriculture and a minor in business. He began his Farm Credit career as an intern with Lone Star Federal Land Bank in Stephenville in the spring of 1998 and accepted a full-time position in August of 1998.

**James Bearden**, 59, chief compliance officer effective January 1, 2014. Mr. Bearden has been employed by Lone Star since 1997. He has served the Farm Credit System since 1980 in several capacities. Mr. Bearden is a native of Hillsboro, Texas, and a graduate of Texas A&M University.

**Jeff Royal**, 51, began his career with Farm Credit as a staff appraiser for Farm Credit Bank of Texas in 1998. Mr. Royal assumed the duties of appraisal operations for Lone Star in 1999 and currently serves as the chief appraisal officer for the association. He is a graduate of Texas A&M University, earning a bachelor's degree in agricultural economics and a master's degree in land economics and real estate.

#### COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

Directors were compensated for their service to the association in the form of an honorarium at the rate of \$600 per day for director meetings and special meetings, except for the board chairman and vice chairman. Effective May 2011 the board chairman and vice chairman rate has been increased from \$700 and \$600 to \$800 and \$700, respectively. Also effective May 2011 the audit chairman receives an additional honorarium of \$500 per month. Directors also receive an annual retention fee of \$600 per month. Committee meetings that are held in conjunction with another meeting are paid at the rate of \$150 per meeting, except for Audit Committee meetings. As of May 2011 directors are compensated for their service at the rate of \$200 per meeting. Directors are paid honorarium for conference calls less than an hour at the rate of \$100 per hour and for calls in excess of an hour they are compensated \$200 per hour. Directors were reimbursed for certain expenses incurred while representing the association in an official capacity. Mileage for attending official meetings during 2013 was paid at the IRS-approved rate of 56.5 cents per mile. A copy of the travel policy is available to stockholders of the association upon request.

Number of Days Served

	ASSOCIAL	eu witti				
DIRECTOR	<b>Board Meeting</b>	Other Official Activities	Total Compensation in 2013			
Tony Ballinger	11	8	\$	18,700		
Harlin Brown	8	3		11,400		
Don Crawford	11	10.5		29,300		
Bruce Duncan	4	11		12,800		
Richard Gaona	12	9		22,450		
Lonnie Hammonds	12	6		20,800		
David Harris	12	15		28,300		
Tom Johnson	12	21		30,400		
Wallace E. Kraft II	12	11		21,000		
Chad Lee	12	9		19,900		
<b>Bobby Mathiews</b>	12	9		19,900		
Bert Pruett	12	21		30,500		

22,050

21,150 308,650

The aggregate compensation paid to directors in 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$308,650, \$269,900 and \$283,600, respectively.

12

10

Larry Stewart

David Stubblefield

Additional detail regarding director compensation paid for committee service (which is included in the table above) is as follows:

		Committee										
		Audit	Comp	pensation	Re	eview						
DIRECTOR	Co	mmittee	Cor	nmittee	Con	ımittee	1	Total				
Don Crawford	\$	8,600	\$	-	\$	-	\$	8,600				
Richard Gaona		-		2,550		-		2,550				
Lonnie Hammonds		2,200		-		-		2,200				
David Harris		2,200		-		1,200		3,400				
Tom Johnson		-		900		-		900				
Bert Pruett		2,800		-		-		2,800				
Larry Stewart		-		2,850		-		2,850				
David Stubblefield		-		2,550	-			2,550				
	\$	15,800	\$	8,850	\$	1,200	\$	25,850				

The aggregate amount of reimbursement for travel, subsistence and other related expenses paid to directors and on their behalf was \$101,349, \$75,397 and \$79,645 in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

#### COMPENSATION OF SENIOR OFFICERS

# Compensation Discussion and Analysis – Senior Officers

#### Overview

The objective of the association's salary administration program is to attract, develop, retain and motivate staff that is knowledgeable and efficient in the ability to support the association in the executions of its strategic objectives and delivery of association results that maximize the value received by its membership. The association operates utilizing a compensation program which focuses on the performance and contributions of its employees in achieving the association's financial and operational objectives, all for the ultimate benefit of its membership. The association's board of directors, through its Compensation Committee, establishes annual salary and incentive programs utilizing the services of the Human Resources Compensation Team at the bank to compile "Compensation Market Data" annually that is used by the board and management in establishing salary levels. Data sources used include the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas 11<sup>th</sup> District survey, Texas Community Bank survey (Independent Bankers Association of Texas), Watson Wyatt Financial Institution surveys, Mercer Financial Services Commercial Lending survey and CompData surveys. The Compensation Market Data reveals salary and incentive levels for similar sized institutions operating in our geographic area. Studies provided by third-party compensation specialists form the foundation for the association's evaluation and establishment of annual salary plans used by the association.

