



2018 THIRD QUARTER REPORT SEPTEMBER 30, 2018



THIRD QUARTER 2018

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Combined Financial Condition and Results of Operations	2
Controls and Procedures	
Combined Financial Statements:	
Combined Balance Sheets	
Combined Statements of Comprehensive Income	16
Combined Statements of Changes in Members' Equity	17
Combined Statements of Cash Flows	
Notes to Combined Financial Statements	19

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Combined Financial Condition and Results of Operations

(dollars in thousands, except as noted)

The following discussion reviews the combined financial condition and results of operations of the Farm Credit Bank of Texas (bank), its affiliated Federal Land Credit Association (FLCA) and affiliated Agricultural Credit Associations (ACAs) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018. The FLCA and ACAs are collectively referred to as associations, and the bank and its affiliated associations are collectively referred to as the district. These comments should be read in conjunction with the accompanying combined financial statements and footnotes, along with the 2017 Annual Report to stockholders. The accompanying financial statements were prepared under the oversight of the bank's audit committee.

During the third quarter of 2017, an association within the district determined the association's consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, as well as the three months ended March 31, 2017, should no longer be relied upon and needed to be restated to correct misstatements in the association's consolidated financial statements. The association's restatements in 2016 decreased its previously reported consolidated other comprehensive income and members' equity by \$8.1 million. These additional losses were the result of the activities of a former loan officer who breached the association's policies and procedures and engaged in improper conduct that included improperly advancing funds without appropriate approvals, offering unauthorized loan terms to borrowers, originating loans to fictitious borrowers, and originating loans and advancing funds based on fabricated documentation.

Although the association restated their 2016 consolidated financial statements, the district has evaluated the quantitative and qualitative aspects of these misstatements in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance and has determined that such misstatements are not material to the current and previously issued district combined financial statements. The events and activities that resulted in the restatement of the association's consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2016, impacted the financial results for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2017, by \$857 thousand, increasing consolidated other comprehensive income and members' equity, and \$15.2 million, decreasing consolidated other comprehensive income and members' equity, respectively.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Income

Net income for the three months ended September 30, 2018, was \$129,928, an increase of \$13,604, or 11.7 percent, over the same period of 2017. The increase in net income consisted primarily of a \$5,035 decrease in provision for credit losses, a \$3,891 increase in net interest income, a \$5,659 decrease in noninterest expense, offset by a \$676 decrease in noninterest income.

Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2018, was \$372,122, an increase of \$46,764, or 14.4 percent, over the same period of 2017. The increase in net income consisted primarily of a \$15,626 increase in net interest income, a \$13,628 increase in noninterest income, a \$12,348 decrease in noninterest expense and a \$5,598 decrease in provision for credit losses.

Net Interest Income

Earning asset yields and liability costs are generally influenced by market interest rates, and the "flattening" of the interest rate yield curve has contributed to the decline in the bank's net interest spread for the quarter and year-to-date. As measured by the difference between the two-year and the 10-year

maturities for Farm Credit debt, the yield differential has declined by 0.51 percent to a level of 0.57 percent as of September 30, 2018, as compared to 1.08 percent as of September of the prior year 2017.

Net interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2018, was \$199,410, an increase of \$3,891, or 2.0 percent, over the same period of 2017. The increase was primarily the result of a \$1.92 billion increase in combined district average earning assets. The increase in earning assets was due to increases in association average loan volume, the bank's capital markets loan portfolio and the bank's investment portfolio. The net interest rate spread of 229 basis points decreased by 21 basis points compared to the same period of 2017, with a 38-basis-point increase in the yield of average interest earning assets offset by a 59-basis-point increase in the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities.

Net interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2018, was \$590,694, an increase of \$15,626, or 2.7 percent, over the same period of 2017. The increase was primarily the result of a \$1.71 billion increase in combined district average earning assets. The increase in earning assets was due to increases in association average loan volume, the bank's capital markets loan portfolio and the bank's investment portfolio. The net interest rate spread of 236 basis points decreased by 17 basis points compared to the same period of 2017, with a 38-basis-point increase in the yield of average interest earning assets offset by a 55-basis-point increase in the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities.

Provision for Credit Losses

The district's negative provision for credit losses on loans, letters of credit and unfunded commitments for the three months ended September 30, 2018, totaled \$675, a decrease of \$5,035 from the \$4,360 provision for the same period of 2017. The decrease in the provision for credit losses included a \$5,172 decrease at the district associations, offset by a \$137 increase at the bank.

The district's provision for credit losses on loans, letters of credit and unfunded commitments for the nine months ended September 30, 2018, totaled \$3,294, a decrease of \$5,598 from the \$8,892 provision for the same period of 2017. The decrease in the provision for credit losses included an \$11,640 decrease at the district associations, offset by a \$6,042 increase at the bank.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income for the three months ended September 30, 2018, was \$18,453, a decrease of \$676, or 3.5 percent, from the same period of 2017.

Noninterest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2018, was \$58,794, an increase of \$13,628, or 30.2 percent, from the same period of 2017. The increase was due primarily to a \$19,269 increase in refund distributions from the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation (FCSIC), offset by a \$5,818 decrease in other income primarily related to a nonrecurring event associated with the gain on a sale of a loan in 2017.

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2018, was \$88,324, a decrease of \$5,659, or 6.0 percent, over the same period of 2017. The decrease is primarily due to a \$4,579 decrease in other operating expense related to a decrease in professional fees and contract services and a \$2,986 decrease in Insurance Fund premiums. Insurance Fund premiums decreased due to a rate decrease on outstanding debt from 15 basis points in 2017 to 9 basis points in 2018.

Noninterest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2018, was \$273,819, a decrease of \$12,348, or 4.3 percent, over the same period of 2017. The decrease is primarily due to a \$12,283 decrease in other operating expense primarily due to expenses recorded in 2017 related to an association's expenses due to the breach of policies and procedures and an \$8,832 decrease in Insurance Fund premiums due to a rate

decrease on outstanding debt from 15 basis points in 2017 to 9 basis points in 2018, offset by an increase of \$7,774 in salaries and employee benefits.

	Annualized for the	Annualized for the
	Nine Months Ended	Nine Months Ended
	September 30, 2018	September 30, 2017
Return on average assets	1.63%	1.52%
Return on average members' equity	11.03%	10.12%
Net interest income as a percentage		
of average earning assets	2.66%	2.75%
(Recoveries), net of charge-offs, to average loans	(0.03%)	< (0.01)%
Operating expenses as a percentage of		
net interest income and noninterest income	42.42%	46.32%
Operating expenses as a percentage of		
average earning assets	1.24%	1.37%

Other Comprehensive Income

Other comprehensive income consists of certain gains, losses or costs for which values are included in assets or liabilities on the balance sheets, but which have not yet been recognized in earnings. In the balance sheets, they are included in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income in the shareholders' equity section. For the district, these elements include unrealized gains or losses on the bank's available-for-sale investment portfolio, amortization of certain pension and postretirement benefit elements, and changes in the value of cash flow derivative instruments.

The table below summarizes changes in elements included in other comprehensive (loss) income:

	Nine Months	Ended
	September	30,
	2018	2017
Change in unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities		
Net increase in unrealized losses on investment securities	\$ (56,357) \$	4,315
Net change in unrealized losses on securities	(56,357)	4,315
Change in pension and postretirement benefit plans		
Amortization of prior service credits	(477)	(660)
Amortization of net losses	10,614	13,404
Net change in pension and postretirement benefit plans	10,137	12,744
Change in cash flow derivative instruments		
Unrealized gain on cash flow derivative instruments	13,033	(2,386)
Reclassification of loss recognized in interest expense	(207)	836
Net change in cash flow derivative instruments	12,826	(1,550)
Other comprehensive (loss) income	\$ (33,394) \$	15,509

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Loan Portfolio

Gross loan volume at September 30, 2018, was \$24.72 billion, an increase of \$976.0 million, or 4.1 percent, from \$23.75 billion at December 31, 2017. The increase in the loan portfolio during the first nine months of 2018 was primarily due to a \$576.9 million increase in the bank's capital market loan portfolio and \$362.6 million increase in the bank's direct notes from associations.

The bank's capital markets loan portfolio predominantly includes participations, syndications and purchased whole loans, along with other financing structures within our lending authorities. The bank also refers to the capital markets portfolio as participations purchased. In addition to purchasing loans from our district associations, which may exceed their hold limits, the bank seeks the purchase of participations and syndications originated outside of the district's territory by other System institutions, commercial banks and other lenders. These loans may be held as earning assets of the bank or sub-participated to the associations or to other System entities.

Loans classified under the Farm Credit Administration's (FCA) Uniform Loan Classification System as "acceptable" or "special mention" as a percentage of total loans and accrued interest receivable were 98.9 percent at September 30, 2018, and 98.5 percent at December 31, 2017. Nonaccrual loans for the district were 0.5 percent of total loans at September 30, 2018, and 0.5 percent of total loans at December 31, 2017.

During the second quarter of 2017, the bank downgraded the direct loan to one of our affiliated associations to the special mention credit quality classification. This credit quality classification was affirmed by bank management prior to the issuance of this report. As of September 30, 2018, the direct note totaled \$803.5 million. The bank's loans to our affiliated associations are collateralized by substantially all of the association's assets, and the earnings, capital and loan loss reserves of the association provide a buffer against losses in their retail portfolio. While the downgrade reflected control weaknesses at the affiliated association, the bank has not made any provision for loan loss or recorded any allowance for credit loss related to our direct note to that affiliated association because of the collateralization of the direct loan and other mitigating factors. The direct loans to associations are eliminated in combination for purposes of the district's financial results.

Total district high-risk asset volume decreased \$628, or 0.4 percent, to \$174.7 million at September 30, 2018.

Comparative balances of high-risk assets follow:

			Inc	rease (De	ecrease)		
	September	30, 2018		\$	%	December 3	31, 2017
Nonaccrual loans	\$	129,167	\$	2,932	2.3 %	\$	126,235
Accruing formally restructured loans		29,498		(1,035)	(3.4)		30,533
Loans 90 days past due and							
still accruing interest		1,145		(1,880)	(62.1)		3,025
Total impaired loans		159,810		17	0.0		159,793
Other property owned		14,924		(645)	(4.1)		15,569
Total high-risk assets	\$	174,734	\$	(628)	(0.4) %	\$	175,362

The \$3.0 million increase in nonaccrual loans from December 31, 2017, to September 30, 2018, is primarily the result of \$88.4 million in transfers to nonaccrual status and \$14.5 million in advances on nonaccruals, offset by \$81.2 million in repayments on nonaccrual loans, \$8.3 million in transfers to accrual, \$7.9 million in charge-offs and \$7.5 million in transfers to other property owned (OPO). OPO decreased \$645 due to \$7.5 million in transfers to OPO and a \$2.1 million gain on the sale of OPO, offset primarily by \$6.5 million in cash receipts on disposal of OPO and \$3.6 million in financing on OPO.

At September 30, 2018, \$81.2 million, or 62.9 percent, of the district's nonaccrual loans were considered current as to principal and interest. Continued satisfactory payment performance on these loans may indicate potential for a return to accrual status. At September 30, 2018, the district had \$4.7 million in nonaccrual loans on which interest income is recognized upon cash receipts, compared to \$12.1 million at December 31, 2017. Impaired loans, consisting of nonaccrual loans, accruing formally restructured loans and loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest, constituted 0.6 percent of total loans at September 30, 2018, and December 31, 2017.

The allowance for loan losses at September 30, 2018, totaled \$81,562, or 0.3 percent of total loans, and was a decrease of \$1,706, or 2.1 percent, from the allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2017. The decrease includes a \$3,294 provision, \$2,614 in recoveries and \$318 in reserves for credit losses on letters of credit, offset by \$7,932 in charge-offs. Additional information about the allowance for loan losses is included in Note 3, "Loans and Reserves for Credit Losses." The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of impaired loans was 51.0 percent as of September 30, 2018, as compared to 52.1 percent as of December 31, 2017. The nature of the collateral supporting many of the impaired loans (primarily first lien real estate) is considered in the determination of necessary allowances for loan losses. The district also had reserves for credit losses on letters of credit and unfunded commitments totaling \$4.7 million at September 30, 2018.

Liquidity and Funding Sources

The bank's primary source of liquidity comes from its ability to issue Systemwide Debt Securities, which are the general unsecured joint and several obligations of the System banks. The bank continually raises funds in the debt markets to support its mission, to repay maturing Systemwide Debt Securities, and to meet other obligations. As a secondary source of liquidity, the bank maintains an investment portfolio composed primarily of high-quality liquid securities. The securities provide a stable source of income for the bank, and their high quality ensures the portfolio can quickly be converted to cash should the need arise.

Cash and investment securities totaled \$5.90 billion, or 18.9 percent, of total assets at September 30, 2018, compared to \$5.48 billion, or 18.4 percent, at December 31, 2017. At September 30, 2018, the district's cash balance was \$34.1 million, of which \$9.5 million was held at the Federal Reserve Bank.

FCA regulations require each bank to maintain a minimum of 90 days of liquidity coverage on a continuous basis. The days of liquidity measurement refers to the number of days that maturing debt could be funded with eligible cash and investment securities. At September 30, 2018, the bank exceeded all applicable regulatory liquidity requirements and had 226 days of liquidity.

Investments

The district's investments at September 30, 2018, included the bank's available-for-sale portfolio with a fair value of \$5.67 billion and the district associations' held-to-maturity portfolio recorded at an amortized cost of \$16.4 million. The available-for-sale investments included a liquidity portfolio and a portfolio of other investments. The bank's available-for-sale liquidity portfolio consisted primarily of federal agency-guaranteed collateralized mortgage-backed securities (MBS), corporate debt, agency-guaranteed debt, U.S. Treasury securities and asset-backed securities (ABS). The majority of the liquidity portfolio's MBS includes Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA), Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) securities. The available-for-sale portfolio of other investments consisted of Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation (Farmer Mac) guaranteed agricultural mortgage-backed securities (AMBS) held by the bank that had a fair value of \$37.3 million. The district associations' held-to-maturity portfolio consisted of Farmer Mac AMBS held by district associations which had a fair value of \$16.2 million.

The Farmer Mac AMBS are backed by loans originated by the associations and previously held by the associations under Farmer Mac's long-term standby commitment to purchase agreements. Farmer Mac is a government-sponsored enterprise and is examined and regulated by FCA. It provides a secondary market for agricultural and rural home mortgage loans that meet certain underwriting standards. Farmer Mac is authorized to provide loan guarantees and to be a direct pooler of agricultural mortgage loans. Farmer Mac is owned by both System and non-System investors, and its board of directors has both System and non-System representation. Farmer Mac is not liable for any debt or obligation of any System institution, and no System institution other than Farmer Mac is liable for any debt or obligation of Farmer Mac.

The following table summarizes the bank's available-for-sale liquidity portfolio holdings:

		Septembe	r 30,	2018		, 2017		
	Am	ortized Cost]	Fair Value	An	ortized Cost	Fa	air Value
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$	179,002	\$	174,069	\$	198,246	\$	195,248
Corporate debt		365,403		364,789		252,482		252,609
Federal agency collateralized mortgage-backed securities								
GNMA		2,547,784		2,486,967		2,012,484		1,984,662
FNMA and FHLMC		2,267,228		2,223,305		2,395,248		2,372,053
U.S. Treasury securities		298,470		298,055		249,860		249,207
Asset-backed securities		84,196		84,146		47,914		47,889
Total available-for-sale investments	\$	5,742,083	\$	5,631,331	\$	5,156,234	\$	5,101,668

The bank's available-for-sale other investments portfolio consisted of Farmer Mac AMBS securities as follows:

		Septembe	r 30, 2	2018	December 31, 2017				
	Amoi	rtized Cost	Fa	ir Value	Amo	rtized Cost	Fai	ir Value	
Agricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$	39,723	\$	37,305	\$	45,564	\$	43,317	

FCA regulations also define eligible investments by specifying credit rating criteria, final maturity limit and percentage of investment portfolio limit for each investment type. Generally, the bank's investments must be highly rated by at least one Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization, such as Moody's Investors Service, Standard & Poor's or Fitch Ratings. If an investment no longer meets eligibility criteria, the investment becomes ineligible. At September 30, 2018, the bank had no investments which were ineligible for liquidity purposes as a result of credit downgrading.

Capital Resources

The district's combined capital at September 30, 2018, totaled \$4.61 billion consisting of \$700,000 of Class B noncumulative perpetual preferred stock related to the bank and \$20,000 of cumulative perpetual preferred stock related to a district association, \$66,053 of capital stock and participation certificates, \$3.80 billion in retained earnings and \$224,625 in additional paid-in capital, offset by \$196,254 of accumulated other comprehensive loss. The district's capital reflected an increase of \$336,750, or 7.9 percent, from December 31, 2017, to September 30, 2018, due to net income of \$372,122, preferred stock issuance of \$100,000 and \$6,721 in capital stock issued, offset by \$56,650 in capital stock and allocated equities retired, preferred stock dividends of \$34,114, other comprehensive income changes of \$33,394, patronage declarations of \$16,602 and preferred stock issuance costs of \$1,333.

During the first quarter of 2017, a district association issued 20 million shares of fixed-to-floating rate perpetual cumulative preferred stock with a par value of \$1.00 per share. The preferred stock will have a fixed rate dividend of 5 percent for 10 years, payable semi-annually. After 10 years, the dividend rate becomes a floating rate of 5 percent above 6-month LIBOR. On or after five years, the association may, at its option, redeem all or part of the preferred stock. The district association recognized \$136 in issuance costs as a reduction of retained earnings during the second quarter of 2017. As of September 30, 2018, fixed-to-floating perpetual cumulative preferred stock dividends totaling \$1.0 million were declared and paid by a district association.

On June 25, 2018, the bank issued \$100.0 million of Class B noncumulative subordinated perpetual preferred stock, Series 3 (Class B-3 preferred stock), representing one hundred thousand shares at \$1,000 per share par value, with issuance costs on preferred stock of \$1.3 million. Dividends accrued on the Class B-3 preferred stock were \$1.6 million as of September 30, 2018 and were included in "Preferred stock dividends payable" on the balance sheet. Dividends on the Class B-3 preferred stock, if declared by the board of directors at its sole discretion, are noncumulative and are payable quarterly in arrears on the fifteenth day of March, June, September and December in each year, commencing September 15, 2018, at an annual fixed rate of 6.20 percent of par value of \$1,000 per share up to, but excluding June 15, 2028, from and after which date will be paid at an annual rate of the 3-Month USD LIBOR plus 3.22 percent. The Class B-3 preferred stock is not mandatorily redeemable at any time, but may be redeemed in whole or in part at the option of the bank on any dividend payment date on or after June 15, 2028. The Class B-3 preferred stock ranks pari passu with respect to the existing Class B-1 and Class B-2 preferred stock, and senior to all of the bank's other outstanding capital stock. For regulatory purposes, the Class B-3 preferred stock is included in permanent capital, total capital and tier 1 capital within certain limitations.

FCA regulations require the bank and associations to maintain minimums for various regulatory capital ratios. New regulations became effective January 1, 2017, which replaced the previously required core surplus and total surplus ratios with common equity tier 1, tier 1 capital and total capital risk-based capital ratios. The new regulations also added tier 1 leverage and unallocated retained earnings and equivalents (UREE) ratios. The permanent capital ratio continues to remain in effect, with some modifications to align with the new regulations. As of September 30, 2018, the bank and all district associations exceeded all regulatory capital requirements.

The following table reflects the bank and associations' capital ratios as of September 30, 2018:

				Total	
				Regulatory	
_	Bank	FLCA	ACAs	Requirement	
Permanent capital ratio ranges	16.55%	16.81%	13.22%-22.04%	7.00%	
Common equity tier 1 ratio ranges	10.08	16.76	12.06 - 21.92	7.00	
Tier 1 capital ratio ranges	16.67	16.76	12.06 - 21.92	8.50	
Total capital ratio ranges	16.67	17.04	13.49 - 22.47	10.50	
Tier 1 leverage ratio ranges	7.44	17.32	10.81 - 20.63	5.00	
UREE leverage ratio ranges	2.91	18.47	8.86 - 21.72	1.50	

The following table reflects the key financial condition comparisons for the district as of:

	September 30, 2018	December 31, 2017
Members' equity to assets	14.76%	14.40%
Total liabilities to members' equity	5.77:1	5.95:1
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	0.33%	0.35%

OTHER

CONDITIONS IN THE DISTRICT

During the quarter, the district continued to benefit from robust economic growth. From August 2017 through August 2018, the Texas economy added nearly 400,000 jobs, the most of any state in the country. This job growth has been broad, with virtually all segments of the Texas economy reporting gains in employment year-over-year. With West Texas Intermediate crude oil prices exceeding \$70 per barrel at the end of the quarter, companies have been incentivized to make additional investments in the highly productive Permian Basin, located in western Texas and southeast New Mexico. This trend is likely to continue, as the U.S. Energy Information Administration expects the Permian Basin to lead growth in U.S. oil production during 2019. In each of the five district states, Alabama, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico and Texas, employment growth has been positive year-to-date through August. The unemployment rates in the five district states ranged from a low of 3.9 percent to a high of 5.0 percent as of August 2018.

In September 2018, the U.S. reached a trilateral trade agreement with Mexico and Canada to replace the 25-year-old North American Free Trade Agreement. Because it removes the short-term uncertainty associated with the ongoing renegotiations, the revised agreement should positively influence the near-term economic outlook for Texas, which leads the nation in exports.

The 2014 Farm Bill expired on September 30, 2018, as Congress was unable to reach an agreement on replacement legislation prior to its expiration. Although most agricultural programs will continue, certain programs, such as some pertaining to conservation, trade and rural development, will no longer be funded as of October 1. It is unlikely that Congress will produce a Farm Bill until after the November elections. This quarter, the U.S. Department of Agriculture announced several new programs aimed at supporting farmers that have been impacted by ongoing trade disputes. Soybean farmers are expected to be the primary beneficiary of these programs, but a wide variety of agricultural producers, including those that produce cotton, dairy products and pork, will also receive some support. In total, the U.S. Department of Agriculture is expected to authorize up to \$12 billion in support this year to compensate farmers for trade-related losses.

In 2018, U.S. beef production is expected to exceed 27 billion pounds for only the second time in history. Export growth, which has primarily been driven by increased demand for U.S. beef in several Asian countries, has kept cattle and beef prices relatively stable despite higher production. Consistent with typical seasonal patterns, feeder cattle prices are likely to decline during the fourth quarter. Cattle feedlots are generally expected to operate around breakeven for the remainder of the year. Milk prices have been lower during 2018 relative to those that were observed during the prior year, contributing to lower margins for dairy producers. The U.S. Department of Agriculture expects milk prices to improve during the upcoming months, thereby alleviating some of the pressure on profitability that has been observed throughout the industry. Due to large supplies of broiler meat, competition from other meats and seasonal trends, wholesale broiler prices declined during the third quarter. Broiler prices are expected to stabilize during the fourth quarter before improving in 2019.

Most of the district received above-average precipitation during the quarter, which has reduced the prevalence of drought conditions in the region. Although some improvement in crop and pasture conditions has been observed, dry weather early in the growing season negatively impacted row crop development in portions of the district. Farmers who experience losses due to adverse weather conditions will rely on government programs, such as multi-peril crop insurance, for financial support.

U.S. corn and soybean yields are expected to reach record levels during 2018. If realized, this would represent the fifth consecutive year of historically strong U.S. production of both crops. Elevated stocks following harvest are likely to pressure prices of these commodities as we approach 2019. In the U.S., cotton farmers are expected to abandon about one-fourth of total planted acres this year, well above the 10-year average rate. The high levels of abandonment are being driven by relatively poor crop conditions across several key growing areas, including in the Texas Panhandle. Robust exports have been supportive of cotton prices, however, and farmers who produce cotton on irrigated acreage or have otherwise been able to yield an adequate crop this year should earn favorable returns. Across the nation, the corn, soybean and cotton harvests have been progressing well, and, as of the end of the quarter, harvest progress was ahead of the five-year average for all three crops. Farmers in the district utilize risk management tools, such as federally sponsored crop insurance programs and forward, futures and options contracts, to mitigate risk and enhance margins.

The district portfolio continues to be supported by strong credit quality, high levels of capital, low advance rates and diversification.

RATING AGENCY ACTIONS

Fitch Ratings Actions

On April 6, 2018, Fitch Ratings affirmed the bank's long-term and short-term issuer default ratings (IDRs) at "AA-" and "F1+," respectively, with a stable outlook. Fitch also affirmed the bank's noncumulative perpetual preferred stock rating at "BBB" and its support floor at "AA-." Fitch affirmed the Farm Credit System's long-term and short-term IDRs at "AAA" and "F1+," respectively, with a stable outlook, and its support floor at "AAA." As a government-sponsored entity, the System benefits from implicit government support. The ratings and rating outlook are directly linked to the U.S. sovereign rating. The affirmation of the System banks' IDRs reflect their prudent, conservative credit culture, their unique funding advantage and their structural second-loss position on the majority of their loan portfolio.

Moody's Investors Service Rating Actions

On November 17, 2017, Moody's Investors Service affirmed the bank's issuer rating at "Aa3" and its noncumulative preferred stock rating at "Baa1 (hyb)," with a stable outlook. The Aa3 issuer rating reflects the bank's "a1" baseline credit assessment (BCA), very high cooperative support from the other Federal Farm Credit Banks and moderate support from the U.S. government, which has an "Aaa," stable outlook. The bank's preferred stock rating incorporated the bank's BCA, very high cooperative support from the other Federal Farm Credit Banks and notching reflecting the debt's relative positions in the bank's capital structure. The bank's "a1" BCA incorporates its solid capital levels, adequate risk-adjusted profitability and liquidity, as well as the benefits associated with its lending to related associations and their strong capital levels. The "a1" BCA is one of Moody's highest assessments of any financial institution, both domestically and globally.

REGULATORY MATTERS

At September 30, 2018, there were no district associations under written agreements with the Farm Credit Administration.

On June 12, 2018, the Farm Credit Administration (FCA) published a final rule revising the requirements governing the eligibility of investments for System banks and associations. The stated objectives of the final rule are as follows:

• To strengthen investment practices at Farm Credit banks and associations to enhance their safety and soundness:

- To ensure that System banks hold sufficient high-quality liquid investments for liquidity purposes;
- To enhance the ability of the System banks to supply credit to agricultural and aquatic producers and their cooperatives in times of financial stress;
- To comply with the requirements of section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Act;
- To modernize the investment eligibility criteria for Farm Credit banks; and
- To revise the investment regulation for System associations to improve their investment management practices so they are more resilient to risk.

The regulation becomes effective January 1, 2019.

On June 15, 2018, the FCA published a proposed rule to amend its regulations governing standards of conduct of directors and employees of System institutions and require every System bank and association to have a Standards of Conduct Program based on core principles to put into effect ethical values as part of corporate culture. The stated objectives of the proposed rule are to:

- Establish principles for ethical conduct and recognize each System institution's responsibility for promoting an ethical culture;
- Provide each System institution flexibility to develop specific guidelines on acceptable practices suitable for its business;
- Encourage each System institution to foster core ethical values and conduct as part of its corporate culture;
- Require each System institution to develop strategies and a system of internal controls to promote
 institution and individual accountability in ethical conduct, including by establishing a Standards
 of Conduct Program and adopting a Code of Ethics; and
- Remove prescriptive requirement of the regulations that do not promote these objectives.

The deadline for the submission of public comments was September 13, 2018.

On August 24, 2018, the FCA published for public comment a proposed rule that would modify the existing outside director eligibility criteria to accomplish the following objectives:

- Amend the eligibility criteria for outside directors in § 611.220(a);
- Remove the definition of outside director in § 619.9225;
- Strengthen the safety and soundness of System institutions; and
- Incorporate best practices for corporate governance for System institutions.

The proposed regulation would expand the list of persons who would be excluded from nomination for an outside director's seat to ensure the independence of outside directors. The list would include borrowers of the institution, immediate family members of any director, officer, employee, agent, stockholder or borrower of any System institution, and anyone who has a controlling interest in an entity that borrows from any System institution or any affiliated organization of a System institution. The deadline for the submission of public comments was October 23, 2018.

OTHER

New U.S. tax laws resulting from legislation commonly known as the Tax Cuts and Jobs Acts of 2017 (TCJA) were enacted in late 2017. Among other things, the TCJA changed the federal corporate tax rate from 35 percent to 21 percent. The bank is exempt from federal and certain other income taxes as provided by the Farm Credit Act of 1971; however, the change in federal corporate tax rate had a financial statement impact for year-end 2017 for district associations that required the revaluation of any deferred taxes (assets or liabilities), which resulted in either a tax expense or tax benefit to the income statement for the year ended December 31, 2017. The quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2018, reflect the federal corporate tax rate of 21 percent.

While the full impact of the TCJA is difficult to predict and may not be fully known for several years, changes that could affect the district's business and customers include, but are not limited to, modifications to deductions surrounding interest expense and equipment purchases, tax incentives related to renewable energy initiatives, deductions impacting agricultural producers who sell their products to cooperatives and the overall changes in the competitive environment impacting financial institutions.

Report of Management

The undersigned certify that we have reviewed the September 30, 2018, quarterly report of the Farm Credit Bank of Texas and district associations, that the report has been prepared in accordance with all applicable statutory or regulatory requirements and that the information included herein is true, accurate, and complete to the best of our knowledge and belief.

anie Pala

Larry R. Doyle Chief Executive Officer James F. Dodson
Chairman of the Board

Amie Pala

Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer

November 9, 2018

Controls and Procedures

The Farm Credit Bank of Texas (bank) maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information disclosed by us in our quarterly and annual reports is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and our senior vice president, chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions to be made regarding disclosure. With management's input, the chief executive officer and senior vice president, chief financial officer evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of and for the period covered by this quarterly report, and have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of that date. This evaluation relies upon the evaluations made by the individual associations and the related certifications they provide to the bank.