# Chief Executive Officer (CEO) Compensation Table and Policy

Name of Individual	Year	S	alary( a)	В	Bonus( b)	C	hange in Pension Value( c)	Deferred/ rquisite( d)	Otl	her( e)		Total
Steve Fowlkes					• 40 000			10.011	<b>.</b>	10.500	<b>.</b>	004 605
CEO	2013	\$	425,000	\$	340,000	\$	27,194	\$ 12,911	\$	19,500	\$	824,605
	2012		405,000		352,500		391,115	11,091				1,159,706
	2011		370,000		116,100		229,892	11.062		37.355		764,409

- (a) Gross Salary
- (b) Bonus earned and paid
- (c) Change in pension value (noncash)
- (d) Automobile benefits
- (e) Other includes: value of automobile received at retirement (noncash) and 2011 relocation costs.

On October 3, 2012, FCA adopted a regulation that requires all System institutions to hold advisory votes on the compensation for all senior officers and/or the CEO when the compensation of either the CEO or the senior officer group increases by 15 percent or more from the previous reporting period. In addition, the regulation requires associations to hold an advisory vote on CEO and/or senior officer compensation when 5 percent of the voting stockholders petition for the vote and to disclose the petition authority in

the annual report to shareholders. The regulation became effective December 17, 2012, and the base year for determining whether there is a 15 percent or greater increase was 2013. No association has held an advisory vote based on a stockholder petition in 2013.

On January 17, 2014, the President signed into law the Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 113-76) which includes language prohibiting the FCA from using any funds available "to implement or enforce" the regulation. In addition, on February 7, 2014, the President signed into law the Agricultural Act of 2014. Section 5404 of the law directs FCA to, within 60 days of enactment of the law, "review its rules to reflect the Congressional intent that a primary responsibility of boards of directors of Farm Credit System institutions, as elected representatives of their stockholders, is to oversee compensation practices." FCA has not yet taken any action with respect to their regulation in response to these actions.

The CEO's salary is established for the period January 1 through December 31 of each year, and is set by the board using the Compensation Market Data as a guideline to arrive at a fair and competitive salary. As previously discussed, Mr. Fowlkes was employed in May of 2010 with the charge of correcting weaknesses in the association's management and internal control weaknesses in credit operations and credit administration. The CEO's 2013 bonus was at board discretion and based upon its assessment of the association's financial performance, credit administration and credit quality improvements, and attainment of other goals and objectives as established in the association's 2012 Business Plan. This incentive was based on the period from January 2013 through December 2013. Factors contributing to the award were the size and complexity of the association coupled with the challenges it faced, considered in context with the costs and consequences of previously cited weaknesses by FCA in their December 2009 Report of Examination. The financial condition of the association has notably improved and credits adversely affecting the association have been identified and materially reduced. Third party examinations and credit reviews have validated significant improvement.

The CEO of the association participates in the Farm Credit Bank of Texas Pension Plan (the "Pension Plan"), which is a qualified defined benefit retirement plan. Compensation, as defined in the Pension Plan, includes wages, incentive compensation, and deferrals to the 401(k) and flexible spending account plans, but excludes annual leave or sick leave that may be paid in cash at the time of termination, retirement, or transfer of employment, severance payments, retention bonuses, taxable fringe benefits, and any other payments. Pension Plan benefits are based on the average of monthly eligible compensation over the 60 consecutive months that produce the highest average after 1996 ("FAC60"). The Pension Plan's benefit formula for a Normal Retirement Pension is the sum of (a) 1.65 percent of FAC60 times "Years of Benefit Service" and (b) 0.50 percent of (i) FAC60 in excess of Social Security covered compensation items times (ii) "Years of Benefit Service" (not to exceed 35). The CEO's Pension Plan benefit is offset by the CEO's pension benefits from another Farm Credit System institution. The present value of the CEO's accumulated Pension Plan is calculated assuming retirement had occurred at the measurement date used for financial reporting purposes with the retirement at age 65. The Pension Plan's benefit formula for the Normal Retirement Pension assumes that the CEO is married on the date the annuity begins, that the spouse is exactly two years younger than the CEO, and that the benefit is payable in the form of a 50 percent joint and survivor annuity. If any of those assumptions are incorrect, the benefit is recalculated to be the actuarial equivalent benefit. The CEO's pension plan was funded by a previous Farm Credit Institution and has reached the maximum contribution level. All costs prior to 2012 were borne by the previous employer.