The bank also maintains a system of internal controls. The "internal controls" as defined by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' Codification of Statement on Auditing Standards, AU Section 319, means a process — effected by the board of directors, management and other personnel — designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of objectives in the reliability of our financial reporting, the effectiveness and efficiency of operations, and of compliance with applicable laws and regulations. We continually assess the adequacy of our internal control over financial reporting and enhance our controls in response to internal control assessments and internal and external audit and regulatory recommendations. There have been no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect such controls subsequent to the date we carried out our evaluations.

Larry R. Doyle

Chief Executive Officer

Hofr

Amie Pala

Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer

anie Pala

November 9, 2018

Combined Balance Sheets

(dollars in thousands)		eptember 30, 2018 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2017			
Assets						
Cash	\$	34,122	\$	66,953		
Federal funds sold and overnight investments		179,986		246,888		
Investment securities		5,685,000		5,163,813		
Loans (includes \$9,475 and \$9,908 at fair value, held						
under fair value option)		24,721,626		23,745,668		
Less allowance for loan losses		81,562		83,268		
Net loans		24,640,064		23,662,400		
Accrued interest receivable		253,963		202,748		
Other property owned		14,924		15,569		
Premises and equipment, net		156,237		134,617		
Other assets		292,468		224,134		
Total assets	\$	31,256,764	\$	29,717,122		
Liabilities and members' equity Liabilities Bonds and notes, net Accrued interest payable Patronage distributions payable Preferred stock dividends payable Other liabilities	\$	26,131,676 96,561 52,563 21,613 339,705	\$	24,801,223 70,197 170,022 20,063 377,721		
Total liabilities		26,642,118		25,439,226		
Commitments and contingencies (Note 4)						
Members' equity						
Preferred stock		720,000		620,000		
Capital stock and participation certificates		66,053		65,982		
Allocated retained earnings		642,291		685,506		
Unallocated retained earnings		3,157,931		2,844,643		
Additional paid-in-capital Accumulated other comprehensive loss		224,625 (196,254)		224,625 (162,860)		
	_					
Total members' equity		4,614,646		4,277,896		
Total liabilities and members' equity	\$	31,256,764	\$	29,717,122		

$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Combined Statements of Comprehensive Income} \\ \text{(unaudited)} \end{array}$

	Quarter : Septemb		Nine Months Ended September 30,				
(dollars in thousands)	2018	2017		2018	2017		
Interest Income							
Loans	\$ 305,751	\$ 269,099	\$	883,400 \$	775,460		
Investment securities	33,963	22,290		90,103	61,946		
Total interest income	339,714	291,389		973,503	837,406		
Interest Expense							
Bonds and notes	113,994	77,504		310,172	214,028		
Notes payable and other	26,310	18,366		72,637	48,310		
Total interest expense	 140,304	95,870		382,809	262,338		
Net interest income	199,410	195,519		590,694	575,068		
(Negative provision) provision for credit losses	(675)	4,360		3,294	8,892		
Net interest income after (negative provision) provision for credit losses	200,085	191,159		587,400	566,176		
Noninterest Income		·					
Patronage income	5,917	4,573		14,515	14,542		
Fees for loan-related services	9,629	10,233		20,998	20,783		
Refunds from Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation	-	-		19,269			
Loss on loans held under fair value option	(49)	(47)		(204)	(193)		
Other income, net	2,956	4,370		4,216	10,034		
Total noninterest income	18,453	19,129		58,794	45,166		
Noninterest Expense							
Salaries and employee benefits	47,072	43,932		148,808	136,264		
Occupancy and equipment expense	8,286	7,695		25,480	23,917		
Insurance Fund premiums	5,041	8,027		14,722	23,554		
Gain on other property owned, net	(790)	(553)		(1,706)	(1,136)		
Other components of net periodic pension and							
postretirement benefit costs	3,531	5,119		10,588	15,358		
Other operating expenses	25,184	29,763		75,927	88,210		
Total noninterest expense	88,324	93,983		273,819	286,167		
Income before provision for income taxes	130,214	116,305		372,375	325,175		
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	286	(19)		253	(183)		
Net Income	129,928	116,324		372,122	325,358		
Other comprehensive income (loss)							
Change in unrealized loss on investments	(13,538)	(1,909)		(56,357)	4,315		
Change in pension and postretirement benefit plans	3,381	4,235		10,137	12,744		
Change in cash flow derivative instruments	 5,557	 (204)		12,826	(1,550)		
Total other comprehensive (loss) income	(4,600)	2,122		(33,394)	15,509		
Comprehensive Income	\$ 125,328	\$ 118,446	\$	338,728 \$	340,867		

Combined Statements of Changes in Members' Equity (unaudited)

(dollars in thousands)	I	Preferred Stock	and	apital Stock Participation Certificates	A			dditional d-in-Capital	ccumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total Members' Equity		
Balance at December 31, 2016	\$	600,000	\$	64,434	\$	631.647	\$	2,736,197	\$	224,625	\$ (157,982) \$	4,098,921
Net income		-	·	-		-	·	325,358		-	-	325,358
Other comprehensive gain		-		-		_		· -		_	15,509	15,509
Capital stock/participation												
certificates issued		-		7,491		-		-		-	-	7,491
Capital stock/participation												
certificates and allocated												
retained earnings retired		-		(6,025)		(36,785)		-		-	-	(42,810)
Preferred stock issued		20,000		-		-		-		-	-	20,000
Issuance costs on preferred stock		-		-		-		(136)		-	-	(136)
Preferred stock dividends		-		-		-		(30,188)		-	-	(30,188)
Patronage distributions												
Cash		-		-		-		(10,847)		-	-	(10,847)
Members' equity		-		-		6,085		(6,085)		-	-	-
Balance at September 30, 2017	\$	620,000	\$	65,900	\$	600,947	\$	3,014,299	\$	224,625	\$ (142,473) \$	4,383,298
Balance at December 31, 2017 Net income	\$	620,000	\$	65,982	\$	685,506	\$	2,844,643 372,122	\$	224,625	\$ (162,860) \$	4,277,896 372,122
Other comprehensive loss		-		-		-		-		-	(33,394)	(33,394)
Capital stock/participation certificates issued Capital stock/participation certificates and allocated		-		6,721		-		-		-	-	6,721
retained earnings retired		_		(6,650)		(50,000)		_		_	_	(56,650)
Preferred stock issued		100,000		(0,020)		(20,000)		_		_	_	100,000
Issuance costs on preferred stock				_		-		(1,333)		_	_	(1,333)
Preferred stock dividends		-		-		-		(34,114)		_	-	(34,114)
Patronage distributions								(-))				(- ,)
Cash		-		-		-		(16,602)		_	-	(16,602)
Members' equity		-		-		6,785		(6,785)		-	-	-
Balance at September 30, 2018	\$	720,000	\$	66,053	\$	642,291	\$	3,157,931	\$	224,625	\$ (196,254) \$	4,614,646

$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Combined Statements of Cash Flows} \\ \text{(unaudited)} \end{array}$

(IIII - 1 d - 1)	Ni	ine Months End	ed Se	
(dollars in thousands) Operating activities		2018		2017
Net income	\$	372,122	\$	325,358
Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities	•	,	-	,
Provision for loan losses		3,295		8,892
Carrying value adjustment on other property owned		150		135
Depreciation and amortization on premises and equipment		12,919		12,214
Accretion of net premium on loans		1,606		4,543
Net change in fair value of concessions granted		(1,323)		14,400
Amortization and accretion on debt instruments Accretion of yield related to loans and notes payable acquired in merger		30,109		19,116
Accretion of yield related to loans and notes payable acquired in herger Accretion of net premium on investments		13,833 2,199		(866) 4,039
Decrease in fair value of loans held under fair value option		2,199		193
Gain from sales of other property owned		(2,126)		(1,200)
Loss (gain) on sale of loans		353		(3,624)
Loss (gain) on other earning assets		1,633		(1,498)
Distributions less than cumulative equity earnings		´ -		47
Loss from sales of premises and equipment		4,006		2,193
Allocated equity patronage from System bank		(14,794)		(14,588)
Increase in accrued interest receivable		(51,216)		(46,408)
(Increase) decrease in other assets, net		(36,859)		8,518
Increase in accrued interest payable		26,364		12,757
Decrease in other liabilities, net		(28,662)		(32,149)
Net cash provided by operating activities		333,813		312,072
Investing activities				
Net decrease (increase) in federal funds sold		66,902		(205,211)
Investment securities				
Purchases		(1,640,026)		(1,119,911)
Proceeds from maturities, calls and prepayments		1,060,285		872,164
Increase in loans, net		(1,060,341)		(840,522)
Proceeds from sale of loans		60,446		23,658
Proceeds from sales of other property owned		6,234		9,147
Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment		1,868		1,709
Expenditures for premises and equipment Distributions in excess of cumulative equity earnings		(40,412) 228		(23,736) 120
Investment in other earning assets		(4,629)		(7,154)
Net cash used in investing activities	-	(1,549,445)		(1,289,736)
The cash asea in investing activities		(1,0-12,1-10)		(1,20),730)
Financing activities				
Bonds and notes issued		10,319,384		8,917,380
Bonds and notes retired		(9,019,153)		(7,926,274)
Prepayments on debt extinguishment costs		114		788
(Decrease) increase in guaranteed obligations to government entities (Decrease) increase in advanced conditional payments		(282)		3,209 (277)
Preferred stock issued		2,497 100,000		20,000
Issuance costs on preferred stock		(1,333)		(136)
Repayments on capital lease obligation		(320)		(321)
Capital stock and participation certificates issued		6,721		7,491
Capital stock and participation certificates retired		(6,650)		(6,025)
Cash dividends on preferred stock		(34,114)		(30,188)
Cash patronage distributions paid		(184,063)		(166,252)
Net cash provided by financing activities		1,182,801		819,395
Net decrease in cash		(32,831)		(158,269)
Cash at beginning of year		66,953		207,229
Cash at end of quarter	\$	34,122	\$	48,960
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities				
Financed sales of other property owned	\$	3,619	\$	345
Loan assets transferred to other property owned	•	7,533	-	4,557
Undocumented advances expense, net		-		337
Net (decrease) increase in unrealized losses on investment securities		(56,356)		4,315
Cash dividends or patronage distributions payable		52,563		38,482
Preferred stock dividend payable		21,613		20,063
Capital lease obligation		331		764
Supplemental information				
Cash paid for:				
Interest	\$	356,445	\$	249,581
Income taxes	-	41	-	- ,

Notes to Combined Financial Statements

Unaudited (dollar amounts in thousands unless otherwise noted)

NOTE 1 — ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accompanying combined financial statements (financial statements) include the accounts of the Farm Credit Bank of Texas (bank) and the accounts of its affiliated Agricultural Credit Associations (ACAs) and Federal Land Credit Association (FLCA) in the Farm Credit System (System). The ACAs and FLCA are collectively referred to as associations, and the bank and its affiliated associations are collectively referred to as the district. The financial statements also reflect the investments in and allocated earnings of the service organizations in which the bank has a partial ownership interest. All significant transactions and balances between the bank and the associations have been eliminated in combination.

During the third quarter of 2017, an association within the district determined that the association's consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, as well as the three months ended March 31, 2017, should no longer be relied upon and needed to be restated to correct misstatements in the association's consolidated financial statements. The association's restatements in 2016 decreased its consolidated other comprehensive income and members' equity previously reported by \$8.1 million. These additional losses were the result of the activities of a former loan officer who breached the association's policies and procedures and engaged in improper conduct that included improperly advancing funds without appropriate approvals, offering unauthorized loan terms to borrowers, originating loans to fictitious borrowers, and originating loans and advancing funds based on fabricated documentation.

Although the association restated their 2016 consolidated financial statements, the district has evaluated the quantitative and qualitative aspects of these misstatements in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance and has determined that such misstatements are not material to the current and previously issued district combined financial statements. The events and activities that resulted in the restatement of the association's consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2016, impacted the financial results for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2017, by \$857 thousand, increasing consolidated other comprehensive income and members' equity, and \$15.2 million, decreasing consolidated other comprehensive income and members' equity, respectively.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited financial statements contain all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the interim financial condition and results of operations of the district, and conform to generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires the use of management's estimates. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year.

In August 2018, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance entitled "Customer's Accounting for Implementation Costs Incurred in a Cloud Computing Arrangement that is a Service Cost." The guidance aligns the requirements for capitalizing implementation costs incurred in a hosting arrangement that is a service contract with the requirements for capitalizing implementation costs incurred to develop or obtain internal-use software (and hosting arrangements that include an internal-use software license). The accounting for the service element of a hosting arrangement that is a service contract is not affected by this guidance. This guidance becomes effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2019. The guidance also requires an entity (customer) to expense the capitalized implementation costs of a hosting arrangement that is a service contract over the term of the hosting arrangement. It further specifies where to present expense and payments in the financial statements. Early adoption is permitted. The guidance is to be applied on a retrospective or prospective

basis to all implementation costs incurred after the date of adoption. The district is evaluating the impact of adoption on the district's financial condition and its results of operations.

In August 2018, the FASB issued guidance entitled "Disclosure Framework — Changes to the Disclosure Requirements for Defined Benefit Plans." The guidance modifies the disclosure requirements for employers that sponsor defined benefit pension or other postretirement plans. This guidance becomes effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2020. Early adoption is permitted. The guidance is to be applied on a retrospective basis for all periods. The district is evaluating the impact of adoption on the district's financial condition and its results of operations.

In August 2018, the FASB issued guidance entitled "Disclosure Framework — Changes to the Disclosure Requirements for Fair Value Measurement." The guidance modifies the requirements on fair value measurements by removing, modifying or adding to the disclosures. This guidance becomes effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2019. Early adoption is permitted and an entity is permitted to early adopt any removal or modified disclosures and delay adoption of the additional disclosures until their effective date. The district is evaluating the impact of adoption on the district's financial condition and its results of operations.

In February 2018, the FASB issued guidance entitled "Income Statement — Reporting Comprehensive Income — Reclassification of Certain Tax Effects from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income." This guidance allows for the reclassification from accumulated other comprehensive income to retained earnings for stranded tax effects resulting from the recently issued tax legislation, Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA), that lowered the federal corporate tax rate from 35 percent to 21 percent. The amount of the reclassification shall include the effect of the change in the tax rate on gross deferred tax amounts and related valuation allowances at the date of enactment of the TCJA related to items remaining in accumulated other comprehensive income. The guidance becomes effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of this guidance did impact the district's financial condition and results of operations.

In August 2017, the FASB issued guidance entitled "Targeted Improvements to Accounting for Hedging Activities." The guidance better aligns an entity's risk management activities and financial reporting for hedging relationships through changes to both the designation and measurement guidance for qualifying hedging relationships and the presentation of hedge results. The amendments in this guidance require an entity to present the earnings effect of the hedging instrument in the same income statement line item in which the earnings effect of the hedged item is reported. This guidance also addresses the timing of effectiveness testing, qualitative and quantitative effectiveness testing, and components that can be excluded from effectiveness testing. This guidance becomes effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018. The district is evaluating the impact of adoption on the district's financial condition and its results of operations.