#### **Compensation of Other Senior Officers**

Name of Group	Year	Sa	alary( a)	В	onus( b)	Change in Pension Value( c)	_	Deferred/ rquisite( d)	Other( e)	Total
Aggregate No. of Five Highest Compensated Senior Officers in Year										
Excluding CEO										
(5)	2013	\$	897,086	\$	199,418	\$ 46,069	\$	40,469		\$ 1,183,042
(5)	2012		870,935		174,187			38,933		1,084,055
(5)	2011		809,394					30,191	6,476	846,061

- (a) Gross Salary
- (b) Bonus earned and paid
- (c) Change in pension value (noncash)
- (d) Automobile benefits
- (e) Other includes: 2011 relocation costs

Disclosure of information on the total compensation paid and the arrangements of the compensation plans during the last fiscal year to any senior officer or to any other officer included in the aggregate are available and will be disclosed to shareholders of the institution upon request.

On October 3, 2012, FCA adopted a regulation that requires all System institutions to hold advisory votes on the compensation for all senior officers and/or the CEO when the compensation of either the CEO or the senior officer group increases by 15 percent or more from the previous reporting period. In addition, the regulation requires associations to hold an advisory vote on CEO and/or senior officer compensation when 5 percent of the voting stockholders petition for the vote and to disclose the petition authority in the annual report to shareholders. The regulation became effective December 17, 2012, and the base year for determining whether there is a 15 percent or greater increase was 2013. No association has held an advisory vote based on a stockholder petition in 2013.

On January 17, 2014, the President signed into law the Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 113-76) which includes language prohibiting the FCA from using any funds available "to implement or enforce" the regulation. In addition, on February 7, 2014, the President signed into law the Agricultural Act of 2014. Section 5404 of the law directs FCA to, within 60 days of enactment of the law, "review its rules to reflect the Congressional intent that a primary responsibility of boards of directors of Farm Credit System institutions, as elected representatives of their stockholders, is to oversee compensation practices." FCA has not yet taken any action with respect to their regulation in response to these actions.

As a result of the continued improvement in operations and in an effort to maintain its competitiveness in the marketplace, during 2013, the association board's Compensation Committee (Committee) approved an incentive plan for Lone Star senior officers and staff to be implemented in 2013. The 2013 incentive plan was based on association performance goals and objectives, including credit administration and the related correlation of credit administration with the FCA, association Internal Credit Review and the FCBT reviews, Permanent Capital Ratio goals, ratio of adverse assets and ability of the association to declare a patronage for 2014 based on 2013 earnings reflective of improved financial performance, as defined by the board. All employees were eligible to participate except for the CEO, who was not a participant in this incentive program. The association did not have an incentive plan nor did it pay an incentive to staff during 2011.

Personal mileage on association automobiles is reported as taxable income to employees and reported in the deferred/perquisite column in the table above. Employees who use their personal automobile for business purposes were reimbursed during 2013 at the IRS-approved rate of 56.5 cents per mile.

No senior officer received non-cash compensation exceeding \$5,000, other than disclosed previously in 2013, 2012 or 2011.

Senior officers, including the CEO, are reimbursed for reasonable travel, subsistence and other related expenses while conducting association business. A copy of the association's travel policy is available to shareholders upon request.

# Pension Benefits Table for the CEO and Senior Officers as a Group

The following table presents the total annual benefit provided from the defined benefit pension plan applicable to the CEO and senior officers as a group for the year ended December 31, 2013:

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit	Payments During 2013
Steve Fowlkes, CEO	Farm Credit Bank of Texas			
	Pension Plan	38.597	\$ 2,638,589	\$ -
		Average Years	Present Value of Accumulated	Payments
Name	Plan Name	Credited Service	Benefit	During 2013
Senior Officers (2)	Farm Credit Bank of Texas			
	Pension Plan	35.19	\$ 3.205.669	\$ -

#### Defined Benefit Pension Plan:

The Defined Benefit Pension Plan (Pension Plan) is a final average pay plan which was closed to new participants in 1996, and later fully closed to all participants, including rehires who had formally participated in the plan. The Pension Plan benefits are based on the average monthly eligible compensation over the 60 consecutive months that produce the highest average after 1996 ("FAC60"). The Pension Plan's benefit formula for a Normal Retirement Pension is the sum of (a) 1.65 percent of FAC60 times "Years of Benefit Service" and (b) 0.50 percent of (i) FAC60 in excess of Social Security covered compensation times (ii) "Years of Benefit Service" (not to exceed 35).

The Pension Plan's benefit formula for the Normal Retirement Pension assumes that the employee's retirement age is 65, that the employee is married on the date the annuity begins, that the spouse is exactly two years younger than the employee, and that the benefit is payable in the form of a 50 percent joint and survivor annuity. If any of those assumptions are incorrect, the benefit is recalculated to be the actuarial equivalent benefit. The Pension Plan benefit is offset by the pension benefits any employee may have from another Farm Credit System institution.

The Pension Plan was amended in 2013 to allow those retiring after September 1, 2013 to elect a lump sum distribution option. The plan was also amended to allow participating employers to exclude from pension compensation new long term incentive plans which began after January 1, 2014.

## TRANSACTIONS WITH DIRECTORS AND SENIOR OFFICERS

The association's policies on loans to and transactions with its officers and directors, required to be disclosed in this section, are incorporated herein by reference from Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements, "Related Party Transactions," included in this annual report.

#### DIRECTORS' AND SENIOR OFFICERS' INVOLVEMENT IN CERTAIN LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

During the past five years, none of the association's officers or directors has been involved in legal proceedings that are material to an evaluation of the ability or integrity of any person who served as director or senior officer on January 1, 2013, or any time during the year just ended.

# RELATIONSHIP WITH INDEPENDENT AUDITOR

No change in auditors has taken place since the last annual report to stockholders and no disagreements with auditors has occurred that the association is required to report to the Farm Credit Administration under part 621 of the FCA regulations governing this disclosure.

Fees for professional services rendered for the association by PricewaterhouseCoopers for the year ending December 31, 2013 were \$66,500 and were comprised of audit services for \$60,000 and tax services for \$6,500.

#### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements, together with the report thereon of PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP dated March 10, 2014, and the report of management in this annual report to stockholders, are incorporated herein by reference.

### MEMBER/SHAREHOLDER PRIVACY

Members' nonpublic personal financial information is protected by Farm Credit Administration regulation. Our directors and employees are restricted from disclosing information not normally contained in published reports or press releases about the association or its members.

# CREDIT AND SERVICES TO YOUNG, BEGINNING AND SMALL FARMERS AND RANCHERS, AND PRODUCERS OR HARVESTERS OF AQUATIC PRODUCTS

Lone Star, ACA is obligated to establish programs that respond to the credit and related service needs of Young, Beginning and Small (YBS) farmers and ranchers. It is the association's responsibility to fulfill its public policy role by extending credit and related services to this important sector of our customer base. YBS farmers and ranchers face continuing challenges in agriculture, including access to capital and credit needs, limited financial resources for land and equipment, urbanization demands and increasing competition from larger and highly capitalized operations.

The board of directors and management are committed to providing programs that facilitate meeting the needs of this group of customers. These programs also address other issues, including the aging of agricultural landowners and customers, the need to transfer assets to another generation of potential landowners and a recognition that many young, beginning and small operators will need to supplement their farm income by seeking off-farm employment. Additionally, demographic trends indicate that agricultural landowners make decisions regarding land or agricultural endeavors based on lifestyle choices, recreational utility or as an alternative investment in a major financial asset.

# **Definitions for YBS Farmers and Ranchers**

<u>Young Farmer or Rancher</u>- a farmer, rancher or producer/harvester of aquatic products who was age 35 or younger as of the date when the loan was originally made.

Beginning Farmer or Rancher- a farmer, rancher or producer/harvester of aquatic products who had ten years or less of experience at farming or ranching as of the date the loan was originally made.