In March 2017, the FASB issued guidance entitled "Improving the Presentation of Net Periodic Pension Cost and Net Periodic Postretirement Cost." The guidance requires that an employer report the service cost component in the same line item or items as other compensation costs arising from services rendered by the pertinent employees during the period. Other components are required to be presented in the income statement separately from the service cost component and outside a subtotal of income from operations, if one is presented. This guidance became effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017. The adoption of this guidance did not materially impact the district's financial condition but did change the classification of certain items in the results of operations.

In August 2016, the FASB issued guidance entitled "Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments." The guidance addresses specific cash flow issues with the objective of reducing the diversity in the classification of these cash flows. Included in the cash flow issues are debt prepayment or debt extinguishment costs and settlement of zero-coupon debt instruments or other debt instruments with

coupon interest rates that are insignificant in relation to the effective interest rate of the borrowing. This guidance became effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017. The adoption of this guidance did not materially impact the district's financial condition or its results of operations but did change the classification of certain items in the statement of cash flows.

In June 2016, the FASB issued guidance entitled "Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments." The guidance replaces the current incurred loss impairment methodology with a methodology that reflects expected credit losses and requires consideration of a broader range of reasonable and supportable information to inform credit loss estimates. Credit losses relating to available-for-sale securities would also be recorded through an allowance for credit losses. For public business entities that are not U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission filers this guidance becomes effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2020, with early application permitted. The district is evaluating the impact of adoption on its financial condition and results of operations.

In February 2016, the FASB issued guidance entitled "Leases." This guidance is intended to improve financial reporting about leasing transactions and affects all organizations that lease assets. The guidance will require organizations that lease assets, referred to as lessees, to recognize on the balance sheet the assets and liabilities for the rights and obligations created by those leases. The accounting for organizations that own the assets leased by the lessee, also known as lessor accounting, will remain largely unchanged from current GAAP. In July 2018, the FASB issued additional guidance which allows entities a new and optional transition method. Under this transition method, an entity initially applies the leasing standard at the adoption date and recognizes a cumulative-effect adjustment to opening retained earnings. The leasing standard and this additional guidance become effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018, and early application is permitted. Based on the bank's preliminary review and analysis, the new lease accounting guidance will have an insignificant impact on the bank's financial condition and results of operations, and will have no impact on the bank's statement of cash flows. The district is evaluating the impact of adoption on its financial condition and results of operations.

In January 2016, the FASB issued guidance entitled "Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Liabilities." The guidance affects, among other things, the presentation and disclosure requirements for financial instruments. For public entities, the guidance eliminates the requirement to disclose the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments carried at amortized cost. This guidance became effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017. The adoption of this guidance did not impact the district's financial condition or their results of operations.

In May 2014, the FASB issued guidance entitled, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers." The guidance governs revenue recognition from contracts with customers and requires an entity to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. Financial instruments and other contractual rights within the scope of other guidance issued by the FASB are excluded from the scope of this new revenue recognition guidance. The guidance sets forth the requirement for new and enhanced disclosures. The district adopted the new standard effective January 1, 2018, using the modified retrospective approach. As the majority of the bank's and district associations' revenues are not subject to the new guidance, the adoption of the guidance did not have a material impact on the financial position or the results of operations.

NOTE 2 — INVESTMENTS

Investments Available-for-Sale

The bank's available-for-sale investments include a liquidity portfolio and a portfolio of other investments. The majority of the liquidity portfolio's mortgage-backed securities were federal agency-guaranteed collateralized mortgage-backed securities, including Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA), Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) securities. The other investments portfolio consists of Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation (Farmer Mac) guaranteed agricultural mortgage-backed securities (AMBS). A summary of the amortized cost and fair value of investment securities designated as available-for-sale at September 30, 2018, and December 31, 2017, is as follows:

Investments in the bank's available-for-sale liquidity portfolio at September 30, 2018:

	Amortized Cost			Gross realized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Weighted Average Yield	
	7 1 1 1 1			Guins	Losses	Tan varue	Ticiu	
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$	179,002	\$	105	\$ (5,038)	\$ 174,069	2.16	%
Corporate debt		365,403		665	(1,279)	364,789	2.65	
Federal agency-guaranteed collateralized mortgage-backed securities:								
GNMA		2,547,784		424	(61,241)	2,486,967	2.61	
FNMA and FHLMC		2,267,228		1,387	(45,310)	2,223,305	2.34	
U.S. Treasury securities		298,470		-	(415)	298,055	2.25	
Asset-backed securities		84,196		8	(58)	84,146	2.47	
Total available-for-sale liquidity investments	\$	5,742,083	\$	2,589	\$ (113,341)	\$ 5,631,331	2.47	%

Investments in the bank's available-for-sale other investments portfolio at September 30, 2018:

			Gross Unrealized	Gross Unrealized				Weighted Average	
	Amo	rtized Cost	Gains	L	osses	Fa	ir Value	Yield	_
gricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$	39,723	\$ -	\$	(2,418)	\$	37,305	4.83	%

Investments in the bank's available-for-sale liquidity portfolio at December 31, 2017:

			(Gross		Gross			Weighted	
			Un	realized	U	nrealized			Average	
	Amortized Cost		Gains		Losses		Fair Value		Yield	
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$	198,246	\$	30	\$	(3,028)	\$	195,248	1.94	%
Corporate debt		252,482		556		(429)		252,609	1.84	
Federal agency-guaranteed collateralized mortgage-backed securities:										
GNMA		2,012,484		706		(28,528)		1,984,662	1.99	
FNMA and FHLMC		2,395,248		2,061		(25,256)		2,372,053	1.91	
U.S. Treasury securities		249,860		-		(653)		249,207	0.90	
Asset-backed securities		47,914		18		(43)		47,889	1.61	
Total available-for-sale liquidity investments	\$	5,156,234	\$	3,371	\$	(57,937)	\$	5,101,668	1.88	%

Investments in the bank's available-for-sale other investments portfolio at December 31, 2017:

				ealized		Gross realized		Weighted Average	_
	Amo	ortized Cost	C	Gains	I	Losses	Fair Value	Yield	_
Agricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$	45,564	\$	_	\$	(2,247)	\$ 43,317	4.46	%

The following tables summarize the contractual maturity, fair value, amortized cost and weighted average yield of available-for-sale investments at September 30, 2018:

Investments in the bank's available-for-sale liquidity portfolio:

	0	Due in ne year or less	year through five years Due after five years through 10 years		Due after 10 years			Total	
Agency-guaranteed debt Corporate debt	\$	20,027	\$	16,451 344,763	\$ 157,618	\$	-	\$	174,069 364,790
Federal agency collateralized mortgage-backed securities					80 <i>42</i> 0		2 406 227		2 496 066
GNMA FNMA and FHLMC		15		97,792	80,639 419,132		2,406,327 1,706,366		2,486,966 2,223,305
U.S. Treasury securities Asset-backed securities		248,686 19,103		49,369 63,229	- 1,814		-		298,055 84,146
Total fair value	\$	287,831	\$	571,604	\$ 659,203	\$	4,112,693	\$	5,631,331
Total amortized cost Weighted average yield	\$	288,054 2.28%		574,642 2.45%	\$ 677,409 2.18%	\$	4,201,978 2.53%	\$	5,742,083 2.47%

Investments in the bank's available-for-sale other investments portfolio:

	yea	after one r through ve years	year	after five rs through 0 years	Total				
Fair value of agricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$	14,946	\$	22,359	\$	37,305			
Total amortized cost Weighted average yield	\$	15,874 4.70%	•	23,849 4.92%	\$	39,723 4.83%			

Other-Than-Temporarily Impaired Investments Evaluation

The following table shows the bank's available-for-sale liquidity portfolio investments by gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time, for the securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at September 30, 2018. The continuous loss position is based on the date the impairment was first identified:

		Less	Tha	n	Greater Than								
		12 Months				12 M	ont	hs		To	tal		
		Fair	U	nre alize d		Fair		nre alize d		Fair	Unrealized		
		Value	Losses			Value	Losses		Value			Losses	
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$	28,474	\$	(749)	\$	110,837	\$	(4,289)	\$	139,311	\$	(5,038)	
Corporate debt		66,844		(354)		24,053		(925)		90,897		(1,279)	
Federal agency collateralized													
mortgage-backed securities													
GNMA		1,058,670		(12,694)		1,244,467		(48,547)		2,303,137		(61,241)	
FNMA and FHLMC		586,555		(5,850)		1,180,257		(39,460)		1,766,812		(45,310)	
U.S. Treasury securities		298,055		(415)		-		-		298,055		(415)	
Asset-backed securities		71,081		(58)		-		-		71,081		(58)	
Total	\$ 2	2,109,679	\$	(20,120)	\$	2,559,614	\$	(93,221)	\$	4,669,293	\$	(113,341)	

The district evaluates investment securities for other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) on a quarterly basis. Impairment is considered to be other than temporary if an entity (i) intends to sell the security, (ii) is more likely than not to be required to sell the security before recovering its cost or (iii) does not expect to recover the security's entire amortized cost basis (even if the entity does not intend to sell).

In the event of an investment being designated OTTI, to measure the amount related to credit loss in the determination of OTTI, the bank utilizes a third-party vendor's services for cash flow modeling and projection of credit losses for specific non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities and subprime asset-backed securities. Significant inputs utilized in the methodology of the modeling include assumptions surrounding market data (interest rates and home prices) and the applicable securities' loan-level data. Loan-level data evaluated includes loan status, coupon and resets, FICO scores, loan-to-value, geography, property type, etc. Loan-level data is then combined with assumptions surrounding future behavior of home prices, prepayment rates, default rates and loss severity to arrive at cash flow projections for the underlying collateral. Default rate assumptions are generally estimated using historical loss and performance information to estimate future defaults. The present value of these cash flow projections is then evaluated against the specific security's structure and credit enhancement to determine if the bond will absorb losses. For the nine months ended September 30, 2018, the district did not recognize any other-than-temporary impairment credit losses and no securities were identified as OTTI at September 30, 2018, and December 31, 2017.

Held-to-Maturity Investments

The district's held-to-maturity investments consist of Farmer Mac guaranteed agricultural mortgage-backed securities and are held by district associations. A summary of the amortized cost and fair value of held-to-maturity investment securities at September 30, 2018, is as follows:

			-	ross alized	_	Fross e alize d			Weighted Average
	Amortized Cost		Gains Losses		osses	Fa	ir Value	Yield	
Agricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$	16,364	\$	_	\$	(144)	\$	16,220	5.33%

The following table summarizes the contractual maturity, fair value, amortized cost and weighted average yield of the district's held-to-maturity investments at September 30, 2018:

	yea	after one or through we years	year	after five s through) years	Total		
Fair value of agricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$	10,192	\$	6,028	\$ 16,220		
Total amortized cost	\$	10,269	\$	6,095	\$ 16,364		
Weighted average yield		5.62%		4.83%	5.33%		

NOTE 3 — LOANS AND RESERVES FOR CREDIT LOSSES

A summary of the district's loans are as follows:

	September 30, 2018 December 31, 2			
Real estate mortgage	\$	14,770,051	\$	14,351,578
Production and intermediate term		3,136,220		3,014,067
Agribusiness				
Loans to cooperatives		466,067		429,535
Processing and marketing		3,749,362		3,428,261
Farm-related business		201,557		206,441
Communication		500,839		437,066
Energy (rural utilities)		1,336,786		1,352,129
Water and waste disposal		154,830		117,177
Rural residential real estate		240,034		234,379
Lease receivables		29,317		25,009
Loans to other financing institutions		36,351		40,107
Mission-related		100,212		109,919
	\$	24,721,626	\$	23,745,668

The bank's capital markets loan portfolio of \$6.08 billion, which is included in the summary above, predominantly includes participations, syndications and purchased whole loans, along with other financing structures within our lending authorities. The bank also refers to the capital markets portfolio as participations purchased. In addition to purchasing loans from our district associations, which may exceed their hold limits, the bank seeks the purchase of participations and syndications originated outside of the district's territory by other System institutions, commercial banks and other lenders. These loans may be held as earning assets of the bank or sub-participated to the associations or to other System entities. The bank and associations purchase or sell participation interests with other parties in order to diversify risk, manage loan volume and comply with Farm Credit Administration (FCA) regulations.

The following table presents information regarding the district's balances of participations purchased and sold, excluding syndications, at September 30, 2018:

	Other Farm Credit Institutions (Outside of the Texas District)					on-Farm Cr	Institutions	Total				
	Par	Participations		Participations		Participations		articipations	Participations		Pa	rticipations
	Pı	Purchased Sold		Purchased			Sold		Purchased		Sold	
Real estate mortgage	\$	255,337	\$	371,381	\$	313,258	\$	5,295	\$	568,595	\$	376,676
Production and intermediate term		649,936		644,122		24,376		12,043		674,312		656,165
Agribusiness		2,113,949		56,353		5,841		-		2,119,790		56,353
Communication		501,138		-		-		-		501,138		-
Energy (rural utilities)		1,337,142		-		-		-		1,337,142		-
Water and waste disposal		155,086		-		-		-		155,086		-
Lease receivables		28,728		-		-		-		28,728		-
Mission-related		4,570		-		4,105		-		8,675		-
Direct note receivable from district associations		-		3,850,000		-		-		-		3,850,000
Total	\$	5,045,886	\$	4,921,856	\$	347,580	\$	17,338	\$	5,393,466	\$	4,939,194

The bank and associations are authorized under the Farm Credit Act to accept "advance conditional payments" (ACPs) from borrowers. To the extent that the borrower's access to such ACPs is restricted and the legal right of setoff exists, the ACPs are netted against the borrower's related loan balance. ACPs which are held by the district but cannot be used to reduce outstanding loan balances, except at the direction of the borrower, are classified as other liabilities in the combined balance sheets. ACPs are not

insured, and interest is generally paid by the associations on such balances. At September 30, 2018, ACPs netted against borrowers' related loan balances totaled \$218,350 and ACPs included in other liabilities totaled \$18,704, compared to \$198,180 and \$16,207, respectively, at December 31, 2017.

The bank has elected the fair value option for certain callable loans purchased on the secondary market at a significant premium. The fair value option provides an irrevocable option to elect fair value as an alternative measurement for selected financial assets. The fair value of loans held under the fair value option totaled \$9,475 at September 30, 2018. Fair value is used for both the initial and subsequent measurement of the designated instrument, with the changes in fair value recognized in net income.

On these instruments, the related contractual interest income and premium amortization are recorded as Interest Income in the Statements of Comprehensive Income. The remaining changes in fair value on these instruments are recorded as net gains (losses) in Noninterest Income on the Statements of Comprehensive Income. The fair value of these instruments is included in Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy for assets recorded at fair value on a recurring basis.