<u>Small Farmer or Rancher</u>- a farmer, rancher or producer/harvester of aquatic products who normally generated less than \$250,000 in annual gross sales of agricultural or aquatic products at the date the loan was originally made. An individual loan to a small borrower will be reported in one of four loan size categories: \$0-\$50,000; \$50,001-\$100,000; \$100,001-\$250,000; and \$250,001 and greater.

In order to address the specific needs of these customers, and to be responsive to the credit needs of young, beginning and small farmers, the association utilizes all existing loan programs to the maximum benefit of young, beginning and small farmers. The association has also developed specific loan programs to meet the credit needs of this group. Qualifying young, beginning and small farmers who are involved or becoming more involved in agriculture may be eligible for loans with more flexible rates and fees.

The association's YBS loans, as a percentage of total loans outstanding on December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, are reflected in the table below:

	20	13	20	12	2011				
	% loans	% volume		% loans	% volume	% loans	% volume		
Young	15.04	10.03		15.78	12.35	13.76	12.38		
Beginning	50.51	43.72		48.06	36.98	36.55	32.17		
Small	72.24	43.93		72.02	44.15	61.23	31.79		

The association's YBS loans, as a percentage of all loans closed each year, are reflected in the table below for the past three years:

	2013		201	12	2011		
	% loans	% volume	_	% loans	% volume	% loans	% volume
Young	17.82	9.59		18.62	10.74	19.32	11.78
Beginning	56.20	47.81		55.57	47.49	54.87	49.23
Small	79.77	59.01		79.15	59.68	78.20	54.40

The board has established quantitative targets within the operational and strategic business plan to measure and evaluate progress toward serving young, beginning and small customers. These volume-based targets for 2013 performance included credit to young farmers at 14 percent, credit to beginning farmers at 37.5 percent and credit to small farmers at 45 percent. While new credit to young farmers did not meet expectations, beginning and small farmer activity exceeded objectives for 2013, especially for the

smaller categories of loans, primarily due to the overall level of loan activity for the year. Goals were also established by the board for loans outstanding to young, beginning and small farmers. Volume based performance goals for outstanding loans included young borrowers at 12.0 percent, beginning borrowers at 48.0 percent and small borrowers at 60.0 percent of loans outstanding. Performance mirrored that of new business, with volume outstanding to young farmers less than expected and beginning and small farmers at, or near expectations.

The United States Department of Agriculture's NASS Census of Agriculture-2007 Census provides data regarding the actual market for YBS farmers and ranchers within the 33-county area served by Lone Star. This census data indicated 4.2 percent of farm operators are "young," 34.4 percent of operators are "beginning" and 97.7 percent of farm units are categorized as "small." There are differences in the method by which association YBS data and demographic census data is described. Census data is based on the number of farms, and association data is based on the number of customers. Additionally, census dates do not coincide with an annual analysis of association data, and calculations for young and beginning farmers are slightly different. Annual performance data and goals established also include lending activity outside the association's territory. However, a comparison does offer a quantitative measure of the association's performance in fulfilling its mission of service to young, beginning and small farmers.

The association coordinates its young, beginning and small farmer loan program activities with other lenders. This includes the purchase and sale of loan participations, loan guarantees and joint lending. Related services including appraisal, credit life insurance, life and disability products and leasing programs are available to assist YBS borrowers in their credit and related service needs.

Important components of the association's YBS efforts include the emphasis placed on outreach programs. The association has held a long-standing belief that an investment in agricultural students and youth activities is important to the long-term success of the cooperative. The association develops a comprehensive array of marketing efforts to include youth activities and events including livestock shows, 4-H and FFA events, young professional groups and agricultural leadership opportunities.

The association offers a scholarship program for area seniors and four scholarships are awarded in the lending area. The association also sponsors youth activities in the local area and at the state level through support of 4-H and FFA activities.

A YBS advisory board was formed to generate ideas and methods on how Lone Star, ACA can better serve the YBS demographic in our area. The advisory board minutes are reported to the board of directors.

In summary, the association fulfills its mission of providing agricultural credit, and meeting the specific credit and related service needs of young, beginning and small farmers, ranchers and producers/harvesters of aquatic products through specific lending programs, quantitative performance measures and broad-based objectives.