The following is a summary of the transactions on loans for which the fair value option has been elected for the nine months ended September 30, 2018:

Balance at January 1, 2018	\$ 9,908
Net loss on financial instruments	
under fair value option	(204)
Premium amortization	(229)
Balance at September 30, 2018	\$ 9,475

Nonperforming assets (including related accrued interest) and related credit quality statistics are as follows:

	Septem	ber 30, 2018	December 31, 2017
Nonaccrual loans:			
Real estate mortgage	\$	79,737	\$ 85,488
Production and intermediate term		21,754	34,700
Agribusiness		11,661	3,175
Energy		13,705	-
Rural residential real estate		878	1,178
Lease receivables		41	58
Mission-related		1,391	1,636
Total nonaccrual loans	ī	129,167	126,235
Accruing restructured loans:			
Real estate mortgage		15,525	18,496
Production and intermediate term		8,376	6,236
Rural residential real estate		34	160
Mission-related		5,563	5,641
Total accruing restructured loans		29,498	30,533
Accruing loans 90 days or more past due:			
Real estate mortgage		1,134	108
Production and intermediate term		11	2,897
Agribusiness		-	20
Total accruing loans 90 days or more past due		1,145	3,025
Total nonperforming loans		159,810	159,793
Other property owned		14,924	15,569
Total nonperforming assets	\$	174,734	\$ 175,362

One credit quality indicator utilized by the bank and associations is the FCA Uniform Loan Classification System that categorizes loans into five categories. The categories are defined as follows:

- Acceptable assets are expected to be fully collectible and represent the highest quality,
- Other assets especially mentioned (OAEM) assets are currently collectible but exhibit some potential weakness,
- Substandard assets exhibit some serious weakness in repayment capacity, equity and/or collateral pledged on the loan,
- Doubtful assets exhibit similar weaknesses to substandard assets; however, doubtful assets have
 additional weaknesses in existing factors, conditions and values that make collection in full highly
 questionable, and
- Loss assets are considered uncollectible.

The following table shows loans and related accrued interest as a percentage of total loans and related accrued interest receivable by loan type as of:

_	September 30, 2018		December 31, 2017	
Real estate mortgage:				
Acceptable	97.4	%	96.9	%
OAEM	1.5		1.6	
Substandard/Doubtful	1.1		1.5	
-	100.0	%	100.0	%
Production and intermediate term:				
Acceptable	93.6	%	92.3	%
OAEM	4.1		4.3	
Substandard/Doubtful	2.3		3.4	
	100.0	%	100.0	%
Agribusiness:				
Acceptable	99.3	%	99.2	%
OAEM	0.1		0.1	
Substandard/Doubtful	0.6		0.7	
•	100.0	%	100.0	%
Energy and water/waste disposal:				
Acceptable	98.3	%	98.0	%
OAEM	0.8		0.9	
Substandard/Doubtful	0.9		1.1	
-	100.0	%	100.0	%
Communication:				
Acceptable	96.6	%	100.0	%
OAEM	3.4		-	
Substandard/Doubtful	_		-	
-	100.0	%	100.0	%
Rural residential real estate:				
Acceptable	98.7	%	98.1	%
OAEM	0.7		0.8	
Substandard/Doubtful	0.7		1.1	
-	100.0	%	100.0	%
Lease receivables:				
Acceptable	99.9	%	99.6	%
OAEM	_		0.2	
Substandard/Doubtful	0.1		0.2	
-	100.0	%	100.0	%
Loans to other financing institutions:				
Acceptable	100.0	%	100.0	%
OAEM	_		_	
Substandard/Doubtful	_		_	
Substandard/Doubtrui	100.0	%	100.0	%
Mission-related:	100.0	7.0	100.0	
Acceptable	98.6	0/2	98.5	%
OAEM	70.0	/0	96.3	/0
Substandard/Doubtful	1.4		1.5	
Substandard/Doubtrui	100.0	%	100.0	%
Total loons	100.0	/0	100.0	/0
Total loans:	07.2	07	06.0	0/
Acceptable	97.3	%	96.9	70
OAEM	1.6		1.6	
Substandard/Doubtful	1.1	0/	1.5	0/
-	100.0	%	100.0	%

The following tables provide an age analysis of past due loans (including accrued interest) for the entire loan portfolio (including nonaccrual loans) as of:

September 30, 2018		89 Days st Due	90 Days or More Past Due			Total Past Due	Not Past Due or Less Than 30 Days Past Due			Total Loans	Inv	ecorded estment 90 Days Accruing
Real estate mortgage	\$	62,749	\$	26,261	\$	89,010	\$:	14,846,700	\$ 1	14,935,710	\$	1,134
Production and intermediate term		15,748		7,415		23,163		3,157,239		3,180,402		11
Agribusiness	1,129			-		1,129		4,436,807		4,437,936		-
Communication		-		-		-		501,076		501,076		-
Energy and water/waste disposal		-		-		-		1,500,031		1,500,031		-
Rural residential real estate		2,708		184		2,892		238,062		240,954		-
Lease receivables		-		41		41		29,396		29,437		-
Loans to other financing institutions	;	-		-		-		36,444		36,444		-
Mission-related		342		1,391		1,733		99,322		101,055		-
Total	\$	82,676	\$	35,292	\$	117,968	\$2	24,845,077	\$2	24,963,045	\$	1,145
December 31, 2017	20	89 Days		00 Days		Total		ot Past Due r Less Than 30 Days		Total	In	ecorded vestment 90 Days
		ast Due		ast Due	,	Past Due		Past Due		Loans		Accruing
Real estate mortgage	\$	68,437		27,282	\$	95,719			\$	14,485,679	\$	Accruing 108
Production and intermediate term	-	17,208	-	13,255	-	30,463	-	3,017,492	-	3,047,955	-	2,897
Agribusiness		9,837		20		9,857		4,070,021		4,079,878		20
Communication		-		_		-		437,666		437,666		-
Energy and water/waste disposal		_		_		_		1,476,998		1,476,998		_
Rural residential real estate		1,273		253		1,526		233,627		235,153		-
Lease receivables		_		59		59		25,087		25,146		-
Loans to other financing institutions		_		_		_		40,187		40,187		-
Mission-related		98		1,636		1,734		108,988		110,722		-
Total												

Additional impaired loan information is as follows:

At September 30, 2018							At December 31, 2017									
Impaired loans with a related	R	ecorded	Unp	oaid Principal		Related	R	ecorded	Uı	npaid Principal	Re	elated				
allowance for loan losses:	Inv	vestment		Balance	Α	Allowance	In	vestment		Balance	Alle	owance				
Real estate mortgage	\$	10,181	\$	10,579	\$	1,449	\$	5,530	\$	5,620	\$	1,075				
Production and intermediate term		9,793		10,600		1,890		4,969		6,255		1,738				
Loans to cooperative		8,601		8,601		3,842		-		-		-				
Processing and marketing		2,674		2,674		368		2,736		2,735		368				
Farm-related business		231		4,157		160		308		4,232		111				
Energy and water/waste disposal		13,705		13,705		3,937		-		-		-				
Rural residential real estate		153		201		72		39		39		5				
Mission-related		391		379		123		429		429		198				
Total	\$	45,729	\$	50,896	\$	11,841	\$	14,011	\$	19,310	\$	3,495				
Impaired loans with no related																
allowance for loan losses:																
Real estate mortgage	\$	86,215	\$	90,680	\$	_	\$	98,562	\$	102.071	\$	_				
Production and intermediate term		20,348	·	37,713		_	_	38,864	-	54,124	_	_				
Processing and marketing		131		9,326		_		151		9,345		_				
Farm-related business		24		96		_		-		89		_				
Energy and water/waste disposal		-		7,623		_		_		7,623		_				
Rural residential real estate		759		1,011		_		1,299		1,572		_				
Lease receivables		41		64		-		58		82		-				
Mission-related		6,563		6,435		-		6,848		6,848		-				
Total	\$	114,081	\$	152,948	\$	-	\$	145,782	\$	181,754	\$	-				
Total impaired loans:																
Real estate mortgage	\$	96,396	\$	101,259	\$	1,449	\$	104,092	\$	107,691	\$	1,075				
Production and intermediate term		30,141		48,313		1,890		43,833		60,379		1,738				
Loans to cooperative		8,601		8,601		3,842		-		-		-				
Processing and marketing		2,805		12,000		368		2,887		12.080		368				
Farm-related business		255		4,253		160		308		4,321		111				
Energy and water/waste disposal		13,705		21,328		3,937		-		7,623		-				
Rural residential real estate		912		1,212		72		1,338		1,611		5				
Lease receivables		41		64		-		58		82		_				
Mission-related		6,954		6,814		123		7,277		7,277		198				
Total	\$	159,810	\$	203,844	\$	11,841	\$	159,793	\$	201,064	\$	3,495				

	For the Three Months Ended							For the Nine Months Ended										
	S	Septembe	r 30,	, 2018		Septembe	er 30,	2017	5	Se pte mbe	r 30	, 2018	September 30, 2017					
	A	verage	In	terest	Α	verage	In	iterest	A	verage	Ir	iterest	A	Average	In	terest		
Impaired loans with a related	In	npaire d	Iı	ıcome	I	mpaired	Ir	ncome	Iı	npaire d	I	ncome	I	mpaired	Ir	come		
allowance for loan losses:]	Loans	Red	cognized		Loans	Rec	cognized		Loans		Loans Recognize				Loans	Rec	ognized
Real estate mortgage	\$	9,620	\$	47	\$	5,995	\$	59	\$	7,567	\$	128	\$	8,246	\$	166		
Production and intermediate term		9,890		107		13,285		18		9,335		257		11,994		42		
Loans to cooperative		8,495		-		-		-		5,628		3		-		-		
Processing and marketing		2,681		98		2,814		109		2,701		256		2,834		235		
Farm-related business		278		-		470		-		378		-		653		-		
Energy and water/waste disposal		14,542		-		-		-		10,046		6		-		-		
Rural residential real estate		152		1		547		2		107		3		242		4		
Mission-related		380		11		434		44		400		32		1,778		151		
Total	\$	46,038	\$	264	\$	23,545	\$	232	\$	36,162	\$	685	\$	25,747	\$	598		
Impaired loans with no related allowance for loan losses: Real estate mortgage Production and intermediate term Loans to cooperative Processing and marketing Farm-related business Energy and water/waste disposal Rural residential real estate Lease receivables Mission-related Total	\$	89,433 18,041 - 131 24 - 776 41 6,501	\$	963 383 - 29 1 2 - 117	\$	105,532 24,044 - 561 - 1,546 81 10,192 141,956	\$	3,294 432 8 - 25 - 6 3 107 3,875	\$	96,275 25,104 - 131 26 155 913 48 6,628	\$	3,011 1,136 - - 58 10 9 - 326 4,550	\$	108,522 28,155 510 589 - 1,942 85 9,099	\$	8,311 958 29 - 38 - 17 11 219		
Total impaired loans:	φ	114,547	Φ	1,493	.	141,930	Ф	3,673	Ψ.	129,200	φ	4,330	<u> </u>	146,902	Ф	9,383		
Real estate mortgage	\$	99,053	\$	1,010	\$	111.527	\$	3,353	¢	103,842	¢	3,139	\$	116,768	\$	8,477		
Production and intermediate term	Ψ	27,931	Ψ	490	Ψ	37,329	Ψ	450	Ψ	34,439	Ψ	1,393	Ψ	40.149	Ψ	1.000		
Loans to cooperative		8,495				31,327		8		5,628		3		510		29		
Processing and marketing		2,812		98		3,375		109		2,832		256		3.423		235		
Farm-related business		302		29		470		25		404		58		653		38		
Energy and water/waste disposal		14,542		1		-		_		10,201		16		-		-		
Rural residential real estate		928		3		2.093		8		1,020		12		2,184		21		
Lease receivables		41		-		81		3		48		-		85		11		
Mission-related		6,881		128		10.626		151		7,028		358		10,877		370		
Total	\$	160,985	\$	1,759	\$	165,501	\$	4,107	\$	165,442	\$	5,235	\$	174,649	\$	10,181		
		- 50,500	Ψ	2,	Ψ	100,001	Ψ	1,107			Ψ	2,222	Ψ	17 1,0 17	Ψ	10,101		

At September 30, 2018, impaired loans of \$45.7 million had a related specific allowance of \$11.8 million, while the remaining \$114.1 million of impaired loans had no related specific allowance as a result of adequate collateralization.

The average recorded investment in impaired loans for the three months ended September 30, 2018, was \$161.0 million. The district recognized interest income of \$1.8 million on impaired loans during the three months ended September 30, 2018.

The average recorded investment in impaired loans for the nine months ended September 30, 2018, was \$165.4 million. The district recognized interest income of \$5.2 million on impaired loans during the nine months ended September 30, 2018.

A summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses and period-end recorded investment in loans is as follows:

		eal Estate Mortgage		oduction and termediate Term	As	gribusiness		Communi- cations	Wa	nergy and ater/Waste Disposal		Rural Residential Real Estate	Agricultura Export Finance	al	Lease Receivables	L	oans to		Mission- Related		Total
Allowance for Credit Losses						,															
Balance at June 30, 2018	\$	38,378	\$	21,399	\$	13,623	\$	768	\$	6,864	\$	476	\$	-	\$ 87	\$	_	\$	141	\$	81,736
Charge-offs		74		(1,305)				-		-		(9)		-	_		-		-		(1,240)
Recoveries		314		736		78		-		_		7		_	_		_		_		1,135
Provision for credit losses (loan loss reversal)		(73)		(275)		(360)		29		(68)		79		_	(2)		_		(5)		(675)
Other*		(7)		276		252		6		77		(1)		_	-		_		3		606
Balance at September 30, 2018	\$	38,686		20,831	\$	13,593	\$		\$		\$	552	\$	-	\$ 85	\$	-	\$	139	\$	81,562
D. 1. 01.0017		41.520		22.212	6	10.004		02.5	ds.	5.020		500			. 25	•		6	200		02.250
Balance at December 31, 2017	\$	41,630		23,212	5	10,994	\$	826	\$	5,839	\$	532	\$	-	\$ 35	\$	-	\$	200	\$	83,268
Charge-offs		(947)		(6,928)		-		-		=		(57)		-	=		-		-		(7,932)
Recoveries		508		1,689		240		161		-		16		-	-		-		-		2,614
Provision for credit losses (loan loss reversal)		(2,544)		2,476		2,466		(191)		1,061		60		-	50		-		(84)		3,294
Other *	_	39	_	381	_	(107)	_	7		(27)	_	1		-	-	_	-	_	23	_	318
Balance at September 30, 2018	\$	38,686	\$	20,831	\$	13,593	\$	803	\$	6,873	\$	552	\$	-	\$ 85	\$	=	\$	139	\$	81,562
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	1,684	\$	2,043	\$	4,315	\$	-	\$	3,937	\$	176	\$	_	\$ -	\$	-	\$	123	\$	12,278
Collectively evaluated for impairment		37,002		18,788		9,278		803		2,936		376		-	85		-		16		69,284
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality		_		-		-		-		=		-		-	-		-		-		_
Balance at September 30, 2018	\$	38,686	\$	20,831	\$	13,593	\$	803	\$	6,873	\$	552	\$	-	\$ 85	\$	-	\$	139	\$	81,562
Balance at June 30, 2017	\$	42,151	¢	24.520	¢	11.274	¢	887	¢	7.033	¢	540	¢	_	\$ 22	¢	_	\$	204		86,631
Charge-offs	Ф	(10)		(3,219)	φ	11,2/4	φ	007	Ф	7,033	φ	(26)		-	9 22	Φ	-	Ф	204		(3,255)
-		256		(3,219)		166		-		-		(26)		-	-		-		13		(3,233)
Recoveries		1.320		4,236		166		(50)		(22.4)				-	4		-				
Provision for credit losses (loan loss reversal) Other*		,-				(864)		(56)		(224)		(52)		-	4		-		(4)		4,360
	-\$	(145) 43,572	\$	594 26,183	\$	(146) 10,430	s	5 836	\$	6,810	\$	473	\$	-	\$ 26	\$		\$	(9)	d.	302 88,534
Balance at September 30, 2017	ф	43,372	э	20,183	Þ	10,430	Þ	830	Þ	0,810	Ф	4/3	3	-	\$ 20	Ф	-	Þ	204	Þ	00,334
Balance at December 31, 2016	\$	35,559	\$	25,341	\$	13,036	\$	1,393	\$	5,686	\$	479	\$	-	\$ 42	\$	-	\$	201		81,737
Charge-offs		(193)		(4,961)		-		-		-		(32)		-	-		-		-		(5,186)
Recoveries		745		253		458		-		1,420		11		-	-		-		13		2,900
Provision for credit losses (loan loss reversal)		6,436		4,826		(1,731)		(220)		(361)		(62)		-	5		-		(1)		8,892
Other*		1,025		724		(1,333)		(337)		65		77		-	(21)		-		(9)		191
Balance at September 30, 2017	\$	43,572	\$	26,183	\$	10,430	\$	836	\$	6,810	\$	473	\$	-	\$ 26	\$	-	\$	204	\$	88,534
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	1,274	\$	3,355	\$	565	s	_	\$	_	\$	93	\$	_	s -	\$	_	\$	194	\$	5,481
Collectively evaluated for impairment		42,298		22,828		9,865		836		6,810		380		_	26		_		10		83,053
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality		12,270				-,,005		-				-		_	-		_		-		-
Balance at September 30, 2017	\$	43,572	\$	26,183	\$	10,430	\$	836	\$	6,810	\$	473	\$	-	\$ 26	\$	-	\$	204	\$	88,534
Recorded Investments in Loans Outstanding: Ending balance at September 30, 2018	\$	14,935,710	\$	3,180,402	\$	4,437,936	\$	501,076	\$	1,500,031	\$	240,954	\$	-	\$ 29,437	\$	36,444	\$	101,055	\$2	24,963,045
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	103,508	\$	30,001	\$	11,661	\$	_	\$	13,705	\$	973	\$	_	\$ 41	\$	-	\$	6,879	\$	166,768
Collectively evaluated for impairment		14,832,202	\$	3,150,401	7	4,426,275	\$		\$		\$	239,981			\$ 29,396	\$	36,444	\$	94,176		24,796,277
Ending balance at September 30, 2017	\$	14,265,520	\$	2,986,705	\$	3,853,796	\$	486,004	\$	1,463,224	\$	231,352	\$	-	\$ 17,478	\$	35,695	\$	116,401	\$2	23,456,175
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	114,656	\$	37,387	\$	3,530	\$	_	\$	_	\$	2,136	\$ 8	1	\$ -	\$	_	\$	10,070	\$	167,860
Collectively evaluated for impairment		14,150,864	\$	2,949,318	_				\$		\$	229,216		1)		-	35,695	_	106,331	_	23,288,315
	Ψ	,,	Ψ	_,,,,,,,10	Ψ	2,000,200	Ψ	100,004	+	-,100,227	Ψ	22,,210	- (0	-/	- 17,770	Ψ	35,075	Ψ	100,001	Ψ	2,200,213

^{*}Includes reserve for losses on letters of credit that are recorded in other liabilities and an association prior year adjustment.

A restructuring of a debt constitutes a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) if the creditor for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. TDRs are undertaken in order to improve the likelihood of recovery on the loan and may include, but are not limited to, forgiveness of principal or interest, interest rate reductions that are lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk, or significant term or payment extensions.

As of September 30, 2018, the total recorded investment of TDR loans was \$52,921, including \$23,424 classified as nonaccrual and \$29,497 classified as accrual, with specific allowance for loan losses of \$5,699. Additional commitments to lend to borrowers whose loan terms have been modified in TDRs were \$1,304 at September 30, 2018, and \$330 at December 31, 2017.

The following table summarizes TDR loan balances by loan type:

		Loans Mod	ified as TI	DRs	TDRs in Nonaccrual Status							
	Septem	ber 30, 2018	Decem	nber 31, 2017	Septem	ber 30, 2018	December 31, 2017					
Real estate mortgage	\$	23,311	\$	27,175	\$	7,787	\$	8,679				
Production and intermediate term		13,399		7,998		5,023		1,762				
Agribusiness		8,963		406		8,963		406				
Rural residential real estate		294		449		260		289				
Mission-related		6,954		7,277		1,391		1,636				
Total	\$	52,921	\$	43,305	\$	23,424	\$	12,772				

The following tables present additional information regarding troubled debt restructurings, which includes both accrual and nonaccrual loans with troubled debt restructuring designation, that occurred during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018, and September 30, 2017. The premodification outstanding recorded investment represents the recorded investment of the loans as of the quarter end prior to the restructuring.

For the three months ended September Troubled debt restructurings:	30, 2018: Premodi Outsta Reco Invest	nding rded	Out Re	nodification istanding ecorded restment
Real estate mortgage Production and intermediate term	\$	1,648 7,678	\$	1,625 5,272
Agribusiness		141		201
Rural residential real estate		120		121
Total	\$	9,587	\$	7,219
For the three months ended September Troubled debt restructurings:	30, 2017: Premodi Outstanding Invest	g Recorded	Outstan	nodification ding Recorded vestment
Real estate mortgage	\$	603	\$	606
Production and intermediate term		2,519		2,366
Total	\$	3,122	\$	2,972
For the nine months ended September 3	30, 2018: Premodi Outsta Reco Invest	nding rded	Out Re	nodification istanding ecorded restment
Troubled debt restructurings:	Premodi Outsta Reco Invest	nding rded tment	Out Ro Inv	ecorded restment
Troubled debt restructurings: Real estate mortgage	Premodi Outsta Reco	anding rded tment 4,142	Out Re	ecorded eestment 4,004
Troubled debt restructurings: Real estate mortgage Production and intermediate term	Premodi Outsta Reco Invest	anding rded tment 4,142 9,246	Out Ro Inv	ecorded eestment 4,004 6,563
Troubled debt restructurings: Real estate mortgage	Premodi Outsta Reco Invest	anding rded tment 4,142	Out Re Inv	ecorded eestment 4,004
Troubled debt restructurings: Real estate mortgage Production and intermediate term Agribusiness	Premodi Outsta Reco Invest	4,142 9,246 7,880	Out Ro Inv	estanding ecorded eestment 4,004 6,563 8,789
Troubled debt restructurings: Real estate mortgage Production and intermediate term Agribusiness Rural residential real estate	Premodi Outsta Reco Invest	4,142 9,246 7,880 120 21,388	S S Postr Outstan	4,004 6,563 8,789
Troubled debt restructurings: Real estate mortgage Production and intermediate term Agribusiness Rural residential real estate Total	Premodi Outsta Reco Invest \$ \$0, 2017: Premodi Outstanding	4,142 9,246 7,880 120 21,388	S S Postr Outstan	4,004 6,563 8,789 121 19,477 modification ding Recorded
Troubled debt restructurings: Real estate mortgage Production and intermediate term Agribusiness Rural residential real estate Total For the nine months ended September 3	Premodi Outsta Reco Invest \$ \$0, 2017: Premodi Outstanding	4,142 9,246 7,880 120 21,388	S S Postr Outstan	4,004 6,563 8,789 121 19,477 modification ding Recorded
Troubled debt restructurings: Real estate mortgage Production and intermediate term Agribusiness Rural residential real estate Total For the nine months ended September 3	Premodi Outsta Reco Invest \$ \$0, 2017: Premodi Outstanding Invest	4,142 9,246 7,880 120 21,388 iffication g Recorded timent	\$ \$ Postr Outstan	4,004 6,563 8,789 121 19,477 modification ding Recorded vestment

The predominant form of concession granted for troubled debt restructuring includes extension of the term and delayed payments. Other types of modifications include interest rate and principal reductions.

A payment default is defined as a payment that is 30 days past due after the date the loan was restructured. As of September 30, 2018, and September 30, 2017, there were no payment defaults.

NOTE 4 — COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The bank is primarily liable for its portion of Systemwide debt obligations. Additionally, the bank is jointly and severally liable for the consolidated Systemwide bonds and notes of the other System banks. Total consolidated bank and Systemwide obligations of the System at September 30, 2018, were approximately \$268.46 billion.

In the normal course of business, the district has various outstanding commitments and contingencies, including the possibility of actions against the district in which claims for monetary damages may be asserted. Management and legal counsel are not aware of any other pending lawsuits or actions. Upon the basis of current information, management and legal counsel are of the opinion that the ultimate liability, if any, resulting from lawsuits or other pending actions will not be material in relation to the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the district.

NOTE 5 — FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Accounting guidance defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability. See Note 2, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," of the 2017 Annual Report for a more complete description. Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2018, for each of the fair value hierarchy levels are summarized below:

	 Fair	Value	Measurements a	at S	eptember 30	, 20	18
		(Quoted Prices	S	Significant		
			in Active		Other		Significant
			Markets for	C	bservable	τ	Jnobservable
		Id	lentical Assets		Inputs		Inputs
	Total		(Level 1)		(Level 2)		(Level 3)
Assets:							
Federal funds	\$ 179,986	\$	-	\$	179,986	\$	-
Investments available for sale:							
Agency-guaranteed debt	174,069		-		174,069		-
Corporate debt	364,789		-		364,789		-
Mortgage-backed securities	4,710,272		-		4,710,272		-
U.S. Treasury securities	298,055		-		298,055		-
Asset-backed securities	84,146		-		84,146		-
Mission-related and other							
available-for-sale investments	37,305		-		-		37,305
Loans valued under the							
fair value option	9,475		-		9,475		-
Derivative assets	21,285		-		21,285		-
Assets held in nonqualified							
benefit trusts	 9,049		9,049		-		
Total assets	\$ 5,888,431	\$	9,049	\$	5,842,077	\$	37,305
T + 1 1977							
Liabilities:							
Derivative liabilities	\$ 71	\$	-	\$	71	\$	-
Letters of credit	 742		-		-		742
Total liabilities	\$ 813	\$	-	\$	71	\$	742

The following table represents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the period from June 30, 2018, to September 30, 2018:

	Assets		Lia	bilities		
	Ag	ricultural		_		
	M	ortgage-				
	F	Backed	Let	ters of		
	Securities		Credit		Net	
Balance at June 30, 2018	\$	39,427	\$	827	\$	38,600
Net gains (losses) included in other comprehensive income		(40)		-		(40)
Purchases, issuances and settlements		(2,082)		(85)		(1,997)
Balance at September 30, 2018	\$	37,305	\$	742	\$	36,563

The following table represents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the period from January 1, 2018, to September 30, 2018:

_	Assets				_Li	abilities	
	Mo	rtgage-	- 0	ricultural ortgage-			
	Backed Securities		Backed Securities		Letters of Credit		 Net
Balance at January 1, 2018 Net gains (losses) included in other comprehensive income	\$	- 95	\$	43,317 (170)	\$	1,038	\$ 42,279 (75)
Purchases, issuances and settlements Transfers out of Level 3		91,561 (91,656)		(5,842)		(296)	86,015 (91,656)
Balance at September 30, 2018	\$		\$	37,305	\$	742	\$ 36,563

There were no transfers of assets or liabilities into or out of Level 1 from other levels during the nine months ended September 30, 2018. Agricultural mortgage-backed securities (AMBS) are included in Level 3 due to limited activity or less transparency around inputs to their valuation. Mortgage-backed securities (or MBS) were included in Level 3 due to the fact that their valuation was based on Level 3 criteria (broker quotes). The liability for letters of credit is included in Level 3 due to a determination that their valuation, based on fees currently charged for similar agreements, may not closely correlate to a fair value for instruments that are not regularly traded in the secondary market.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at September 30, 2018, for each of the fair value hierarchy levels are summarized below:

	Fair Value Measurements								
		Quoted	l Prices	Signif	icant				
		in A	ctive	Oth	ne r	Significant			
		Marko	Obser	vable	Unobservable				
		Identica	l Assets	Inp	uts	Inputs			
	Total	(Lev	el 1)	(Lev	el 2)	(Level 3)			
Assets:									
Loans	\$ 33,720	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 33,720			
Other property owned	16,583		-		-	16,583			
Total assets	\$ 50,303	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 50,303	_		

Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2017, for each of the fair value hierarchy levels are summarized below:

	Fair Value Measurements								
			(Quoted Prices	S	ignificant		·	
				in Active		Other	S	Significant	
				Markets for	O	bservable	Unobservable		
			Ic	lentical Assets		Inputs	Inputs		
		Total		(Level 1)	(Level 2)		((Level 3)	
Assets:									
Federal funds	\$	246,888	\$	-	\$	246,888	\$	-	
Investments available for sale:									
Agency-guaranteed debt		195,248		-		195,248		-	
Corporate debt		252,609		-		252,609		-	
U.S. Treasury securities		249,207		-		249,207		-	
Mortgage-backed securities		4,356,715		-		4,356,715		-	
Asset-backed securities		47,889		-		47,889		-	
Mission-related and other									
available-for-sale investments		43,317		-		-		43,317	
Loans valued under the									
fair value option		9,908		-		9,908		-	
Derivative assets		8,932		-		8,932		-	
Assets held in nonqualified									
benefit trusts		8,084		8,084		-			
Total assets	\$	5,418,797	\$	8,084	\$	5,367,396	\$	43,317	
Liabilities:									
Derivative liabilities	\$	248	\$	_	\$	248	\$	_	
Letters of credit	-	1,038	-	_	-	0	-	1,038	
Total liabilities	\$	1,286	\$		\$	248	\$	1,038	
1 our montes	Ψ	1,200	Ψ		Ψ	2-10	Ψ	1,030	

The following table represents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the period from June 30, 2017, to September 30, 2017:

	Assets				Lia	bilities	
			Ag	ricultural			
	Mo	ortgage-	Me	ortgage-			
	Backed Securities				Let	ters of	
					Credit		 Net
Balance at June 30, 2017	\$	40,800	\$	47,661	\$	971	\$ 87,490
Net gains included in other comprehensive income		(196)		(98)		-	(294)
Purchases, issuances and settlements		28,922		(1,402)		(42)	27,562
Transfers out of Level 3		(40,800)		-			 (40,800)
Balance at September 30, 2017	\$	28,726	\$	46,161	\$	929	\$ 73,958

The following table represents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the period from January 1, 2017, to September 30, 2017:

	Assets				Lia	bilities		
			Ag	ricultural				
	M	ortgage-	Me	ortgage-				
	Backed		Backed Backed		Let	ters of		
	S	ecurities Securities		Credit		Net		
Balance at January 1, 2017	\$	-	\$	53,335	\$	711	\$	52,624
Net gains included in other comprehensive income		(520)		212		-		(308)
Purchases, issuances and settlements		70,046		(7,386)		218		62,442
Transfers out of Level 3		(40,800)		-				(40,800)
Balance at September 30, 2017	\$	28,726	\$	46,161	\$	929	\$	73,958

There were no transfers of assets or liabilities into or out of Level 1 from other levels during the nine months ended September 30, 2017. Agricultural mortgage-backed securities (AMBS) are included in Level 3 due to limited activity or less transparency around inputs to their valuation. Mortgage-backed securities (or MBS) were included in Level 3 due to the fact that their valuation was based on Level 3 criteria (broker quotes). The liability for letters of credit is included in Level 3 due to a determination that their valuation, based on fees currently charged for similar agreements, may not closely correlate to a fair value for instruments that are not regularly traded in the secondary market.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at December 31, 2017, for each of the fair value hierarchy levels are summarized below:

		Fair Value Measurements								
		Quoted F	rices	Significa	nt					
		in Act	in Active			Sig	gnificant			
		Markets for		Observa	ble	Uno	bservable			
		Identical Assets		Inputs		Inputs				
	Total	(Level 1)		(Level 2)		(Level 3)				
Assets:										
Loans	\$ 10,516	\$	-	\$	-	\$	10,516			
Other property owned	17,298		-		-		17,298			
Total assets	\$ 27,814	\$	-	\$	-	\$	27,814			
								_		

Financial assets and financial liabilities measured at carrying amounts and not measured at fair value on the Balance Sheet for each of the fair value hierarchy values are summarized as follows:

September 30, 2018:

			Fair Value Measurements Using							
		Total Carrying Amount	in Ma Ident	ted Prices Active arkets for cical Assets Level 1)	Significant Other Significant Observable Unobservable Inputs Inputs (Level 2) (Level 3)		nobs e rvable Inputs	Total Fair Value		
Assets:										
Cash	\$	34,122	\$	34,122	\$	-	\$	-	\$	34,122
Mission-related and other held-		17.274						17.220		16 220
to-maturity investments Net loans		16,364 24,640,064		-		-		16,220		16,220
Total assets	\$	24,640,064	\$	34,122	\$		\$	24,173,751 24,189,971	\$	24,173,751 24,224,093
Total assets	Ψ	24,070,000	Ψ	34,122	Ψ		Ψ	24,107,771	Ψ	21,221,075
Liabilities:										
Bonds and notes	\$	26,131,676	\$	-	\$	-	\$	25,881,191	\$	25,881,191
Total liabilities	\$	26,131,676	\$	-	\$	-	\$	25,881,191	\$	25,881,191
December 31, 2017:		Total Carrying Amount	ir Ma Iden	Fair Voted Prices 1 Active 1 Active 1 Assets 1 Assets 1 Level 1)	Sigr C Obse Ir	easureme nificant Other ervable nputs evel 2)	nts U	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)		Total Fair Value
Assets:					(,,,,,		(==::==)		
Cash	\$	66,953	\$	66,953	\$	-	9	-	\$	66,953
Mission-related and other held-										
		18,828		-		-		18,606		18,606
to-maturity investments		10,020								
to-maturity investments Net loans		23,662,400				-		23,517,247		23,517,247

Valuation Techniques

Liabilities: Bonds and notes

Total liabilities

As more fully discussed in Note 1, "Organization and Significant Accounting Policies," authoritative accounting guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The following represent a brief summary of the valuation techniques used by the bank and associations for assets and liabilities:

24,745,299

24,745,299

24,745,299

24,745,299

Cash

For cash, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

24,801,223

Investment Securities

Where quoted prices are available in an active market, available-for-sale securities would be classified as Level 1. If quoted prices are not available in an active market, the fair value of securities is estimated using pricing models that utilize observable inputs, quoted prices for similar securities received from pricing services or discounted cash flows. Generally, these securities would be classified as Level 2. Among other securities, this would include certain mortgage-backed securities and asset-backed securities. Where there is limited activity or less transparency around inputs to the valuation, the securities are classified as Level 3. Level 3 assets at September 30, 2018, included the bank's AMBS portfolio, which is valued by the bank using a model that incorporates underlying rates and current yield curves.

As permitted under FCA regulations, the banks are authorized to hold eligible investments. The regulations define eligible investments by specifying credit rating criteria, final maturity limit and percentage of portfolio limit for each investment type. At the time of purchase, mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities must be triple-A rated by at least one Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization. The triple-A rating requirement puts the banks in a position to hold the senior tranches of securitizations. The underlying loans for mortgage-backed securities are residential mortgages, while the underlying loans for asset-backed securities are home equity lines of credit, small business loans, equipment loans or student loans.

To estimate the fair value of the majority of the investments held, the bank obtains prices from third-party pricing services.

Assets Held in Nonqualified Benefits Trusts

Assets held in trust funds related to deferred compensation are classified within Level 1. The trust funds include investments that are actively traded and have quoted net asset values that are observable in the marketplace.

Derivatives

Exchange-traded derivatives valued using quoted prices would be classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. However, few classes of derivative contracts are listed on an exchange; thus, the majority of the derivative positions are valued using internally developed models that use as their basis readily observable market parameters and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Such derivatives include interest rate caps and cash flow interest rate swaps.

The models used to determine the fair value of derivative assets and liabilities use an income approach based on observable market inputs, primarily the LIBOR swap curve and volatility assumptions about future interest rate movements.

Letters of Credit

The fair value of letters of credit approximates the fees currently charged for similar agreements or the estimated cost to terminate or otherwise settle similar obligations.

Loans

Fair value is estimated by discounting the expected future cash flows using the banks' and/or the associations' current interest rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit risk. The discount rates are based on the banks' and/or the associations' current loan origination rates as

well as management's estimates of credit risk. Management has no basis to determine whether the fair values presented would be indicative of the value negotiated in an actual sale and could be less.

For purposes of estimating fair value of accruing loans, the loan portfolio is segregated into pools of loans with homogeneous characteristics. Expected future cash flows, primarily based on contractual terms, and interest rates reflecting appropriate credit risk are separately determined for each individual pool.

The fair value of loans in nonaccrual status that are current as to principal and interest is estimated as described above, with appropriately higher interest rates which reflect the uncertainty of continued cash flows. For collateral-dependent impaired loans, it is assumed that collection will result only from the disposition of the underlying collateral.

The bank has elected the fair value option for certain callable loans purchased on the secondary market at a significant premium. The fair value option provides an irrevocable option to elect fair value as an alternative measurement for selected financial assets. Fair value is used for both the initial and subsequent measurement of the designated instrument, with the changes in fair value recognized in net income. The fair value of securities is estimated using pricing models that utilize observable inputs, quoted prices for similar securities received from pricing services or discounted cash flows. Accordingly, these assets are classified within Level 2.

Loans Evaluated for Impairment

For certain loans evaluated for impairment under accounting impairment guidance, the fair value is based upon the underlying collateral since the loans are collateral-dependent loans for which real estate is the collateral. The fair value measurement process uses independent appraisals and other market-based information, but in many cases it also requires significant input based on management's knowledge of and judgment about current market conditions, specific issues relating to the collateral and other matters. As a result, these fair value measurements fall within Level 3 of the hierarchy. When the value of the real estate, less estimated costs to sell, is less than the principal balance of the loan, a specific reserve is established.

Bonds and **Notes**

Systemwide debt securities are not all traded in the secondary market and those that are traded may not have readily available quoted market prices. Therefore, the fair value of the instruments is estimated by calculating the discounted value of the expected future cash flows. The discount rates used are based on the sum of quoted market yields for the Treasury yield curve and an estimated yield-spread relationship between System debt instruments and Treasury securities. We estimate an appropriate yield-spread, taking into consideration selling group member (banks and securities dealers) yield indications, observed new government-sponsored enterprise debt security pricing and pricing levels in the related U.S. dollar interest rate swap market.

For other notes, fair value is estimated by discounting the expected future cash flows using the current interest rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit risk. The discount rates are based on the current loan origination rates as well as management's estimates of credit risk. Management has no basis to determine whether the fair values presented would be indicative of the value negotiated in an actual sale and could be less.

Other Property Owned

Other property owned (OPO) is generally classified as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The process for measuring the fair value of other property owned involves the use of independent appraisals or other market-based information. Costs to sell represent transaction costs and are not included as a component of the asset's fair value.

Sensitivity to Changes in Significant Unobservable Inputs

For recurring fair value measurements categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, the significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the mortgage-backed securities are prepayment rates, probability of default and loss severity in the event of default. Significant increases (decreases) in any of those inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

Generally, a change in the assumption used for the probability of default is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the assumption used for the loss severity and a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates.

Quoted market prices may not be available for the instruments presented below. Accordingly, fair values are based on internal models that consider judgments regarding anticipated cash flows, future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments and other factors. These estimates involve uncertainties and matters of judgment, and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Information About Recurring and Nonrecurring Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

	Valuation Technique(s)	Unobservable Input	Range of Inputs
Mortgage-backed securities	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment rate Probability of default Loss severity	-
Mission-related investments	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment rates	2.1%-41.6%

With regard to impaired loans and OPO, it is not practicable to provide specific information on inputs as each collateral property is unique. System institutions utilize appraisals to value these loans and OPO and take into account unobservable inputs such as income and expense, comparable sales, replacement cost and comparability adjustments.

Information About Recurring and Nonrecurring Level 2 Fair Value Measurements

	Valuation Technique(s)	Input
Federal funds sold	Carrying value	Par/principal
Investment securities available for sale	Quoted prices Discounted cash flow	Price for similar security Constant prepayment rate Appropriate interest rate yield curve
Loans held under the fair value option	Quoted prices Discounted cash flow	Price for similar security Constant prepayment rate Appropriate interest rate yield curve
Interest rate caps	Discounted cash flow	Appropriate interest rate yield curve Annualized volatility
Interest rate swaps	Discounted cash flow	Benchmark yield curve Counterparty credit risk Volatility

Information About Other Financial Instrument Fair Value Measurements

	Valuation Technique(s)	Input
Cash	Carrying value	Actual balance
Loans	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment forecasts Appropriate interest rate yield curve Probability of default Loss severity
Systemwide debt securities	Discounted cash flow	Benchmark yield curve Derived yield spread Own credit risk

NOTE 6 — DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The bank maintains an overall interest rate risk management strategy that incorporates the use of derivative instruments to minimize significant unplanned fluctuations in earnings that are caused by interest rate volatility. The bank's goal is to manage interest rate sensitivity by modifying the repricing or maturity characteristics of certain balance sheet assets and liabilities so that the net interest margin is not adversely affected by movements in interest rates. The bank considers the strategic use of derivatives to be a prudent method of managing interest rate sensitivity, as it prevents earnings from being exposed to undue risk posed by changes in interest rates.

The bank may enter into derivative transactions to lower funding costs, diversify sources of funding, alter interest rate exposures arising from mismatches between assets and liabilities, or better manage liquidity. Interest rate swaps allow the bank to raise long-term borrowings at fixed rates and swap them into floating rates to better match the repricing characteristics of earning assets. Under interest rate swap arrangements, the bank agrees with other parties to exchange, at specified intervals, payment streams calculated on a specified notional principal amount, with at least one stream based on a specified floating-rate index. The bank may purchase interest rate options, such as caps, in order to reduce the impact of rising interest rates on its floating-rate debt. The bank has interest rate caps and pay fixed swaps in order to reduce the impact of rising interest rates on its floating-rate assets.

The primary types of derivative instruments used and the amount of activity (notional amounts derivatives) during the nine months ended September 30, 2018, are summarized in the following table:

	Pa	ay-Fixed	Into	erest Rate	
		Swaps		Caps	Total
Balance at January 1, 2018	\$	250,000	\$	195,000	\$ 445,000
Additions		500,000		-	500,000
Maturities/Amortizations		-		-	-
Balance at September 30, 2018	\$	750,000	\$	195,000	\$ 945,000

To minimize the risk of credit losses, the bank deals with counterparties that have an investment grade or better credit rating from a major rating agency, and also monitors the credit standing and levels of exposure to individual counterparties. In addition, substantially all derivative contracts are supported by bilateral collateral agreements with counterparties requiring the posting of collateral in the event that certain dollar thresholds of exposure of one party to another are reached, which thresholds may vary, depending on the counterparty's credit rating. The bank does not anticipate nonperformance by any of these counterparties. However, derivative contracts are reflected in the financial statements on a gross basis regardless of the

netting agreement. At September 30, 2018, and December 31, 2017, the bank's exposure to counterparties was \$21,214 and \$8,684, respectively. At September 30, 2018, and December 31, 2017, the bank had posted no securities as collateral, nor had any counterparty been required to post collateral.

Derivative — Counterparty Exposure

The following table represents the credit ratings of counterparties to which the bank has credit exposure at September 30, 2018:

		Remaini	ng Ye	ears to M	atu	ırity	_							
	Le	ss Than					\mathbf{N}	laturity					E	xposure
	On	e to Five	Mo	re Than			Dis	tribution	l		C	ollate ral		Net of
		Years	Fiv	e Years		Total	N	letting	E	xposure		Held	C	ollate ral
Moody's Credit Rating														
A1	\$	-	\$	36	\$	36	\$	-	\$	36	\$	-	\$	36
A2		-		3,458		3,458		-		3,458		-		3,458
Aa3		8,935		1,317		10,252		-		10,252		-		10,252
Aa3		5,098		1,990		7,088		-		7,088		-		7,088
Aa3		-		380		380		-		380		-		380
Total	\$	14,033	\$	7,181	\$	21,214	\$	-	\$	21,214	\$	-	\$	21,214

Cash Flow Hedges

The bank's derivative instruments at September 30, 2018, and December 31, 2017, which are designated and qualify as a cash flow hedge, all meet the standards for accounting treatment that presume full effectiveness. Thus, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive income.

	Balance Sheet	Fair V	alue	Fair	Value	Balance Sheet	Fai	ir Value		Fair Value
	Location	September	30, 2018	Decembe	r 31, 2017	Location	Septem	ber 30, 2018	3	December 31, 2017
Interest rate caps	Other assets	\$	497	\$	396	Other liabilities	\$		-	\$ -
Pay fixed swaps	Other assets		20,788		8,536	Other liabilities		7	1	248
Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI/OCL on Derivatives							,			n AOCI/AOCL
	(Effectiv	e Portion) at S	eptember 3	30,		Into Incon	ne (Effectiv	ve Portion) a	t Sej	ptember 30,
		2018	2	2017			2	2018		2017
Interest rate caps	-	\$ 101	\$	368	Interest expen	ise	\$	(114)	\$	(168)
Pay fixed swaps		12,932		2,018	Interest expen	ise		321		(668)

NOTE 7 — CAPITAL

On June 25, 2018, the bank issued \$100.0 million of Class B noncumulative subordinated perpetual preferred stock, Series 3 (Class B-3 preferred stock), representing one hundred thousand shares at \$1,000 per share par value, with issuance costs on preferred stock of \$1.3 million. Dividends accrued on the Class B-3 preferred stock were \$1.6 million as of September 30, 2018, and were included in "Preferred stock dividends payable" on the balance sheet. Dividends on the Class B-3 preferred stock, if declared by the board of directors at its sole discretion, are noncumulative and are payable quarterly in arrears on the fifteenth day of March, June, September and December in each year, commencing September 15, 2018, at an annual fixed rate of 6.20 percent of par value of \$1,000 per share up to, but excluding June 15, 2028, from and after which date will be paid at an annual rate of the 3-Month USD LIBOR plus 3.22 percent. The Class B-3 preferred stock is not mandatorily redeemable at any time, but may be redeemed in whole or in part at the option of the bank on any dividend payment date on or after June 15, 2028. The Class B-3 preferred stock ranks pari passu with respect to the existing Class B-1 and Class B-2 preferred stock, and senior to all of the bank's other outstanding capital stock. For regulatory purposes, the Class B-3 preferred stock is included in permanent capital, total capital and tier 1 capital within certain limitations.

Effective January 1, 2017, the regulatory capital requirements for System banks and associations were modified. The new regulations replaced existing core surplus and total surplus ratios with common equity tier 1, tier 1 capital and total capital risk-based capital ratios. The new regulations also added a tier 1 leverage ratio and an unallocated retained earnings equivalents (UREE) leverage ratio. The permanent capital ratio continues to remain in effect.

The bank's and association ratios were as follows:

As of September 30, 2018						Total
				Regulatory	Conservation	Regulatory
Risk-adjusted	Bank	FLCA	ACAs	Minimums	Buffers	Requirement
Permanent capital ratio ranges	16.55%	16.81%	13.22% - 22.04%	7.00%	0.00%	7.00%
Common equity tier 1 ratio ranges	10.08	16.76	12.06 - 21.92	4.50	2.50 *	7.00
Tier 1 capital ratio ranges	16.67	16.76	12.06 - 21.92	6.00	2.50 *	8.50
Total capital ratio ranges	16.67	17.04	13.49 - 22.47	8.00	2.50 *	10.50
Non-risk-adjusted						
Tier 1 leverage ratio ranges	7.44	17.32	10.81 - 20.63	4.00	1.00	5.00
UREE leverage ratio ranges	2.91	18.47	8.86 - 21.72	1.50	0.00	1.50

^{*} The 2.5 percent capital conservation buffer for the risk-adjusted ratios will be phased in over a three-year period ending on December 31, 2019.

As of December 31, 2017						Total
				Regulatory	Conservation	Regulatory
Risk-adjusted	Bank	FLCA	ACAs	Minimums	Buffers	Requirement
Permanent capital ratio ranges	16.60%	17.15%	13.20% - 23.00%	7.00%	0.00%	7.00%
Common equity tier 1 ratio ranges	10.52	17.10	12.27 - 22.81	4.50	2.50 *	7.00
Tier 1 capital ratio ranges	16.59	17.10	12.27 - 22.81	6.00	2.50 *	8.50
Total capital ratio ranges	16.68	17.41	13.53 - 23.63	8.00	2.50 *	10.50
Non-risk-adjusted						
Tier 1 leverage ratio ranges	7.33	17.74	10.76 - 21.68	4.00	1.00	5.00
UREE leverage ratio ranges	3.08	18.86	9.23 - 22.76	1.50	0.00	1.50

^{*} The 2.5 percent capital conservation buffer for the risk-adjusted ratios will be phased in over a three-year period ending on December 31, 2019.

Risk-adjusted assets have been defined by FCA regulations as the statement of condition assets and off-balance-sheet commitments adjusted by various percentages, depending on the level of risk inherent in the various types of assets. The primary changes which generally have the impact of increasing risk-adjusted assets (decreasing risk-based regulatory capital ratios) were as follows:

- Inclusion of off-balance-sheet commitments less than 14 months
- Increased risk-weighting of most loans 90 days past due or in nonaccrual status

Risk-adjusted assets are calculated differently for the permanent capital ratio (referred herein as PCR risk-adjusted assets) compared to the other risk-based capital ratios. The primary difference is the deduction of the allowance for loan losses from risk-adjusted assets for the permanent capital ratio.

The ratios are based on a three-month average daily balance in accordance with FCA regulations and are calculated as follows:

- Common equity tier 1 ratio is statutory minimum purchased borrower stock, other required borrower stock held for a minimum of seven years, allocated equities held for a minimum of seven years or not subject to revolvement, unallocated retained earnings, paid-in capital, less certain regulatory required deductions including the amount of allocated investments in other System institutions, and the amount of purchased investments in other System institutions under the corresponding deduction approach, divided by average risk-adjusted assets.
- Tier 1 capital ratio is common equity tier 1 plus noncumulative perpetual preferred stock, divided by average risk-adjusted assets.

- Total capital is tier 1 capital plus other required borrower stock held for a minimum of five years, allocated equities held for a minimum of five years, subordinated debt and limited-life preferred stock greater than five years to maturity at issuance subject to certain limitations, allowance and reserve for credit losses under certain limitations less certain investments in other System institutions under the corresponding deduction approach, divided by average risk-adjusted assets.
- Permanent capital ratio (PCR) is all at-risk borrower stock, any allocated excess stock, unallocated retained earnings, paid-in capital, subordinated debt and preferred stock subject to certain limitations, less certain allocated and purchased investments in other System institutions, divided by PCR risk-adjusted assets.
- Tier 1 leverage ratio is tier 1 capital, including regulatory deductions, divided by average assets less regulatory deductions subject to tier 1 capital.
- UREE leverage ratio is unallocated retained earnings, paid-in capital, allocated surplus not subject to revolvement less certain regulatory required deductions including the amount of allocated investments in other System institutions divided by average assets less regulatory deductions subject to tier 1 capital.

If the capital ratios fall below the minimum regulatory requirements, including the capital conservation and leverage buffer amounts, capital distributions (equity redemptions, dividends and patronage) and discretionary bonus payments to senior officers are restricted or prohibited without prior FCA approval.

During the third quarter of 2017, the association Class A Common Stockholders approved an amendment to the bank's Capitalization Bylaws. The amended bylaws became effective September 15, 2017, and were made to conform to the FCA's updated capital adequacy regulations, which were effective January 1, 2017. The amendment included the following updates:

- The bank's board of directors must adopt an annual capital resolution and obtain prior approval by the FCA prior to a distribution of allocated surplus. The distribution of allocated surplus must also meet the minimum permanent capital adequacy standards of the FCA capital adequacy regulation.
- A distribution of attributed unallocated surplus must obtain prior approval by the FCA.
- Preferred stock dividends would be declared in accordance with the applicable provisions of the FCA's capital adequacy regulations.
- The retirement of Class A voting common stock shall be made in accordance with the minimum holding periods set forth in the bank's board of directors' annual capital resolution and with prior approval by the FCA.
- The definition of patrons has been added to include associations, OFIs and other System institutions doing business with the bank on a patronage basis.
- No patronage distributions will be paid to any patrons if any stock is in violation of the annual resolution adopted by the board or FCA's capital adequacy regulations.

NOTE 8 — EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Employees of the bank and district associations participate in either the defined benefit retirement plan or a defined contribution plan (DC Plan) and are eligible to participate in the district's 401(k) plan. Employer contributions to the DC Plan and 401(k) plan are expensed as incurred. The multiemployer structure of the district's defined benefit pension plan results in the recording of this plan only upon combination.

The following table summarizes the components of net periodic benefit costs for the district's defined benefit pension plan and for other postretirement benefits plan for the nine months ended September 30:

	Defined	Benefit	Other Post	retirement
	Pens	sion	Bene	efits
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Service cost	\$ 2,966	\$ 3,034	\$ 1,062	\$ 965
Interest cost	10,896	12,224	2,275	2,303
Expected return on plan assets	(12,720)	(11,904)	-	-
Amortization of prior service cost	-	-	(477)	(660)
Amortization of net loss	10,108	13,289_	506	106
Net periodic benefit cost	\$11,250	\$ 16,643	\$ 3,366	\$ 2,714

As of September 30, 2018, contributions of \$11.6 million have been made to the defined benefit pension plan. The district presently anticipates no additional contributions to fund its pension plan in 2018.

NOTE 9 — ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

Accumulated other comprehensive loss (AOCL) includes the accumulated balance of certain gains, losses or costs for which values are included in assets or liabilities on the balance sheets, but which have not yet been recognized in earnings. For the district, these elements include unrealized gains or losses on the bank's available-for-sale investment portfolio, elements of certain pension and retirement benefit changes, and changes in the value of cash flow derivative instruments.

The following table summarizes the changes in the balance of the components of AOCL for the nine months ended September 30, 2018:

				Pen	sion and		
		\mathbf{U}_{1}	nre alize d	Oth	er Post-	Ca	sh Flow
		Ga	in (Loss)	Ret	ire me nt	De	rivative
	Total	on S	Securities	Bene	efit Plans	Inst	ruments
Balance, January 1, 2018	\$ (162,860)	\$	(56,812)	\$	(112,774)	\$	6,726
Change in unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities							
Net change in unrealized losses on investment securities	(56,357)		(56,357)				
Net change in unrealized losses on securities	 (56,357)		(56,357)				
Change in pension and postretirement benefit plans							
Amounts amortized into net periodic expense:							
Amortization of prior service credits	(477)				(477)		
Amortization of net losses	10,614				10,614	_	
Net change in pension and postretirement benefit plans	 10,137				10,137	-	
Change in cash flow derivative instruments							
Unrealized gain on cash flow derivative instruments	13,033						13,033
Reclassification of gain recognized in interest expense	(207)						(207)
Net change in cash flow derivative instruments	12,826						12,826
Total other comprehensive (loss) income	(33,394)		(56,357)		10,137		12,826
Balance, September 30, 2018	\$ (196,254)	\$	(113,169)	\$	(102,637)	\$	19,552

The following table summarizes the changes in the balance of the components of AOCL for the nine months ended September 30, 2017:

				Pen	sion and		
		Unr	Unrealized Other Post-			Cash Flow	
		Gain (Loss) on Retirement		irement	Der	ivative	
	 Total	Sec	urities	Bene	Benefit Plans		uments
Balance, January 1, 2017	\$ (157,982)	\$	(38,529)	\$	(125,874)	\$	6,421
Change in unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities							
Net change in unrealized losses on investment securities	 4,315		4,315				
Net change in unrealized losses on securities	4,315		4,315				
Change in pension and postretirement benefit plans Amounts amortized into net periodic expense:							
Amortization of prior service credits	(660)				(660)		
Amortization of net losses	 13,404		_		13,404		
Net change in pension and postretirement benefit plans	 12,744		_		12,744		
Change in cash flow derivative instruments							
Unrealized losses on cash flow derivative instruments	(2,386)						(2,386)
Reclassification of loss recognized in interest expense	 836				_		836
Net change in cash flow derivative instruments	 (1,550)				_		(1,550)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	 15,509		4,315		12,744		(1,550)
Balance, September 30, 2017	\$ (142,473)	\$	(34,214)	\$	(113,130)	\$	4,871

The following table summarizes reclassifications from AOCL to the Combined Statements of Comprehensive Income for the nine months ended September 30:

	Amount R	eclas	sified	Affected Line in the Statement
Component of AOCL	from A	AOC	L	of Comprehensive Income
	2018		2017	
Amortization of net charges on pension				
and postretirement benefit plans	\$ 10,137	\$	12,744	Salaries and employee benefits
Amortization on cash flow hedges	 (207)		836	Interest expense
Total reclassifications	\$ 9,930	\$	13,580	

NOTE 10 — INCOME TAXES

New U.S. tax laws resulting from legislation commonly known as the Tax Cuts and Jobs Acts of 2017 (TCJA) were enacted in late 2017. Among other things, the TCJA changed the federal corporate tax rate from 35 percent to 21 percent. The bank is exempt from federal and certain other income taxes as provided by the Farm Credit Act of 1971; however, the change in federal corporate tax rate had a financial statement impact for year-end 2017 for district associations that required the revaluation of any deferred taxes (assets or liabilities), which resulted in either a tax expense or tax benefit to the income statement for the year ended December 31, 2017. The quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2018, reflect the federal corporate tax rate of 21 percent.

While the full impact of the TCJA is difficult to predict and may not be fully known for several years, changes that could affect the district's business and customers include, but are not limited to, modifications to deductions surrounding interest expense and equipment purchases, tax incentives related to renewable energy initiatives, deductions impacting agricultural producers who sell their products to cooperatives and the overall changes in the competitive environment impacting financial institutions.

NOTE 11 — SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The district has evaluated subsequent events through November 9, 2018, which is the date the financial statements were issued. There are no other significant subsequent events requiring disclosure as of November 9, 2018.

NOTE 12 — BANK-ONLY FINANCIAL DATA

The following financial information is presented for the bank only. For the other financial results within this report, the data reflects district combined financial results with all significant transactions and balances between the bank and associations eliminated upon combination.

	Septe	ember 30, 2018			
Balance sheet data		Unaudited)	December 31, 2017		
Cash	\$	27,419	\$	56,183	
Federal funds sold and overnight investments		179,986		246,888	
Investment securities		5,668,636		5,144,985	
Loans		18,024,664		17,085,177	
Less allowance for loan losses		12,321		7,639	
Net loans		18,012,343		17,077,538	
Accrued interest receivable		72,302	·	58,330	
Premises and equipment, net		65,126		49,405	
Other assets		260,547		203,276	
Total assets	\$	24,286,359	\$	22,836,605	
			·		
Bonds and notes, net	\$	22,281,676	\$	20,951,223	
Accrued interest payable		87,827		63,809	
Reserve for credit losses		1,837		1,433	
Preferred stock dividends payable		21,613		20,063	
Patronage payable		-		31,418	
Other liabilities		71,680		100,775	
Total liabilities		22,464,633		21,168,721	
Preferred stock		700,000		600,000	
Capital stock		304,842		301,239	
Retained earnings		912,352		818,547	
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(95,468)		(51,902)	
Total shareholders' equity		1,821,726		1,667,884	
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$	24,286,359	\$	22,836,605	

	Nine Months Ended September 30,							
		2018	2017 (Unaudited)					
Statement of income data	(U	naudited)						
Interest income	\$	498,486	\$	401,965				
Interest expense		310,172		214,028				
Net interest income		188,314		187,937				
Provision (negative provision) for credit losses		4,954		(1,088)				
Net interest income after provision (negative			<u> </u>					
provision) for credit losses		183,360		189,025				
Noninterest income		33,149		29,864				
Noninterest expense		80,284		73,697				
Net income		136,225		145,192				
Other comprehensive income (loss):								
Change in fair value of investments		(56,357)		4,315				
Change in postretirement benefit plans		(35)		(139)				
Change in cash flow derivative instruments		12,826		(1,550)				
Total other comprehensive (loss) income		(43,566)		2,626				
Comprehensive income	\$	92,659	\$	147,818				